

# **Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) – screening template**

This tool assists services in determining whether the decisions, proposals, or policies they are seeking to make will require a full Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA). EqIAs help the Council comply with its duty under s.149 of the Equality Act 2010 to have "due regard" to specified equality matters. They are required in most cases but, in some cases, an EqIA is not necessary or is only necessary for certain aspects of a decision. Please email <a href="equalities@walthamforest.gov.uk">equalities@walthamforest.gov.uk</a> for a copy of a full EqIA template.

The Council understands that whilst its equalities duty applies to all services, it is going to be more relevant to some decisions than others. We need to be pragmatic and ensure that the detail of the EqIA is proportionate to the impact of decisions on the equality

duty. In some cases, a full EqIA is not necessary and/or the equalities duties do not apply. In other cases, only part of a decision will require an EqIA to ensure the Council has due regard to its equality duties. The following examples are intended to assist:

#### Where will a full EqIA be required?

In short, wherever a decision has a more than minimal or theoretical **adverse or negative** impact on those with protected characteristics, for example, if the Council is considering:

- · Ceasing a service.
- Reducing a service or reducing it in particular areas, e.g., closing an office in Leyton but not Walthamstow.
- Changes to the way a service is delivered, e.g., moving to personalisation or moving to online access only.
- Changes to eligibility criteria, rules, or practices for a service.
- Changes to discretionary fees and charges.

## Where might an EqIA not be required?

- Where it can be proven that the decisions, proposals, or policies has no equalities impact—with particular focus on negative impacts on service users and residents.
- Where it can be proven that the decisions, proposals, or policies has a minimal or theoretical equalities impact (and so does not need to be considered).
- Where the decisions, proposals, or policies is mandatory and there is no element of discretion (e.g., to adopt a member's code of conduct or similar).
- In rare cases, where a previous EqIA exists and a review shows that it is still relevant at the time of the final decisions, proposals, or policies, i.e., the facts have not changed.

### Important:

- The screening tool should not be used to mask any equality impacts or as a "get out".
- There can be a negative equality impact even if you think that, overall, you are proposing changes that will make services better. If there is an adverse or negative impact, you must complete a full EqIA.
- **Negative** impacts are often indirect, i.e., a rule that is on its face of universal impact but has greater impact on some groups in practice e.g. due to the ethnic makeup of an area.
- In most cases, the screening process requires a degree of collation and analysis of evidence. If this requires a lot of work, consider whether it is simpler to omit the

- screening process and undertake a full EqIA.
- The equality duty **continues** up to and after the final decision. If proposals or facts change before the final decision, any screening tool will need to be reviewed and evidenced.
- Any consultation undertaken should also inform the screening process, e.g., issues raised by those affected. Monitoring should take place after a decision as part of service delivery.
- The completed template will be attached to Cabinet or other decision-making report and so it must include sufficient detail to justify the decision not to carry out a full EqIA.

#### What to do?

The screening process should be used on **ALL** new decisions, proposals, policies, projects, functions, saving proposals, major developments or planning applications, or when revising them, if there is no negative equality impact or there is uncertainty about whether there is a negative equality impact. **However**, if your proposal is of a significant nature and it is apparent from the outset that a full EqIA will be required, then you do not need to complete this screening template and can progress **directly to a full EqIA**. If a negative/adverse impact has been identified during completion of the screening tool, a full EqIA **MUST** be undertaken. If you have not identified any negative/ adverse impacts arising from your proposal, you do not need to undertake a full EqIA. However, make sure you have explained clearly why the

proposal does not have any negative/adverse impact. If your proposal is going to Cabinet or Committee (e.g., Planning or Licensing) and you are not undertaking a full EqIA, you must:

Waltham Forest

- 1. Share your report and completed screening tool with Equalities (equalities@walthamforest.gov.uk), who will check and challenge your findings and
- **2.** Use the following wording under the Equality & Diversity paragraph in the Cabinet report: "An initial screening exercise of the equality impact of this decision was undertaken and determined there was no / minimal impact (delete as appropriate) on the Council's equality duty." Attach the completed template as an appendix to your report.

