

## SLOUGH DOMESTIC ABUSE SERVICES MONITORING REPORT

### IDVA REPORT

REFERRALS										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Total number of referrals received	159		142		144				445	
Q1 Comments	Consistent referrals to the service.									
Q2 Comments	Consistent referrals to the service. However, with other BAED services in Slough the referral numbers have not declined for the									
Q3 Comments	Consistent referrals to the service, slightly down to be expected due to services working at a slower pace during Christmas period.									
Q4 Comments										
REFERRAL SOURCE										
SCF	37	23%	36	25%	45	31%	0	#DIV/0!	118	27%
ASC	7	4%	6	4%	2	1%	0	#DIV/0!	15	3%
YPS	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	0%
Health	11	7%	13	9%	16	11%	0	#DIV/0!	40	9%
Housing	4	3%	2	1%	4	3%	0	#DIV/0!	10	2%
MARAC	25	16%	27	19%	22	15%	0	#DIV/0!	74	17%
Police	24	15%	18	13%	22	15%	0	#DIV/0!	64	14%
Schools	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	#DIV/0!	1	0%
ISVA/IDVA service	7	4%	2	1%	6	4%	0	#DIV/0!	15	3%
SARC	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	0%
FIS	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	0%
Self-referral	20	13%	17	12%	9	6%	0	#DIV/0!	46	10%
Other	24	15%	29	20%	23	16%	0	#DIV/0!	76	17%
Q1 Comments	<b>24</b> Other referrals:									
Q2 Comments	<b>29</b> Other referrals:									
Q3 Comments	<b>23</b> Other referrals:									
Q4 Comments										
REPEAT REFERRALS										
No (first time referral)	85	53%	63	44%	118	82%			266	60%
Yes - Previously attended Freedom Programme	9	6%	21	15%	0	0%			30	7%
Yes - Previously supported by IDVA	46	29%	39	27%	1	1%			86	19%
Yes - Previously supported by outreach worker	12	8%	16	11%	33	23%			61	14%
Yes - Previously referred to medium risk safety planner who signposted to other service	1	1%	3	2%	0	0%			4	1%
Yes - Declined support	6	4%	33	23%	34	24%			73	16%
Q1 Comments	Repeat referrals are generally from MARAC, due to no need to consent for a referral. We attend the MARAC Meeting averaging 25									
Q2 Comments	<b>3</b> - Previously attended Wexham Park Hospital for support.									
Q3 Comments	<b>7</b> - Previously supported by Hillingdon DA FSS; <b>26</b> - Slough DA Bamer Outreach; <b>1</b> - Wexham Park Hospital for support.									
Q4 Comments										
REFERRAL OUTCOME										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Referral accepted	73	46%	54	38%	62	43%			189	42%
Referred back to referrer	76	48%	72	51%	57	40%			205	46%
Referred to Freedom Programme	0	0%	0	0%	22	15%			22	5%
Referred to Outreach	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			2	0%
Referred to Complex Needs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Q1 Comments	Referral outcome:									
Q2 Comments	Referral outcome:									
Q3 Comments	Referral outcome:									
Q4 Comments										
Referrals with attempted contact within 48 hours (excluding weekends)	135	85%	62	44%	109	76%			306	69%
Q1 Comments	<b>24</b> - SU not contacted within 48 hours of referral into service.									
Q2 Comments	All referrals are contacted within the 48 hour window, except for Friday referrals.									
Q3 Comments	All referrals are contacted within the 48 hour window, except for Friday referrals of which we received 16.									
Q4 Comments										
RISK LEVEL										
Standard	29	18%	44	31%	42	29%			115	26%
Medium	39	25%	45	32%	46	32%			130	29%
High	4	3%	7	5%	3	2%			14	3%
Professional Judgement	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Did not consent to assessment / unable to contact	12	8%	21	15%	23	16%			56	13%
Q1 Comments	Referrals from the Police are mainly risked as medium, after contact, the IDVA assesses the risk as high risk or warrant of a referral									
Q2 Comments	MARAC - September 2023 was conducted by an interim officer - feedback: Sped through the 20 cases and finished in									
Q3 Comments	Referrals from the Police are mainly risked as medium, after contact, the IDVA assesses the risk as high risk or warrant of a referral									
Q4 Comments										
ENGAGEMENT										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Referrals accepted support	73	100%	72	133%	73	118%			218	115%
Q1 Comments	<b>76</b> - Declined support.									
Q2 Comments	MARAC - September 2023 was conducted by an interim officer - feedback: Sped through the 20 cases and finished in									
Q3 Comments	<b>35</b> - Declined support.									
Q4 Comments										

