

Local Government Boundary Commission for England

Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council

Councillors Survey 2024

March 2024

Question 1:

How long have you been an Elected Member with Sandwell Council?

Option	Total	Percentage
1 to 5 years	22	57%
11 to 15 years	4	10%
18 to 20 years	2	5%
6 to 10 years	7	18%
Over 20 years	4	10%



Question 2: In addition to your role as an Elected Member, what other positions do you hold within the Council? (Please select all options that apply.)

Option	Total	Percentage
Cabinet Member	8	18%
Committee Chair or Vice Chair	2	5%
Leader or Deputy Leader	3	7%
None	7	16%
Not Answered	0	0%
Opposition Leader	0	0%
Other (please specify below)	8	18%
Regulatory Committee Chair or Vice Chair	5	11%
Scrutiny Board Chair or Vice Chair	6	14%
Town Chair or Vice Chair	5	11%



In addition to your role as an Elected Member, what other positions do you hold within the Council? (Please select all options that apply.) - If you specified other, please outline below: -

Chair HWBB

Planning committee. Licensing committee.

Sit on Scrutiny Boards, Town Board and EDI Board

Member of various boards

Lead Performance Champion - Neighbourhoods

Performance Champion for Safer Communities and Member of Children's Services and Education Scrutiny Board

Equality Commission, Strategic Waste Board, West Bromwich Partnership, Land and Asset Committee Member

Safer Neighbourhood Scrutiny, General Purpose and Arbitration Committees

Performance Champion our economy

Option	Total	Percentage
Audit and Risk Assurance	3	5%
Committee		
Chief Officers Terms and	11	20%
Conditions Committee		
Ethical Standards and	6	11%
Member Development		
Committee		
General Purposes and	5	9%
Arbitration Committee		
Governance and	7	13%
Constitution Review		
Committee		
Land and Asset	4	7%
Management Committee		
Licensing Committee	9	16%
Planning Committee	11	20%

Question 3: Which Committees have you been appointed to? Please select all options that apply.)



Question 4: Have you been appointed by the Council to any Regional or Outside Bodies (for example, Strategic Waste Partnership Board, Scrutiny, Housing Association Boards)?

Option	Total	Percentage
No	14	36%
Yes	25	64%



Have you been appointed by the Council to any Regional or Outside Bodies (for example, Strategic Waste Partnership Board, Scrutiny, Housing Association Boards)? - Please list

organisation(s) and role(s) below.

Harborne Parish

Economy, Skills, Transport and Environment Scrutiny Board

ADASS member HWBB regional

HWBB WMCA member

West Bromwich town deal board member

West Midlands Pensions Authority Board

Harborne Parish Council (now a housing association).

WM Police and Crime Panel

Scrutiny - Neighbourhoods.

West Midlands Combined Authority - Overview and Scrutiny Committee

West Midlands Scrutiny Network

Akrill Homes Trust

WMCA Housing and Land Delivery Board West Bromwich Town Funds Board Strategic Waste partnership board, Rowley Town board, West Midlands Transport Waste Partnership Airport Consultative Committee WMCA Economic Growth Board Joint Health overview and scrutiny committee

Local government general assembly SIGOMA Association of Black Country Associations West Midlands Rail West Midlands airport Contract management Board WMCA Board Growth Company S.I. P's Board Joint health and adult scrutiny Board with Birmingham council Police and Crime commissioner Panel Strategic Waste Partnership Board West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West Bromwich Partnership
West Midlands airport Contract management Board WMCA Board Growth Company S.I. P's Board Joint health and adult scrutiny Board with Birmingham council Police and Crime commissioner Panel Strategic Waste Partnership Board West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
WMCA Board Growth Company S.I. P's Board Joint health and adult scrutiny Board with Birmingham council Police and Crime commissioner Panel Strategic Waste Partnership Board West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
Growth Company S.I. P's Board Joint health and adult scrutiny Board with Birmingham council Police and Crime commissioner Panel Strategic Waste Partnership Board West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
S.I. P's Board Joint health and adult scrutiny Board with Birmingham council Police and Crime commissioner Panel Strategic Waste Partnership Board West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
Joint health and adult scrutiny Board with Birmingham council Police and Crime commissioner Panel Strategic Waste Partnership Board West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
Police and Crime commissioner Panel Strategic Waste Partnership Board West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
Strategic Waste Partnership Board West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner's Panel (as named substitute member) Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
Equality Commission Strategic Waste Board West
Bromwich Partnership
Land and Asset Committee Member
Barlow homes trust
West Midlands fire and rescue authority
SMBC
INCLUSIVE ECONOMY & COMMUNITY WEALTH BOARD - Member ROWLEY REGIS
TOWN DEAL BOARD – Member
SMETHWICK TOWN DEAL BOARD - Member WEST BROMWICH TOWN
DEAL BOARD – Member
WEDNESBURY LEVELLING UP PARTNERSHIP BOARD – Member
WEST BROMWICH TOWN BID BOARD - Council Nominee REGIONAL
BLACK COUNTRY EXECUTIVE JOINT COMMITTEE – Substitute
Member
WEST MIDLANDS COMBINED AUTHORITY (WMCA) BOARD -
Substitute Member
WMCA ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY BOARD - Member WMCA INVESTMENT
BOARD - Member
WMCA ENERGY CAPITAL BOARD - Member
WMCA STRATEGIC TRANSPORT BOARD - Member
WEST MIDLANDS RAIL EXECUTIVE BOARD LTD. – Company
Director NATIONAL
PATROL ADJUDICATION JOINT COMMITTEE (PARKING & TRAFFICREGULATIONS
OUTSIDE LONDON) – Substitute Member
Leonard Andrews Poole Trustee,
Lise Mean developer and Open and A. Deve of a Developer developer developer at the

