

Report to Children's Services and Education Scrutiny Board

11 November 2024

Subject:	Tracking and Monitoring of Scrutiny Recommendations
Director:	James McLaughlin – Assistant Chief Executive
Contact Officer:	Alexander Goddard – Scrutiny Lead Officer
	alexander goddard@sandwell.gov.uk
	Connor Robinson
	Connor1_robinson@sandwell.gov.uk

1 Recommendations

- 1.1 That the Board considers the responses on recommendations referred since the Board's last meeting.
- 1.2 That the Board considers the progress on implementation of recommendations made.
- 1.3 That the Board determines what action it wishes to take where progress is unsatisfactory.
- 1.4 That the Board determines which actions/recommendations no longer require monitoring.

2 Reasons for Recommendations

- 2.1 To facilitate the effective monitoring of progress on responses to and press with implementation of recommendations made by the Board and identify where further action is required.
- 2.2 Effective monitoring of recommendations facilitates the evaluation of the impact of the scrutiny function overall.

3 How does this deliver objectives of the Council Plan?

Growing Up in Sandwell	The scrutiny function supports all of the objectives of
Living in Sandwell	the Corporate Plan by seeking to improve services for
Thriving Economy in	the people of Sandwell. It does this by influencing the
Sandwell	policies and decisions made by the Council and other
Healthy in Sandwell	organisations involved in delivering public services.
One Council One Team	
	Effective monitoring of recommendations made
	supports this and allows scrutiny to evaluate is impact.

4 Context and Key Issues

4.1 The attached Appendix details the responses to actions identified and/or recommendations made by the scrutiny function and progress on the implementation of those previously approved.

5 Implications

Resources:	Any resources implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate
	director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific resources implications for the Board's
	attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Legal and	The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out
Governance:	in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act
	2000.
	The Local Government and Public Involvement in
	Health Act 2007 places a duty on the Executive to
	respond to Scrutiny recommendations within two
	months of receiving them.
	NHS service commissioners and providers have a
	duty to respond in writing to a report or
	recommendation where health scrutiny requests this,
	within 28 days of the request. This applies to requests
	from individual health scrutiny committees or sub-
	committees, from local authorities and from joint
	health scrutiny committees or sub-committees.
Risk:	Any risk implications arising from scrutiny activity are
	considered as required by the appropriate director or
	cabinet member/cabinet.

	Any specific risk implications for the Board's attention
	are detailed in the Appendix.
Equality:	Any equality implications arising from scrutiny activity
	are considered as required by the appropriate director
	or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific equality implications for the Board's
	attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Health and	Any health and wellbeing implications arising from
Wellbeing:	scrutiny activity are considered as required by the
	appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific health and wellbeing implications for the
	Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Social Value:	Any social value implications arising from scrutiny
	activity are considered as required by the appropriate
	director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific social value implications for the Board's
	attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Climate	Any climate change implications arising from scrutiny
Change:	activity are considered as required by the appropriate
	director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific climate change implications for the
	Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Corporate	Any corporate parenting implications arising from
Parenting	scrutiny activity are considered as required by the
	appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific corporate parenting implications for the
	Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.

6 Appendices

Appendix 1– Children's Services and Education Scrutiny Board Action Tracker

7. Background Papers

None.