

Report to Safer Neighbourhoods and Active Communities Scrutiny Board

10 September 2024

Subject:	Tracking and Monitoring of Scrutiny Recommendations
Director:	Mike Jones
	Monitoring Officer and Assistant Director of Legal &
	Assurance
	mike jones1@sandwell.gov.uk
Contact Officer:	John Swann
	Democratic Services Officer
	john_swann@sandwell.gov.uk
	Alex Goddard
	Scrutiny Lead Officer
	alexander goddard@sandwell.gov.uk

1 Recommendations

- 1.1 That the Board notes the responses on recommendations referred since the Board's last meeting.
- 1.2 That the Board notes the progress on implementation recommendations made.
- 1.3 That the Board determines what action it wishes to take where progress is unsatisfactory.
- 1.4 That the Board determines which actions/recommendations no longer require monitoring.



















2 Reasons for Recommendations

- 2.1 To facilitate the effective monitoring of progress on responses to and press with implementation of recommendations made by the Board and identify where further action is required.
- 2.2 Effective monitoring of recommendations facilitates the evaluation of the impact of the scrutiny function overall.

3 How does this deliver objectives of the Corporate Plan?

Growing Up in Sandwell	The scrutiny function supports all of the objectives of
Living in Sandwell	the Corporate Plan by seeking to improve services for
Thriving Economy in	the people of Sandwell. It does this by influencing the
Sandwell	policies and decisions made by the Council and other
Healthy in Sandwell	organisations involved in delivering public services.
One Council One Team	
	Effective monitoring of recommendations made supports this and allows scrutiny to evaluate is impact.

4 Context and Key Issues

4.1 The attached Appendix details the responses to actions identified and/or recommendations made by the scrutiny function and progress on the implementation of those previously approved.

5 Implications

Resources: Any resources implications arising from scrutiny	
activity are considered as required by the appropri	rioto
activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or aching the member/aching the appropriate activity are considered as required by the appropriate activity activity.	iale
director or cabinet member/cabinet.	
A	
Any specific resources implications for the Board'	S
attention are detailed in the Appendix.	
Legal and The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is se	
Governance: in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act	
2000.	
The Local Government and Public Involvement in	
Health Act 2007 places a duty on the Executive to)
respond to Scrutiny recommendations within two	
months of receiving them.	
NHS service commissioners and providers have a	а
duty to respond in writing to a report or	
recommendation where health scrutiny requests t	his,
within 28 days of the request. This applies to requ	uests
from individual health scrutiny committees or sub-	-
committees, from local authorities and from joint	
health scrutiny committees or sub-committees.	
Risk: Any risk implications arising from scrutiny activity	are
considered as required by the appropriate directo	r or
cabinet member/cabinet.	
Any specific risk implications for the Board's atter	ntion
are detailed in the Appendix.	
Equality: Any equality implications arising from scrutiny act	ivity
are considered as required by the appropriate dire	-
or cabinet member/cabinet.	
Any specific equality implications for the Board's	
attention are detailed in the Appendix.	

Health and Wellbeing:	Any health and wellbeing implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific health and wellbeing implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Social Value:	Any social value implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific social value implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Climate Change:	Any climate change implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific climate change implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Corporate Parenting	Any corporate parenting implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.
	Any specific corporate parenting implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.

6 Appendices

Appendix 1– Safer Neighbourhoods and Active Communities Scrutiny Board Action Tracker

7. Background Papers

None.