*From this point onwards data is on cases that accepted IDVA support (see ENGAGEMENT).*

### SAFELIVES DASH

Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
SafeLives DASH completed within 48 hours	67	92%	63	88%	67	92%			197	90%
<b>REASONS FOR NOT COMPLETING SAFELIVES DASH WITHIN 48 HOURS OF BEGINNING SUPPORT</b>										
Unable to contact	1	1%	0	0%	24	71%			25	11%
Client not engaging	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Moved out of borough	0	0%	0	0%	3	9%			3	1%
Delay due to client's availability or client did not want to complete, engaging client	2	3%	9	100%	7	21%			18	8%
Q1 Comments	All SUs that accepted support were able to complete DASH within 48 hours except 3.									
Q2 Comments	All SUs that accepted support were able to complete DASH within 48 hours except 9.									
Q3 Comments	All SUs that accepted support were able to complete DASH within 48 hours.									
Q4 Comments										

<b>ACTIVE CASES</b>					
Output	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	YTD
Number of active cases at the end of the period	111	27	33	0	33

<b>ISSP</b>										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
ISSP completed within the last 6 weeks	68		55		66				189	87%
<b>REASONS FOR NOT COMPLETING ISSP WITHIN LAST 6 WEEKS</b>										
Unable to contact	1	1%	3	100%	0	0%			4	2%
Client not engaging	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Moved out of borough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Client did not wish to complete assessment	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%			1	0%
Delay due to client's availability or client did not want to complete, engaging client	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Q1 Comments	68 - ISSP's are completed during the support of the SU, within ten (10) days of completing the DASH or at the same time of the									
Q2 Comments	7 - Not in 10 days. Of the 7, 4 were held by DA Outreach, therefore Safety Plan and Strengths & Aspirations have been									
Q3 Comments	1 - Not in 10 days. SU declined support after DASH assessment completed, and all signposting/referrals declined.									
Q4 Comments										

<b>SAG</b>										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
SAG completed within the last 6 weeks	60	54%	58	215%	66	200%			184	84%
<b>REASONS FOR NOT COMPLETING SAG WITHIN LAST 6 WEEKS</b>										
Unable to contact	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Client not engaging	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%			1	0%
Moved out of borough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Client did not wish to complete assessment	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%			1	0%
Delay due to client's availability or client did not want to complete, engaging client	9	12%	0	0%	0	0%			9	4%
Q1 Comments	60- SOAG's are completed during the support of the SU, within ten (10) days of completing the DASH or at the same time of the									
Q2 Comments	58- SOAG's are completed during the support of the SU, within ten (10) days of completing the DASH or at the same									
Q3 Comments	66- SOAG's are completed during the support of the SU, within six (6) weeks of completing the DASH or at the same time of the									
Q4 Comments										

<b>CASE CLOSURE</b>										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Number of closed cases in period	79		70		63				212	
Number of planned closures	79	100%	67	96%	60	95%			206	97%
Closed cases provided with opportunity to feedback via exit survey	79	100%	67	96%	60	95%			206	97%
Number of responses	69	87%	48	69%	60	95%			117	55%
Q1 Comments	Cases are closed once there has been a completed programme of support for the SU. Cases are reviewed before closure to									
Q2 Comments	Cases are closed once there has been a completed programme of support for the SU. Cases are reviewed before									
Q3 Comments	Cases are closed once there has been a completed programme of support for the SU. Cases are reviewed before closure to									
Q4 Comments										

<b>RISK LEVEL ON EXIT</b>										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Reduced	5	6%	21	30%	15	24%			41	19%
Remained the same	65	82%	49	70%	48	76%			162	76%
Increased	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Total	70	100%	70	100%	63	100%	0	0%	203	96%
Q1 Comments	Reduced from High Risk to Medium : 3; Medium to Standard : 2.									
Q2 Comments	Reduced from High Risk to Low : 1; Medium to Standard : 7.									
Q3 Comments	Reduced from High Risk to Low : 1; Medium to Standard : 11.									
Q4 Comments										

<b>DURATION OF IDVA SUPPORT (closed cases)</b>										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
<1 month	58	73%	41	59%	27	43%			126	59%
1-3 months	48	61%	26	37%	34	54%			108	51%
>3 months	5	6%	3	4%	2	3%			10	5%
Total	111	141%	70	100%	63	100%	0	0%	244	115%
Q1 Comments	SU staying in service as long as necessary for them to complete a programme of support and the risk posed when entering the									
Q2 Comments	SU staying in service as long as necessary for them to complete a programme of support and the risk posed when									
Q3 Comments	SU staying in service as long as necessary for them to complete a programme of support and the risk posed when									
Q4 Comments										

From this point onwards data is on closed cases that accepted IDVA support (see CASE CLOSURE).

