Committee: Planning Committee

Date: 7 May 2025

Title: Quality of Major Applications

Author: Dean Hermitage

#### **Purpose**

1. To report to Planning Committee the applications that have been considered at Planning Committee which contribute to the data considered by MHCLG as to whether a Local Planning Authority falls within the criteria to be designated.

- 2. There are four criteria where a Local Planning Authority may be designated Quality Major; Quality Speed; Quality Non-Major and Speed Non-Major.
- 3. This report specifically considers the Quality of Major Applications and covers the period 2017 2025. The Quality of Major Applications is for decisions made within a two-year period with appeal decisions up to and including the 31 December of the two-year period.
- **4.** Therefore, the periods covered in this report are as follows:
  - April 2017 March 2019 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2019)
  - April 2018 March 2020 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2020)
  - April 2019 March 2021 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2021)
  - April 2020 March 2022 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2022)
  - April 2021 March 2023 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2023)
  - April 2022 March 2024 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2024)
  - April 2023 March 2025 (appeal decisions made by 31/12/2025)
- 5. The Planning Advisory Service provided each Local Authority with a 'Crystal Ball' (basically a spreadsheet) where the data can be added each month/quarter to monitor whether there is any risk of designation.
- 6. Below shows the periods from April 2017 within the two-year MHCLG monitoring periods. To note Apr 2022 March 2025 has now been updated to reflect MHCLG guidance whereby decisions and appeals for variation/removal of conditions are not taken into consideration in respect of Quality Performance.

	All Major Decisions	Refusals	Appeals	Dismissed	Allowed	Pending	Result
Apr 2017 - Mar 2019	76	29	17	8	7	2*	9.21%
Apr 2018 - Mar 2020	79	46	34	17	13	4**	16.46%
Apr 2019 - Mar 2021	74	38	27	13	13	1***	17.57%
Apr 2020 - Mar 2022	68	31	19	7	8	4****	11.76%
Apr 2021 - Mar 2023							11.1%
Oct 2021 – Sep2023	72	29	16	7	9	0	12.5%
				_	_		/
Jan 22 – Dec 23	68	22	12	6	5	1	7.35%
				_			1.0067
Apr 2022 - Mar 2024	71	22	12	6	3	3	4.23%
A 0000 M							
Apr 2023 – Mar 2025	93	21	9	3	3	3	3.23%

<sup>\*</sup>Pending decision falls outside of the criteria window of appeal decision made by 31/12/2019.

Designation data does not include S73 applications to Vary/Remove conditions. The table has now been updated and this data has been removed.

### 7 Cost of appeals per year\*

Year	Legal including Awards of	Consultants
	Costs	
2017 - 2018	£102,660	£33,697
2018 - 2019	£ 21,325	£10,241
2019 - 2020	£182,013	£78,776
2020 - 2021	£144,117	£70,481
2021 - 2022	£129,453	£152,057
2022 - 2023	£306,407.36	£169,873.42
2023 - 2024	£84,854.48	£40,800.78
2024 – 2025	£46,000.00	£25,947.00

<sup>\*\*</sup>Pending decisions fell outside of the criteria window of appeal decisions made by 31/12/2020.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Pending decisions fell outside of the criteria window of appeal decisions made by 31/12/2021.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Pending decisions fell outside of the criteria window of appeal decisions made by 31/12/2022.

Please note that Inquiry/Hearing cost may not be held in the same financial year as the application decision.

# 8. Pending Appeals

### 8.1

Reference	Address	Type of Appeal	Dates of Hearing/Inquiry – if known
UTT/23/2496/FUL	Land West of High	Written	
	Street Stebbing	Representations	
UTT/23/2964/OP	Highwood Farm	Written	
	Stortford Road	Representations	
	Great Dunmow		
UTT/24/0741/FUL	Land Known As 7	Written	
	Acres Warish Hall	Representations	
	Farm		
	Parsonage Road		
	Takeley		

### Recommendation

# 9. It is recommended that the Committee notes this report for information.

# **Impact**

Communication/Consultation	Planning Committee
Community Safety	None
Equalities	None
Health & Safety	None
Human Rights/Legal Implications	None
Sustainability	None
Ward-specific impacts	None
Workforce/Workplace	None

# **Risk Analysis**

<sup>\*</sup>Not including the Stansted Airport Inquiry.

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
3	3	3	Action Plan &
			Pathway work

- 1 = Little or no risk or impact
- 2 = Some risk or impact action may be necessary
- 3 = Significant risk or impact action required
- 4= Near certainty of risk occurring, catastrophic effect or failure of project