

**Nicola Keegan**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 26 May 2023 20:14  
**To:** \_Licensing  
**Subject:** Outdoor Market in Slough  
  
**Categories:** Nicola

I think this is a good proposal and could bring shoppers back to the town

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

**Nicola Keegan**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 27 May 2023 [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Street trading consultation

**Categories:** Nicola

Dear sirs

I am writing with my comments on the above.

I think it is a great idea but we need to make sure that what is sold is not cheap knock offs but stalls that sell a good variety of items that will attract the many varied and diverse communities in Slough. Bringing them together.

Regards

[REDACTED]

## Nicola Keegan

---

**From:** Dean Cooke  
**Sent:** 23 June 2023 14:16  
**To:** \_Licensing  
**Cc:** TS DUTY  
**Subject:** Response to Market Consultation

**Categories:** Nicola

Dear Licensing,

I am responding on behalf of Trading Standards in relation to the Market Consultation.

We will not object to the Licence subject to the addition of some conditions

- Ban on the sale of Counterfeit Goods
- Ban on the sale of Age Restricted Goods
- Food Vendors must display allergen information where appropriate
- Items sold by weight or measure to have suitable equipment

Kind regards,

Dean

**Dean Cooke**  
**Principal Trading Standards Officer**  
**Slough Trading Standards**  
**M. 07821 811567**

## Nicola Keegan

---

**From:** Ann Stewart  
**Sent:** 23 June 2023 12:02  
**To:** \_Licensing  
**Cc:** Russell Denney-Clarke  
**Subject:** Market consultation

**Categories:** Nicola

Hi Licensing

I filled in your consultation form- below are my comments in case it does not come through.

I am writing on behalf of the Food & Safety Team at Slough Borough Council. We do not have any specific objection but would like the following matters to be reflected in any conditions linked to any consent given:

- Any food business present as part of the consent to be a) registered with a relevant local authority (usually the one where their stall/vehicle is stored overnight) and b) to have a minimum Food Hygiene Rating of 3 'Satisfactory'.
- Any electrical and/or gas equipment to be maintained and operated in a safe manner. Equipment should be examined from time to time by a competent person and relevant safety certificates/evidence of these examinations should be available upon request.
- Stall holders/the consent holder should ensure adequate provision of potable water where necessary.
- The Stall holders/consent holder should make adequate provision for the storage and disposal of waste including waste food and waste water.

Rgds

Ann

**Ann Stewart**  
**Food & Safety Professional- Communities and Public Protection**



**Tel- 01753 477905**  
**Mob-07784 211285**  
[www.slough.gov.uk](http://www.slough.gov.uk)

**Working Pattern- 8.30-5pm Tuesday-Friday & alternate Mondays.**

Nicola Keegan

---

From:  
Sent:  
To:  
Cc:  
Subject:

[Redacted]

Categories:

Nicola

[Redacted]

**From:** Adil Iftakhar <Adil.Iftakhar@slough.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 15, 2023 6:07 PM  
**To:** Russell Denney-Clarke <Russell.Denney-Clarke@slough.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** Ketan Gandhi <Ketan.Gandhi@slough.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** RE: Consultation on Street Trading Consent for Outdoor Market on Slough High Street (Member Memo)

Dear Mr Denney-Clarke,

I have serious concerns around public safety which needs to be addressed.

In terms of how ambulance, fire and police service would respond to incidents within the town Centre as a result of these market stalls.

Regards,

CLlr Adil Iftakhar

[Redacted]

## Nicola Keegan

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 01 June 2023 12:11  
**To:** \_Licensing  
**Subject:** Outdoor Market on Slough High Street Consultation  
**Categories:** Melanie

Hello,

I would like to oppose the plans for the Outdoor Market on the following grounds:

- Public order
- Public safety
- Public nuisance
- Impact on existing traders

### Public Order

The behaviour of individuals on Slough High Street is, at times, deplorable, with a significant number of shoplifting incidents that have regularly required additional security. A number of low security stalls hosting easily obtainable goods only increases the risk of crime on the High Street. As the plans published give no details of extra security or policing being provided, one can only assume that no additional security of policing is being provided. A rise in crime can only be hurting other traders, shoppers and residents.

### Public Safety

At present, the High Street is a rat run for delivery bikes, scooters and mopeds. The pedestrianised areas are not enforced as such by the council, police or PCSOs. The already dangerous area for pedestrians is only made more so by the available space being taken up with barricades, slippery surfaces in poor weather and inappropriately placed advertising signage. If work will be done consistently (and again the document published does not show this) to enforce the current restrictions on vehicles, powered and unpowered, and in decluttering the area then I would withdraw this specific objection. At present, the High Street is not safe for pedestrians, this proposal only makes it less safe.

### Public Nuisance

The plan is for the market to be available from 06:00. With the noise on the High Street due to current establishments and deliveries extending late into the night and into the early hours, having traders set up from 06:00 with mechanical and vehicle noise, then potentially their own noise as they hawk their wares and play music, this will exacerbate an existing problem to an intolerable level. It may be appropriate to limit the hours so that traders can set up after 08:00, and with stalls to be pack away no later than 19:00, and place a prohibition on vehicle movements outside those hours, and excessive noise inside them. It may be appropriate to monitor the behaviour of stallholders in their noise throughout their operation to ensure that local residents are not disturbed in the peaceful enjoyment of their private lives.

### Impact on existing traders

Not all stores have access to their rear along the High Street and some, notably Superdrug, make use of the High Street for deliveries. No differentiation is given in the maps as to whether a stall will sell food or be general goods. I support a diversification of the retail provision in the High Street, as current conditions are woeful, but I am concerned that no impact assessment has been published in the only document available. I am not a current trader, nor linked to one in any way (stall holder or shop keeper) but merely concerned that the stalls that would be hosted may be ones that do not complement the existing offering.

In conclusion, I object to the proposal as it will encourage existing poor behaviour which the Police, PCSOs and Council have not used existing powers to prevent, cause a noise and physical nuisance and could impact others including economically. The proposals lack detail and specificity and without this, they are impossible to support.

I would also note, the notices posted are incredibly small, with very low font size. The document on the website is not easy to find. The map on the document is annoyingly disjointed, where it could be in landscape and fully pain the picture of the trading landscape (in Word, insert a section break on page break and pick the next page as being landscape).

I do not give consent for my personal details to be published as part of this consultation.

Yours,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.