<b>INCREASED SAFETY</b>										
Output / Outcome	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Referrals to MARAC	7	9%	6	9%	7	11%			20	9%
Referrals to Safety Planner	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%			1	0%
Referrals to Outreach	6	8%	0	0%	7	11%			13	6%
Exited relationship	21	27%	16	23%	0	0%			37	17%
Placed in refuge	5	6%	4	6%	4	6%			13	6%
Moved out of area	9	11%	5	7%	11	17%			25	12%
Sanctuary Scheme	2	3%	0	0%	1	2%			3	1%
Support with the CJS including reporting to the police	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Conviction obtained	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Support with civil/court orders	26	33%	40	57%	31	49%			97	46%

Liaison and support with probation	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Signposted to immigration services	3	4%	3	4%	3	5%			9	4%
NRM form submitted	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Service users who feel less frightened	61	77%	47	67%	50	79%			158	75%
Service users with improved safety at home and/or work	62	78%	48	69%	49	78%			159	75%
Q1 Comments	ASC: 2; Anah Proet: 1; Berkshire Healthcare: 2; BRAVE: 5; Browns: 3; Abuse Awareness Programme: 16; CMHS: 2; London									
Q2 Comments	ASC: 1; CSC: 3; Abuse Awareness Programme: 16; Child Maintenance Service: 1; Home Office Safeguarding Team: 1;									
Q3 Comments	Hampshire ASC: 1; Paladin: 1; Stop DA Hampshire: 1; NCDA: 1; Advance DAS: 1; Aurora: 4; SCF: 1; Cowshed: 2; CPE Adult: 2;									
Q4 Comments										

#### IMPROVED HEALTH AND WELLBEING

Output / Outcome	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Referrals to mental health and wellbeing support	32	41%	32	46%	16	25%			80	38%
Signpost to primary health services	1	1%	0	0%	2	3%			3	1%
Signpost to sexual health services	3	4%	1	1%	1	2%			5	2%
Referred to Turning Point	2	3%	0	0%	1	2%			3	1%
Service users who feel that their emotional and physical wellbeing has improved	64	81%	45	64%	51	81%			160	75%
Service users who feel that their confidence and self-esteem have improved	61	77%	45	64%	51	81%			157	74%
Service users who feel better able to cope	65	82%	46	66%	51	81%			162	76%
Q1 Comments	Referrals to Saheli Project, BRAVE Project and No.22 (Counselling Service in Slough). Specific data re: self esteem and ability to									
Q2 Comments	ASC: 1; CSC: 3; Abuse Awareness Programme: 16; Child Maintenance Service: 1; Home Office Safeguarding Team: 1;									
Q3 Comments	BRAVE: 12; Browns: 4; Abuse Awareness Programme: 23; Gateway TT: 9; The Listening Place: 1; Trust House: 3.									
Q4 Comments										

#### IMPROVED STABILITY AND INDEPENDENCE

Output / Outcome	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Service Users referred for housing advice	26	33%	1	1%	11	17%			38	18%
Service users referred for financial advice	3	4%	1	1%	0	0%			4	2%
Service users who feel their access to social networks/support improved	55	70%	44	63%	52	83%			151	71%
Service users who report knowing what do if another incident occurred	60	76%	46	66%	52	83%			158	75%
Q1 Comments	DDVC Obtained - 1.									
Q2 Comments	Child Maintenance Service: 1									
Q3 Comments	Exit Survey and DA Outcomes completed with SUs upon closing support.									
Q4 Comments										

#### CHILDREN'S RIGHTS TO SAFETY AND FAMILY LIFE

Output / Outcome	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Number of service users who are parents/carers	60	76%	37	53%	35	56%			132	62%
Number of referrals to Social Services	5	8%	11	16%	5	14%			21	16%
Parents/carers referred/signposted to parenting programmes or other interventions	2	3%	1	1%	1	3%			4	3%
Parents/carers supported with child arrangements	3	5%	5	7%	1	3%			9	7%
Parents/carers signposted to legal advice	27	45%	17	24%	17	49%			61	46%
Exit survey respondents with children	50	83%	27	39%	35	100%			112	85%
Service users who feel that their safety at child contact visits/school has improved	43	72%	25	36%	26	74%			94	71%
Service users who feel that their relationship with children improved	47	78%	23	33%	24	69%			94	71%
Service users who feel that their children's wellbeing improved	46	77%	25	36%	28	80%			99	75%
Service users who feel that their children's safety improved	48	80%	26	37%	30	86%			104	79%
Q1 Comments	5 - cases were receiving secondary support from SCF - CIN & CPC.									
Q2 Comments	21 - Cases blank with no information collected.									
Q3 Comments	21 - Cases blank with no information collected due to SU not having any children.									
Q4 Comments										

