

EQUALITY ANALYSIS (EA) - SCREENING TEMPLATE

GUIDANCE TOOL This Tool assists services in determining whether their plans and decisions will require a full Equalities Analysis. EAs help the Council comply with its duty under s.149 of the Equality Act 2010 to have "due regard" to specified equality matters. They are required in most cases but, in some cases, an EA is not necessary or is only necessary for certain aspects of a decision. The full EA template is available here:

https://foresthub.walthamforest.gov.uk/services/information-governance/governance-and-law/council-meetings

The Council understands that whilst its equalities duty applies to all services, it is going to be more relevant to some decisions than others. We need to be pragmatic and ensure that the detail of Equality Analyses (EAs) are proportionate to the impact of decisions on the equality

duty. In some cases a full EA is not necessary and/or the equalities duties do not apply. In other cases, only part of a decision will require an EA to ensure the Council has due regard to its equality duties. The following examples are intended to assist:

Where will a full EA be required?

In short, wherever a decision has a more than minimal or theoretical **adverse or negative** impact on those with protected characteristics, for example, if the Council is considering:

- · Ceasing a service
- Reducing a service or reducing it in particular areas, e.g. closing an office in Leyton but not Walthamstow
- Changes to the way a service is delivered, e.g. moving to personalisation or moving to online access only
- Changes to eligibility criteria, rules or practices for a service
- Changes to discretionary fees and charges

Where might an EA not be required?

- Where it can be proven that the decision has no equalities impact— with particular focus on negative impacts on service users and residents
- Where it can be proven that the decision has a minimal or theoretical equalities impact (and so does not need to be considered)
- Where the decision is mandatory and there is no element of discretion (e.g. to adopt a member's code of conduct or similar)
- In rare cases, where a previous EA exists and a review shows that it is still relevant at the time of the final decision, i.e. the facts have not changed

Important:

- The EA screening tool should not be used to mask over any equality impacts or as a "get out".
- There can be a negative equality impact even if you think that overall, you are proposing changes that will make services better. If there is an adverse or negative impact, you must complete a full EA.
- **Negative** impacts are often indirect, i.e. a rule that is on its face of universal impact but has greater impact on some groups in practice e.g. due to the ethnic makeup of an area.
- In most cases, the screening process requires a degree of collation and analysis of

- evidence. If this requires a lot of work, consider whether it is actually simpler to omit the screening process and undertake a full EA.
- The equality duty **continues** up to and after the final decision. If proposals or facts change before the final decision, any screening tool will need to be reviewed and evidenced.
- Any consultation undertaken should also inform the screening process, e.g. issues raised by those affected. Monitoring should take place after a decision as part of service delivery.
- The completed screening template will be attached to Cabinet or other decision making report and so it must include sufficient detail to justify the decision not to carry out a full EA.

What to do?

The screening process should be used on **ALL** new proposals, policies, projects, functions, saving proposals, major developments or planning applications, or when revising them, if there is no negative equality impact or there is uncertainty about whether there is a negative equality impact. **However**, If your proposal is of a significant nature and it is apparent from the outset that a full EA will be required, then you do not need to complete this screening template and can progress directly to a full EA. If a negative/adverse impact has been identified during completion of the screening tool, a full EA **MUST** be undertaken.

proposal does not have any negative/adverse impact. If your proposal is going to Cabinet or Committee (e.g. Planning or Licensing) and you are not undertaking a full EA, you must:

a. share your report and completed screening tool with Equalities
(equalities@walthamforest.gov.uk), who will check and challenge your findings and
b. use the following wording under the Equality & Diversity paragraph in the
Cabinet report: "An initial screening exercise of the equality impact of this
decision was undertaken and determined there was no / minimal impact

Waltha

If you have not identified any negative/ adverse impacts arising from your proposal you do not need to undertake a full EA. However, make sure you have explained clearly why the

(delete as appropriate) on the Council's equality duty." Attach the completed template as an appendix to your report.

- 1. Proposal / Project Title: Borough of Sanctuary Framework
- 2. Brief summary of the above: (include main aims, proposed outcomes, recommendations / decisions sought)

 The key aim of the programme is to tackle the inequalities and remove barriers that some of our most underrepresented communities face, with a specific focus on ensuring that the key principles of the BoS framework are embedded within all Council services and strategies.
- 3. Considering the equality aims (eliminate unlawful discrimination; advance equality of opportunity; foster good relations) indicate for each protected group whether there may be a positive impact, negative (adverse) impact, or no impact arising from the proposal.

| | | - | _ | · | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| - Protected Characteristic (Equality Group) | Positive Impact | Negative Impact | No Impact | Briefly explain your answer. Consider evidence, data and any consultation. https://www.walthamforest.gov.uk/content/statistics-about-borough | | | |
| Age | | | | The specific needs of looked after children of migrant background and of Unaccompanied Asylum-Seeking Children (UASC) and care experienced young pare an important focus of this framework across all its priority areas, which aim ensure that every young person receives the same welcome treatment and sup across the borough. | | | |
| Disability | | | \boxtimes | No specific impact on this equality group, but BoS strategies to tackle inequalities and improve support and services for underserved communities are likely to have a positive impact on sanctuary seekers in all equality groups. | | | |
| Pregnancy and Maternity | \boxtimes | | | Specific actions for health partners to improve services for sanctuary seekers, incl. pregnancy and maternity services. | | | |
| Race | | | \boxtimes | No specific impact on this equality group, but BoS strategies to tackle inequalities and improve support and services for underserved communities are likely to have a positive impact on sanctuary seekers in all equality groups. | | | |
| Religion or Belief | | | \boxtimes | No specific impact on this equality group, but BoS strategies to tackle inequalities and improve support and services for underserved communities are likely to have a positive impact on sanctuary seekers in all equality groups. | | | |
| Sex (Including Gender Re-assignment) | | | \boxtimes | No specific impact on this equality group, but BoS strategies to tackle inequalities and improve support and services for underserved communities are likely to have a positive impact on sanctuary seekers in all equality groups. | | | |
| Sexual Orientation | \boxtimes | | | Specific actions around the provision of specialist community services and opportunities to build resilience and skills for underrepresented groups and those who are at higher risk of discrimination, including LGBTQIA+ residents | | | |

| | Marriage and Civil Partnership | | | | No specific impact on this end improve support and sepositive impact on sanctuar | ervices for underserved | d comn | nunities are likely to have a | | | |
|----|---|---|-------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 5. | There are no negative/adverse impact(s) If you have not identified any negative/adverse impacts please briefly explain your answer, providing evidence to support decision. | The overall aim of the strategy is to tackle inequalities and discrimination experienced by sanctuary seekers. This means a particular focus on their needs and expansion of support and services to better care for these. As a result, there will not be any adverse impacts of this framework or its implementation. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Describe how opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations for any of the protected characteristics has been taken up (where relevant). | Equalities considerations have been and will continue to be a key focus for the Borough of Sanctuary Steering Group. People with lived experience of migration, including forced migration, are part of the steering group and play a key role in shaping the programme, its overall framework and delivery. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | As a result of this screening is a full EA | Yes | No | Briefly explain your answer. | | | | | | | |
| | necessary (Please check 🗵 appropriate box) | | \boxtimes | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | Name of Lead Officer: Michela Pomati | I | Job title: | Strategic Le | ad – Participation and BoS | Date screening tool c | omple | ted: 25 October 2023 | | | |