1	<b>Proposal / Project Title:</b> Approval to go to statu	itory consul	tation of Chu	urch Lane (	Car Park, Leytonstone, E11 in order to dispose of the site to the preferred bidder.		
2	consultation will identify any impact of the proposition. The site was identified in 2022 as having potenti practices, being under-utilised. Following internations.	ory consulta osed sale, a al for reside al consultat been reviev	ation on Chu and a full Eqla ential develo ions, an ope wed and ana	rch Lane Co A will be do pment, be n marketin lyzed by of	ar Park in order to then the disposal of the site to a residential developer. The statutor one following that consultation.  Ing adjacent to Leytonstone Station and, with the post-covid growth in work from hom g exercise was conducted in late summer 2024, as a result of which a number of offers ficers, and approval is now sought to select one as the preferred bidder, and conclude		
3	there may be a positive impact, negative (advers	se) impact, o proposals to on and statu	or no impact purchase. I	arising fron	y of opportunity; foster good relations) indicate for each protected group whether m the proposal. The marketing of the site was openly conducted and all interested; the submitted offers, officers have been mindful of their obligations under the The eferred bidder's offer is subject to receipt of a satisfactory planning approval and, as		
4	Protected Characteristic (Equality Group)	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	No Impact	Briefly explain your answer. Consider evidence, data, and any consultation. https://www.walthamforest.gov.uk/content/statistics-about-borough		
	Age			$\boxtimes$	We do not consider that the selection of a preferred bidder has any impact, either positive or negative, to any particular age group		
	Disability			$\boxtimes$	We do not consider that the selection of a preferred bidder has any impact, either positive or negative, to those with a disability		
	Pregnancy and Maternity			$\boxtimes$	We do not consider that the selection of a preferred bidder has any impact, either positive or negative, to mothers or prospective mothers		
	Race			$\boxtimes$	The marketing programme, and subsequent review of offers, has not discriminated against any particular race or ethnic group		
	Religion or Belief			$\boxtimes$	The marketing programme, and subsequent review of offers, has not discriminated against those of any particular religious belief or following		
	Sex (Including Gender Re-assignment)			$\boxtimes$	The marketing programme, and subsequent review of offers, has not discriminated against any particular sex or sexual orientation		
	Sexual Orientation			$\boxtimes$	As above, it is not considered that the marketing and selection of a preferred bidder		

Marriage and Civil Partnership				$\boxtimes$		selection of a preferred riage or a civil partnersh		red to h	ave any impact on those i	n	
Care Leavers*				$\boxtimes$	The leav	•	l bidder has neither a p	oositive	nor negative impact on c	are	
5 There are no negative/adverse of the second of the secon	We are not aware of any negative impact of the proposed closure of the car park on any impacted groups. We will undertake statutory consultation to ensure there is no adverse impact and undertake a full EqIA following the consultation.  The availability of the site for purchase has been openly marketed all interest in same invited. Assessment of the subsequent offers has been transparent and without prejudice and it is not felt that the adoption of the recommended bidder will impact on any area of an equalities assessment.										
6 Describe how opportunities to a equality and foster good relatio the protected characteristics ha up (where relevant).	Not releva	nt									
7 As a result of this screening is a full EA necessary (Please check ⊠ appropriate box)		Yes	No	Briefly	explain your answer.						
			$\boxtimes$	Based on the assessments outlined above it is not believed that there is any equality detriment in the decision being sought.							
8 Name of Lead Officer: David Ho		Job title:	Strategic	Asset N	/lanager	Date EqIA screener completed: 04 April 2025					
Signed off by Head of Service: P	Peter Mitchell				Name:	Peter Mitchell		Date:			