#### IDVA DEMOGRAPHICS

*This data is on all referrals.*

#### GENDER

	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Female	116	73%	112	79%	122	85%			350	79%
Male	9	6%	12	8%	5	3%			26	6%
Unknown	34	21%	18	13%	17	12%			69	16%
Total	159	100%	142	100%	144	100%	0	0%	445	100%
Q1 Comments	We seen an increase in the number of referrals, especially from the police directing the victim/survivor to make direct contact with									
Q2 Comments	We have received a number of referrals from both female and male persons from two communities in Slough that are fainging they									
Q3 Comments	We seen an increase in the number of referrals, especially from the police directing the victim/survivor to make direct contact with									
Q4 Comments										

#### AGE

	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
0-17	4	3%	2	1%	3	2%			9	2%
18-24	21	13%	17	12%	23	16%			61	14%
25-34	52	33%	49	35%	43	30%			144	32%
35-44	45	28%	43	30%	46	32%			134	30%
45-54	21	13%	18	13%	20	14%			59	13%
55-64	8	5%	5	4%	6	4%			19	4%
65+	8	5%	8	6%	3	2%			19	4%
Unknown	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Total	159	100%	142	100%	144	100%	0	0%	445	100%
Q1 Comments	V/S age range is consistent for each quarter between 18-44. Abuse of elderly spouse/parent has increased again, finding some									
Q2 Comments	V/S age range is consistent for each quarter between 18-44. Abuse of elderly spouse/parent has increased again, finding some									
Q3 Comments	V/S age range is consistent for each quarter between 18-44. Abuse of elderly spouse/parent has increased again, finding some									
Q4 Comments										

ETHNICITY										
	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
White - British	30	19%	34	24%	26	18%			90	20%
White - Irish	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%			2	0%
White - Any Other White Background	28	18%	18	13%	22	15%			68	15%
Asian - Indian	20	13%	12	8%	12	8%			44	10%
Asian - Pakistani	25	16%	27	19%	19	13%			71	16%
Asian - Bangladeshi	0	0%	2	1%	1	1%			3	1%
Asian - Any Other Asian Background	4	3%	8	6%	7	5%			19	4%
Black - Caribbean	3	2%	1	1%	3	2%			7	2%
Black - African	4	3%	2	1%	9	6%			15	3%
Black - Any Other Black Background	1	1%	0	0%	3	2%			4	1%
Dual Heritage - White and Black Caribbean	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Dual Heritage - White and Black African	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%			1	0%
Dual Heritage - White and Asian	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%			1	0%
Dual Heritage - Any Other Dual Heritage Background	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%			2	0%
Chinese	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Any Other Ethnic Group	5	3%	3	2%	4	3%			12	3%
Unknown	36	23%	32	23%	38	26%			106	24%
<b>Total</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>100%</b>
Q1 Comments	Any Other Ethnic: includes: Gypsy, Traveller community: <b>1</b> ; White Other: <b>28</b> - we are seeing an increased number of Polish and									
Q2 Comments	Consistent with the demographics for Slough.									
Q3 Comments	Any Other Ethnic: includes: Gypsy, Traveller community: <b>1</b> ; Arab: <b>1</b> ;									
Q4 Comments										

DISABILITY										
	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Disabled	7	4%	8	6%	2	1%			17	4%
Not disabled	112	70%	124	87%	102	71%			338	76%
Unknown	40	25%	10	7%	40	28%			90	20%
<b>Total</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>100%</b>
Q1 Comments	Disability Type: Autistic Spectrum Condition: <b>3</b> ; Learning Disability: <b>2</b> ; Mental Ill Health: <b>1</b> ; Visual Impairment: <b>1</b> ; Other: <b>2</b> .									
Q2 Comments	Disability Type: Mental Ill Health: <b>4</b> ; Visual Impairment: <b>1</b> ; Mobility: <b>2</b> ; Other: <b>1</b> .									
Q3 Comments	Disability Type: Mental Ill Health: <b>1</b> ; Mobility: <b>1</b> .									
Q4 Comments										

