Slough Borough Council

Report to: Standards Committee

Date: 20 March 2025

Subject: Members' Survey

Chief Officer: Sukdave Ghuman, Director of Law and Governance and

Monitoring Officer

Contact Officer: Colin J Sweeney, Head of Democratic Services and

Scrutiny (colin.sweeney@slough.gov.uk)

Ward(s): All

Exempt: No

Appendices: Appendix A – survey responses detail 2025

Appendix B – previous years survey responses

1. Summary and Recommendations

1.1 This report:

- a) Details the results of the third-ever survey of SBC councillors, which concluded in January 2025.
- b) Compares those results to the previous survey, which took place in January 2024.
- c) Invites members' reflections on actions which should arise from these survey results.

Recommendations:

- 1. That the results of the previous Member Survey, and plans for the use of these by officers to inform future action, be noted;
- 2. That the committee provides feedback to officers on potential actions to be taken in response to the survey;
- 3. That the committee provide feedback on improvements to the process of gathering members' feedback in future years.

Reason:

The Standards Committee's terms of reference include to 'monitor the operation of the Council's ethical framework including the code of conduct' and to advise the Council based on its findings. The member survey provides an important source of information about members' perceptions and opinions, including about the conduct of members and other aspects of the Committee's terms of reference.

Commissioners' Comments

This report is being reviewed by Commissioners and any specific comments will be reported to the meeting.

Introduction

- 1.2 A report on this topic was most recently received by this committee in March 2024.
- 2.3 At the request of the Secretary of State, in the form of directions issued to Slough Borough Council, a series of 'improvement plans' have been created and published. Progress against these plans is being monitored by government-appointed commissioners, and the Council has additionally identified a number of other areas for improvement.
- 2.4 All the Council's plans will benefit from data about councillors' skills, attitudes, motivations and concerns. These include the Democratic Governance Action Plan and Scrutiny Action Plan, as well as a Culture Change Plan.
- 2.5 It is in any event good practice for local authorities to survey their councillors periodically and to use the results to ensure that services and support for councillors are designed to meet their specific needs. At a national level, the Local Government Association carries out a 'census' of councillors for similar reasons.
- An action to complete such a survey was included in the Democratic Governance Action Plan. A further action to embed this as annual practice is also included. By carrying out the survey for a third time, this action is being fulfilled.

Method

- 2.7 An online survey was launched to councillors using Microsoft Forms during November-December 2024. Group leaders agreed the content and furthermore agreed to encourage their membership to complete the survey. It was:
 - Sent to each councillor individually on Microsoft Teams.
 - Sent to each councillor individually by email.
 - Sent to all councillors in the Member Newsletter
- 2.8 Results were recorded anonymously.
- 2.9 The survey was designed to include several questions from the National Councillor Census conducted by the Local Government Association, allowing a degree of national benchmarking. Additionally, questions were kept as similar as possible to the previous two surveys in order to assist with comparison.

Response

2.10 Twenty one out of 42 councillors responded, a response rate of 50%, which is 24% down on responses received to the 2024 survey. The drop in response rate is disappointing and members may wish to consider the reasons for this and any action that can be taken to encourage members to complete future surveys.

- 2.11 The results of the questions, including a full account of the free text responses and comparisons, where available, with the LGA councillor survey and the previous survey are presented at Appendix A.
- 2.12 Any potentially identifying personal comments have been redacted, otherwise the free text comments are presented in their original and full form. The only information not presented is the free-text comments from the former survey, which can be reviewed in the appendix to the March 2024 Standards Committee.
- 2.13 Of the three annual surveys, the 2024 survey is the only one where all current members could have taken part. In May 2023, twenty-two new councillors joined the council (out of forty-two) and the political administration changed. It is impossible to know how many of the 20 councillors who remained from the previous cohort were amongst the 24 who responded to the first survey, and it is unknown how many of those were amongst the 31 respondents to the second survey.
- 2.14 Therefore, the comparability of the results between surveys in Appendix A cannot be assumed. However, the exercise of comparing these survey results is not pointless as long as it is done carefully in this informed context. Some subjects covered by the survey such as councillors' perceptions of the quality of the member support offer and of officers' behaviours may be easier to meaningfully compare than others.

