

240779 Percy Ingles S73 Application Consultation Responses Summary

Consultee	Comments
Environment Agency	Did not wish to comment.
GLA (Stage 1 report)	<p>Raise objection on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) S73 is not the correct mechanism to seek removal of a planning obligation; and (ii) The submitted viability assessment does not demonstrate that the affordable housing offer represents the maximum viable amount. <p>Officer note on GLA grounds of objection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) There is a concurrent application seeking a deed of variation (241367) which is before Members; and (ii) The Local Authority's independent viability consultants have fully assessed the viability of the scheme and concluded that it is unviable and therefore cannot provide any affordable housing.
LFB	Did not wish to comment.
LB Haringey	Raise no objection.
LBWF Place & Design (Design)	Did not wish to comment as no design implications.
LBWF Place & Design (Policy)	Raise no objection.
LBWF Sustainability	Did not wish to comment.
Met Police	SbD measures have been updated which will need to be taken into consideration when discharging conditions 38 and 39
Natural England	Did not wish to comment.

16 objections were received from interested third parties. These raised the following matters directly relating to the S73 application (240779):

- Reduction of affordable housing yield.
- Concerns about incompleteness of works (blight).

The following planning matters were also raised which have been previously considered and are not for revisiting under the scope of 240779:

- Impact on local amenities (services).
- Air pollution.
- Noise pollution.
- Distance from local amenities.
- Construction disturbance.
- Impacts on school children and teaching staff at local schools during the construction and operational phases.
- Impact on highways (including additional traffic, school streets and child road safety).
- Impacts on wildlife
- Scale and height of the development.

In addition, concerns were raised by third parties in relation to communications between the applicant team and local residents.