

SLOUGH BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report To: Corporate Improvement Scrutiny Committee

Date: 29 July 2025

Subject: Election of chairs and members of CISC Task Groups

Chief Officer: Sukdave Ghuman, Monitoring Officer

Contact Officer: Amy O'Brien, Scrutiny and Governance Officer

Ward(s): All

Exempt: No

Appendices: None

1. Summary and Recommendations

1.1 The purpose of this report is to appoint the Chairs and then 3 other members to each of the two task groups on:

- i. Budget Management and Budget Planning and
- ii. The Improvement and Recovery Programme.

1.2 This report is an updated version of the report from the June 2025 meeting.

2 Recommendations

The Committee is recommended to:

2.1 Appoint a Chair (from the new pool of chairs) and then three other members to each of the task groups on:

- i. Budget Management and Budget Planning; and
- ii. The Improvement and Recovery Programme.

Commissioner Review

This report is outside the scope for pre-publication commissioner review; please check the [Commissioners' instruction 5 to CLT to sign off papers](#) for further details.

3. Report

3.1 At the CISC meeting on 29 April 2025 the committee agreed to establish two task groups – Budget Management and Budget Planning; and on the Improvement & Recovery Progamme. The scope of these two task groups is set out in the reports to that meeting.

- 3.2 It was resolved that task group members be appointed to each at the first CISC meeting of the municipal cycle on 24 June 2025, however this was deferred to the meeting of the 29 July 2025.
- 3.3 The committee is being asked to agree the chairs and three other members for each task group. The chairs should be drawn from the pool of chairs agreed by CISC in June. These are Councillors Hulme, O'Kelly, Mann, Mohindra and Muvvala.
- 3.4 In accordance with Section 5 of the Overview & Scrutiny Procedure Rules relating to task and finish groups, any member of the Council may be a member of a scrutiny task group. They do not need to be members of CISC. There is no requirement for political proportionality although cross-party representation is desirable.

4. Other Implications

Financial implications

- 4.1 There are no direct financial implications associated with this report.
- 4.2 It should be noted that, should a member of the pool act as Chair of a task and finish group, then this qualifies for a Special Responsibility Allowance (SRA) (Part 6 of the Constitution) of £2,402 paid on a pro rata basis according to the length of time acting as Chair as measured from the date their Scrutiny Task & Finish Group was established until the date its report was received by the Corporate Improvement Scrutiny Committee. Members can only receive one SRA so if Member already receives an SRA, they would not be entitled to any further allowance for chairing a Task & Finish Group.

Other statutory implications

- 4.3 There are no other specific statutory or legal implications arising from this report.

5. Conclusion

The Committee is requested to appoint the Chairs and membership of both task and finish groups.

6. Background Papers

[Agenda for Corporate Improvement Scrutiny Committee on Tuesday, 24th June, 2025, 6.30 pm](#)