Answers with highest percentage listed		
Question	2025 Survey	2024 Survey
Main reasons for becoming a Councillor?	86% of 21 responses said to serve the community.	94% of 31 responses said to serve the community.
2. Most important things Councillors do?	62% of 21 responses said to listen to the views of local people and to represent local residents' views to the Council	65% of 31 responses said to represent local resident's views to the Council.
3. How much influence to change things?	48% of 21 responses said they felt they had less influence to change things than they expected before being elected.	39% of 31 responses said they felt they had about as much influence to change things as they expected.
4. How effective in role of Councillor?	48% of 21 responses said	45% of 31 responses said they were very effective in their role as a Councillor.
5. How effective were most SBC Councillors in their roles as Councillor?	33% of 21 responses said somewhat effective. 33% also said somewhat ineffective.	35% of 31 responses said somewhat effective. 23% also said somewhat ineffective
6. Would Councillors recommend becoming an	62% of 21 responses said yes.	77% of 31 responses said yes.

SBC		
Councillor?		
7. Quality of member support provided by the Council overall and by Democratic Services?	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed they were satisfied with the quality of support provided by the Council overall. A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed they were satisfied with the quality of support provided by Democratic Services.	A majority of members agreed or strongly disagreed they were satisfied with the quality of support
8. There is a healthy culture and good ways of working overall?	A number of members agreed or strongly agreed there was a healthy culture and good ways of working overall amongst Councillors and officers. An equal number of members disagreed or strongly disagreed.	A number of members agreed or strongly agreed there was a healthy culture and good ways of working overall amongst Councillors and officers.
9. Did they have confidence in SBC Councillors and senior officers?	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.
10. Did senior officers understand Councillors and how politics works in Slough?	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.
11.	Member comments on thi	s topic are listed in Appendix A.
12. When they submitted casework (via the portal), they were confident a) that it would be resolved, and b) that they would get a response within a reasonable timeframe?	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.	

13. When they submitted a service request (e.g. via the Council's website), they were confident a) that it would be resolved, and b) that they would get a response within a reasonable timeframe.	agreed or strongly agreed. A majority of members	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.
contacted a senior officer with a question or an issue, they were confident a) that it would be resolved, and b) that they would get a response within a reasonable timeframe.	agreed or strongly agreed.	
15.	Member comments on this	s topic are listed in Appendix A.
16. The Council provided Councillors with IT equipment and infrastructure, which enables them to carry out their roles effectively.	A significant majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.	A significant majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.
17.	Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A.	
18.The Council's Corporate Improvement Scrutiny Committee?	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed that (a) scrutiny arrangements are working well; (b) scrutiny members had the skills needed to do the role well; (c) scrutiny was an important mechanism for community	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed with (a) to (f), (however a number of members disagreed or strongly disagreed with these.

23. Decision- making	The vast majority of members who responded said that they had a good understanding of the work of the Cabinet and felt able to have their say about issues affecting Slough, its residents and the Council.	The vast majority of members who responded said that they had a good understanding of the work of the Cabinet and felt able to have their say about issues affecting Slough, its residents and the Council.
22.		his topic are listed in Appendix
sessions?	38% said they did not attend because these were not online.	
from attending more member development	these.	23% said they did not attend because these were not online.
Councillors say were the main things which prevented them	that responded said that nothing prevented them from attending and that they attended most of	responded said that nothing prevented them from attending and that they attended most of these.
If there was any additional training and development they needed, they would know how to access it. 21. What did	71% of the 21 members	81% of the 31 members that
opportunities they required; and	The vast majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.	
20. Councillors had the training and development	A. A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.	A majority of members agreed or strongly agreed.
19.	Member comments on the	his topic are listed in Appendix
	engagement; (d) scrutiny has a positive impact on the outcomes for residents; (e) scrutiny works in a cross-cutting fashion and is not restricted to departmental silos; and (f) scrutiny committee was good at prioritising only the most important issues.	
	engagement: (d) scruting	