SEXUAL ORIENTATION										
	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Homosexual	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%			1	0%
Heterosexual	131	82%	121	85%	123	85%			375	84%
Bisexual	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%			1	0%
Self-described	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Unknown	26	16%	21	15%	21	15%			68	15%
<b>Total</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>100%</b>
Q1 Comments	Unknown: <b>6</b> and Not Entered: <b>20</b> - Referrals need to include the sexual orientation of the client. If you are unable to make contact									
Q2 Comments	Unknown: <b>3</b> and Not Entered: <b>18</b> - Referrals need to include the sexual orientation of the client. If you are unable to									
Q3 Comments	Unknown: <b>5</b> and Not Entered: <b>16</b> - Referrals need to include the sexual orientation of the client. If you are unable to									
Q4 Comments										

TRANSGENDER										
	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Identifies as transgender	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Does not identify as transgender	128	81%	116	82%	117	81%			361	81%
Unknown	31	19%	26	18%	27	19%			84	19%
<b>Total</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>100%</b>
Q1 Comments	<b>31</b> Unknown - This information was not captured in the referrals or through conversation with SU.									
Q2 Comments	<b>26</b> Unknown - This information was not captured in the referrals or through conversation with SU.									
Q3 Comments	<b>27</b> Unknown - This information was not captured in the referrals or through conversation with SU.									
Q4 Comments										

MARITAL STATUS										
	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Single	63	40%	52	37%	66	46%			181	41%
Married	65	41%	56	39%	47	33%			168	38%
Civil partnership	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Divorced	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Widowed	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Unknown	31	19%	34	24%	31	22%			96	22%
<b>Total</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>100%</b>
Q1 Comments	Unknown <b>31</b> - Referrals need to include marital status of the client. If you are unable to make contact you then lose that data.									
Q2 Comments	Unknown <b>34</b> - Referrals need to include marital status of the client. If you are unable to make contact you then lose that									
Q3 Comments	Unknown <b>31</b> - Referrals need to include marital status of the client. If you are unable to make contact you then lose that									
Q4 Comments										

ABUSE										
	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Honour based violence	3	2%	1	1%	1	1%			5	1%
Forced marriage	1	1%	1	1%	2	1%			4	1%
Both	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Modern slavery	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%
Sexual violence	3	2%	1	1%	1	1%			5	1%
Stalking no harassment	2	1%	2	1%	10	7%			14	3%
Q1 Comments	We have seen an increase in the number of referrals with HBA/FM. As a team we are more aware and completing									
Q2 Comments	VAWG and DA present in period: C&C: <b>78</b> ; Financial/Economic abuse: <b>20</b> ; Harassment & Stalking: <b>5</b> ; Physical or Sexual									
Q3 Comments	VAWG and DA present in period: C&C: <b>74</b> ; Financial/Economic abuse: <b>16</b> ; Harassment & Stalking: <b>10</b> ; Physical or Sexual									
Q4 Comments										

PREGNANCY										
	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Pregnant	4	3%	1	1%	4	3%			9	2%
Not pregnant	100	63%	56	39%	51	35%			207	47%

Unknown	2	1%	73	51%	84	58%			159	36%
N/A - male	9	6%	12	8%	5	3%			26	6%
Total	115	72%	142	100%	144	100%	0	0%	401	90%
Q1 Comments	Referrals need to include whether client is pregnant. If you are unable to make contact you then lose that data.									
Q2 Comments	Referrals need to include whether client is pregnant. If you are unable to make contact you then lose that data.									
Q3 Comments	Referrals need to include whether client is pregnant. If you are unable to make contact you then lose that data.									
Q4 Comments										

CHILDREN										
Output	Q1	Q1 %	Q2	Q2 %	Q3	Q3 %	Q4	Q4 %	YTD	YTD %
Number of referrals with children	136	86%	123	87%	73	51%			332	75%
Number of referrals with children on secondary support	24	18%	35	28%	45	62%			104	31%
AGES OF CHILDREN										
Under 2	11	11%	21	18%	8	8%			40	13%
2-5	18	19%	26	23%	22	22%			66	21%
6+	68	70%	67	59%	68	69%			203	66%
Total	97	100%	114	100%	98	100%			309	100%
Q1 Comments	Ages:									
Q2 Comments										
Q3 Comments										
Q4 Comments										

ADDITIONAL DATA	
Immigration Status	<b>Q1 2023-24:</b> British National: <b>91</b> ; EAA national: <b>18</b> ; Spousal Visa: <b>12</b> ; Student Visa: <b>2</b> ; Work Visa: <b>1</b> ; Unknown: <b>30</b> ;
Recourse to Public Funds	<b>Q1 2023-24:</b> No: <b>15</b> ; Yes: <b>12</b> - From EAA National community and those that have ILR; Unknown: <b>41</b> .
Recourse to Public Funds	<b>Q2 2023-24 - NOTE: NRPF</b> - A lady from Manchester admitted to Wexham Park Hospital with suspected broken wrist