

# Report to Health and Adult Social Care Scrutiny Board

#### 28 April 2025

| Subject:         | Tracking and Monitoring of Scrutiny Actions and |
|------------------|---|
|                  | Recommendations                                 |
| Director:        | James McLaughlin                                |
|                  | Assistant Chief Executive                       |
| Contact Officer: | Stephnie Hancock                                |
|                  | Deputy democratic Services Manager              |

#### 1 Recommendations

- 1.1 That the Board notes the responses from the Executive/Directors/Partners on recommendations referred since the Board's last meeting, as set out in the Appendix.
- 1.2 That the Board notes the progress on implementation of those recommendations approved by the Executive/Directors/Partners, as set out in the Appendix.
- 1.3 That the Board identifies any recommendations where progress is unsatisfactory and determines what action it wishes to take.
- 1.4 That the Board determines which recommendations no longer require monitoring.

#### 2 Reasons for Recommendations

- 2.1 To facilitate the effective monitoring of progress on responses to and press with implementation of recommendations made by the Board and identify where further action is required.
- 2.2 Effective monitoring of recommendations facilitates the evaluation of the impact of the scrutiny function overall.

### 3 How does this deliver objectives of the Council Plan?

| Growing Up in Sandwell | The scrutiny function supports all of the objectives of the Corporate Plan by seeking |
|------------------------|---|
| Living in Sandwell     | to improve services for the people of   |
|                        | Sandwell. It does this by influencing the   |
| Thriving Economy       | policies and decisions made by the Council  |
| in Sandwell            | and other organisations involved in   |
| Healthy in Sandwell    | delivering public services.   |
|                        | Effective monitoring of recommendations   |
| One Council One        | made supports this and allows scrutiny to   |
| Team                   | evaluate is impact  |

#### 4 Context and Key Issues

4.1 The attached Appendix details the responses to and progress on the implementation of recommendations made by the scrutiny function.

## 5 Implications

| Resources:               | Any resources implications have been considered<br>with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet<br>Member/Risk Owner at the time the recommendations<br>were referred to them by the Board.<br>Any specific risks for the Board's attention are<br>detailed in the Appendix.   |
|--------------------------|--|
| Legal and<br>Governance: | <ul> <li>The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000.</li> <li>The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 places a duty on the Executive to respond to Scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them.</li> <li>Scrutiny committees can require a response from NHS bodies within 28 days in relation to recommendations made to them.</li> </ul> |
| Risk:                    | Any risk implications have been considered with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet Member/Risk Owner  |

|                          | at the time the recommendations were referred to them by the Board.   |
|--------------------------|---|
|                          | Any specific risks for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |
| Equality:                | Any equality implications have been considered with<br>the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet Member/Equality,<br>Diversity and Inclusion Team at the time the<br>recommendations were referred to them by the Board.  |
|                          | Any specific equality implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |
| Health and<br>Wellbeing: | Any health and wellbeing implications have been<br>considered with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet<br>Member at the time the recommendations were<br>referred to them by the Board.   |
|                          | Any specific health and wellbeing implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |
| Social Value             | Any social value implications have been considered<br>with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet<br>Member/Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Team at the<br>time the recommendations were referred to them by<br>the Board.   |
| Climate<br>Change        | Any climate change implications have been<br>considered with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet<br>Member/Risk Owner at the time the recommendations<br>were referred to them by the Board.<br>Any specific risks for the Board's attention are<br>detailed in the Appendix. |
| Comporate                |   |
| Corporate<br>Parenting   | Any Corporate Parenting implications have been<br>considered with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet<br>Member/Risk Owner at the time the recommendations<br>were referred to them by the Board.   |
|                          | Any specific risks for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.  |

# 6 Appendices

Appendix 1 – Health and Adult Social Care Scrutiny Board Action Tracker.

## 7. Background Papers

None.