24.	Member comments on the A.	his topic are listed in Appendix
	said that committee and council reports provided sufficient information for them to make informed decisions. A majority of members disagreed or strongly	provided sufficient information for them to make informed decisions. A majority of members disagreed or strongly disagreed that the Council communicates well with residents about the big decisions

25. Were effective arrangements in place to deal with inappropriate behaviour?	A majority of members agreed there were effective measures in place to deal with inappropriate behaviour by members of the public, officers and councillors.	A majority of members agreed there were effective measures in place to deal with inappropriate behaviour by members of the public, officers and councillors.
26 and 27. Fulfilling role as councillor and any abuse or intimidation?	Over the past twelve months, a majority of members that responded said they had rarely or never felt at risk personally when fulfilling their councillor role. A majority of members that responded said that they had occasionally, or frequently, experienced abuse or intimidation in their role as a councillor primarily from members of the public.	Over the past twelve months, a majority of members that responded said they had rarely or never felt at risk personally when fulfilling their councillor role.

28. Statements posed. A majority of members felt confident that if they raised a serious issue or concern their concerns would be investigated and responded to. The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 17% were scrutiny members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 26% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A verage hours spent (weekly) on Council business. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in the Appendix) 15 between 16 and 45 hours		_	
they raised a serious issue or concern their concerns would be investigated and responded to. The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 60 fthose 21 members that responded: 13% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of hours per week, A small minority spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in breakdown is listed in breakdown is listed in breakdown is listed in processing and responded to. The vast majority of members understoad the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members end how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members that responded: 13% were Cabinet members that responded: 13% were Cabinet members. 26% were scrutiny members 26% were scrutiny members 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. A significant majority of hours spent: A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: 15 spent between 0 and 15 hours	28. Statements	A majority of members	A majority of members felt
they raised a serious issue or concern their concerns would be investigated and responded to. The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 17% were Sabre chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 26% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of members is a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 45% were Cabinet members. 45% were Cabinet members. 45% were chair or vice-chair of committees 26% were scrutiny members 26% were scrutiny members 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in between 0 and 15 hours between 0 and 15 hour	posed.	felt confident that if	confident that if they raised a
issue or concern their concerns would be investigated and responded to. The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those part was per week (a breakdown is listed in between 0 and 15 hours between 12 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	'	they raised a serious	
concerns would be investigated and responded to. The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. Of those 21 members that responded: 13% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 26% were secrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in between 16 and 45 hours			
investigated and responded to. The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. Of those 21 members that responded: 13% were Cabinet Members 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those per week (a breakdown is listed in 15 between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in 15 between 16 and 45 hours			_
responded to. The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. Of those 21 members that responded: 13% were Cabinet Members 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 26% were scrutiny members 26% were scrutiny members 26% were scrutiny members 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those per week. A small minority spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			and responded to.
The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A verage hours spent (weekly) on Council business. 31 and 32. A small minority spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of members felt confident hat if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. A majority of mem		investigated and	
The vast majority of members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. Of those 21 members that responded: 13% were Cabinet Members 25% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committees 26% were scrutiny members 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A verage hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		responded to.	1
members understood the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. Of those 21 members that responded: 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were Chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		The vast majority of	1
the standards regime and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were Cabinet Members 17% were Sacutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 25% were committees 17% were scrutiny members 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			•
and how to raise a complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 26% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded: 52% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. Similar response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			a councilior.
complaint about a councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. Of those 21 members that responded: 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded to the between 21 and 31+ hours per week. A small minority spent between 12 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in the complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively.		1	
councillor. A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly on Council business. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly on Council business. 4 mall minority spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in 15 between 16 and 45 hours			1
A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. Of those 21 members that responded: 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		complaint about a	confident that if they lodged a
A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. Of those 21 members that responded: 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		councillor.	complaint against a councillor, it
A majority of members felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. Of those 21 members that responded: 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded: 13% were Cabinet members. 45% were chair or vice-chair of committees 26% were scrutiny members 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded: 13% were Cabinet members. 26% were scrutiny members 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. Similar response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: hours per week. A small minority spent between 0 and 15 hours between 16 and 45 hours			,
felt confident that if they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8 were Cabinet Members 25% were Chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. 52% were cabinet members 45% were chair or vice-chair of committees 26% were scrutiny members 52% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. Similar response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: 15 spent between 0 and 15 hours between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		A majority of members	Troute so dealt man emeatre.
they lodged a complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. 30. Positions held at the Council. Swere Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded: 13% were Cabinet members that responded: 13% were Cabinet members. 45% were chair or vice-chair of committees 26% were scrutiny members 52% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. Similar response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		1	
complaint against a councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. 30. Positions held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			
councillor, it would be dealt with effectively. 29.		, , ,	
dealt with effectively. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. Of those 21 members that responded: 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were Chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		complaint against a	
dealt with effectively. Member comments on this topic are listed in Appendix A. Of those 21 members that responded: 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were Chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		councillor, it would be	
29.		-	
30. Positions held at the Council. Of those 21 members that responded: 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	20	 	this tonic are listed in Annendix A
held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	20.	Weinber comments on	this topic are listed in Appendix A.
held at the Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	30 Positions	Of those 21 members	Of the 31 members that responded:
Council. 8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			Of the of members that responded.
8% were Cabinet Members 25% were chair or vice- chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 26% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		that responded.	420/ ware Cabinat magnetical
Members 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 26% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent (weekly) on Council between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	Council.		13% were Cabinet members.
25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			
25% were chair or vice-chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 26% were scrutiny members 17% were scrutiny members 26% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		Members	45% were chair or vice-chair of
chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			committees
chair of committees 17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		25% were chair or vice-	
17% were scrutiny members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		chair of committees	26% were scrutiny members
members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. Similar response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: 15 spent between 0 and 15 hours			2070 Word cordainy monisore
members 33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 26% were SBC representatives on other bodies. Similar response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: 15 spent between 0 and 15 hours		17% were scrutiny	52% were committee members
33% were committee members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		1	
members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		Illellibers	(excluding cabinet and scrutiny)
members (excluding cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		22% word committee	26% word SPC representatives an
cabinet and scrutiny) 17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent petween 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			·
17% were SBC representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent on Council business. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: 15 spent between 0 and 15 hours between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		, ,	other podies.
representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent on Council business. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		cabinet and scrutiny)	
representatives on other bodies. 31 and 32. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent on Council business. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			
other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in Similar response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: 15 spent between 0 and 15 hours		17% were SBC	
other bodies. 31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in Similar response to 2025 results. A question asked specifically, the number of hours spent: 15 spent between 0 and 15 hours		representatives on	
31 and 32. Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. A significant majority of those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		-	
Average hours spent (weekly) on Council business. those members that responded, spent between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	31 and 32		Similar response to 2025 results
spent (weekly) on Council between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		, , ,	Ontinal response to 2020 results.
on Council between 0 and 15 hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	1		
business. hours per week. A small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	, ,		
small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in			number of hours spent:
small minority spent between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in	business.	hours per week. A	
between 21 and 31+ hours per week (a breakdown is listed in		•	15 spent between 0 and 15 hours
hours per week (a 15 between 16 and 45 hours breakdown is listed in			
breakdown is listed in			15 between 16 and 15 bours
			13 Detween 10 and 43 mouns
the Appendix)	1	dreakdown is listed in	

33. What are councillors' current circumstances? 34. Current occupation (if in paid	57% in full-time, paid employment. 14% are retired. 19% are self-employed or freelance. 10% are "other" Of the 21 members that responded:	58% in full time, paid employment. 16% are retired 13% are self-employed or freelance
employment)?	 1 is in administration, clerical, secretarial or sales 5 are managerial or executive 2 are manual or craft 5 are professional or technical 3 are not in paid employment 2 are "other" 	
35. Any additional	Of the 21 members that responded:	Of the 31 members that responded:
democratic,		3 are parish councillors
voluntary and unpaid positions	1 is a parish councillor	1 is a school governor
held?	3 are school governors	17 held no such positions
	14 held no such	1 is a magistrate
	positions	1 is a magistrate
	2 are "other"	6 are "others"
36. Any primary carer	Of the 21 members that responded:	Of the 31 members that responded:
responsibilities?	responded.	6 (children)
	7 (children)	0 (partner)
	2 (partner)	3 (relative)
	2 (relative)	20 (none)
	10 (none) 1 (other)	1 (other)
37.	` '	this topic are listed in Appendix A.
38. Any further comments	Member comments on	this topic are listed in Appendix A.

For completeness, a summary of the 2023- and 2024-Members' Surveys is also attached to this report at Appendix B.

Next Steps - Response to the Survey

- 2.15 Various statistics in the original January 2023 survey were expected to provide the basis for measurement of the Council's performance in terms of support provided to members (e.g. Members' satisfaction with Democratic Services, IT, officer responsiveness etc) and in terms of their perception of the Council's performance at supporting residents (e.g. the figures about responsiveness to service requests and complaints). Crucially, a number of statistics then, provided insights into the intended improvement of the leadership culture at Slough Borough Council, especially as it related to the relationship between senior officers and members.
- 2.16 Overall there is a general reduction in the metrics across the board. Where this is not the case it is highlighted in Appendix A.
- 2.16 Members of the Standards Committee are invited to provide officers with their views on the key results and a steer as to how they would like to see the Council respond to the data. Officers intend to use the data in similar ways to the results from the first two surveys, including:
 - The Corporate Governance Working Group (an officer board chaired by the Monitoring Officer which acts as the project board for the Democratic Governance Project and the Scrutiny Improvement Project) will review the data for actions to add to the Political Leadership and Governance Improvement Plans.
 - The plan for member development and induction will take into account the feedback from the survey and this committee, assisted by the contribution of an informal Member Development Working Group.
- 2.17 This committee has a role in advising, training or arranging training for Members on matters relating to the Council's Ethical Framework including the Code of Conduct. Informal feedback on the survey from group leaders has included whether the timing in the municipal year is right. In addition the survey is quite long and members may wish to consider whether the benefit of some questions outweighed the additional time it takes to complete it. Reducing the number of questions may allow more opportunity for free test comments to expand on questions such as councillor effectiveness and working relationships between councillors and officers. The committee may wish to consider whether feedback on IT or scrutiny is better collected via alternative means.
- Officers have already taken steps in response to the survey, including reviewing the quality of reports and language used to make them more readily understandable for the public and councillors alike and ran a member officer workshop to assist members to understand roles and responsibilities and how to work effectively with officers on difficult issues, Officers are also considering what further support can be provided to councillors to ensure their wellbeing and safety when they are working in their communities, including in relation to use of social media.

3.1 Financial Implications

3.1.1 There are no specific financial implications.

3.2 Legal Implications

3.2.1 There are no specific legal implications.

3.3 Risk Management Implications

3.3.1 Failure to take proper account of the information provided could hinder the Council's improvement efforts and/or make it harder to demonstrate improvement to the government-appointed commissioners.

3.4 Environmental Implications

3.4.1 There are no specific environmental implications.

3.5 **Equality Implications**

3.5.1 This survey provides some insight into the demography and family circumstances of councillors, in addition to information about the degree to which members have experienced bullying and/or harassment. When reviewing this information, the Council must take into account its equalities duties.

4. Background Papers

None.