Health and well-being Board Corporate Parenting Board children's scrutiny Budget and Corporate scrutiny

West Midlands Combined Authority West Midlands Police and Crime Panel West Midlands Combined Authority Audit and Risk Assurance Committee

Question 5: If you are a member of, or have been appointed to any other organisation (s), please list organisation(s) and role(s) below. (For example, school governors, charities.)

If you are a member of or have been appointed to any other organisation (s), please list organisation(s) and role(s) below. (For example, school governors, charities.)
West Midlands fire. Governor at Birmingham women's and children's hospital NHS
foundation trust
Action Yemen Charity
Tanhouse Community Centre Management Committee
N/A
Mackmillan Education Foundation
none
School governor - Perry fields Academy, National Committee (trustee) - Fabian Society
School Governor - Primary School. Mackmillan Educational Foundation - Trustee.
Two local charities.
N. A
none
Hateley Cross Big Local - Steering group member; Sandwell Litter Watch - member
N/A
None
Trustee of Spays 4 Strays
Board of governors at high school
None
Better Understanding of Dementia, (BUDS), Warley Woods Community Trust
Leonard Poole's trust trustee and Barlow Homes board member
Mackmillan Trust, Dudley Kidney Patients Association, LAKSH community group
member
none
Millennium Centre
No
None
None
None
Independent Governor of Sandwell College and Governor of Galton Valley Primary School
None
School governor
School Governor and STEPs Board Member
Tenant and leaseholder's scrutiny group
NA
WEDNESBURY RUGBY UNION FOOTBALL CLUB - LIFE MEMBER/DISCIPLINARY
SECRETARY; ROTARY CLUB OF WEDNESBURY - JUNIOR
PRESIDENT/FELLOWSHIP OFFICER/FOUNDATION OFFICER; MID COUNTIES CO-
chool governor chool Governor and STEPs Board Member enant and leaseholder's scrutiny group A /EDNESBURY RUGBY UNION FOOTBALL CLUB - LIFE MEMBER/DISCIPLINARY ECRETARY; ROTARY CLUB OF WEDNESBURY - JUNIOR

WOOD GREEN CEMETERY - CHAIR; ROYAL BRITISH LEGION - MEMBER; SPIRES HEALTH CENTRE PATIENT PARTICIPATION GROUP - MEMBER

Rotary Club, fellowship world over, service before self, part of events co-ordinating

None

Cape Primary School - School Governor

Akrill Trust

Chair of Governor's. West Bromwich Town Fund Board. Sandwell Business Ambassadors. Sandwell Children's Trust Board member

Question 6: On average, how many hours per month do you spend on council, region and political business?

Attendance at Council Committees (such as Planning, scrutiny)

Option	Total	Percentage
11-15 hrs	4	10%
1-5 hrs	5	13%
16-20 hrs	9	23%
21+ hrs	13	33%
6-10hrs	8	21%



Option	Total	Percentage
11-15 hrs	9	23%
1-5 hrs	9	23%
16-20 hrs	6	15%
21+ hrs	9	23%
6-10hrs	6	15%

Attendance at other Council meetings (such as meetings with officers)



Attendance at Regional, Local Government Association (LGA) or WMCA meetings or activities

	Option			Total		Percen	tage
	<1hr		13		33%	6	
	11-15 hrs			1		3%)
	1-5 hrs			16		41%	6
	16-20 hrs			1		3%)
13		16					
	_1				- 3 -	4	
<1HR	11-15 HRS	1-5 HRS	16-20 HRS	21+ HRS	6-10HRS	NOT ANSWERED	

21+ hrs	1	3%
6-10hrs	3	8%
Not Answered	4	10%

The spent of party of political business			
Option	Total	Percentage	
<1hr	2	5%	
11-15 hrs	7	18%	
1-5 hrs	6	15%	
16-20 hrs	4	10%	
21+ hrs	9	23%	
6-10hrs	10	26%	
Not Answered	1	3%	

Time spent on party or political business



Attendance at outside bodies

Option	Total	Percentage
<1hr	9	23%
11-15 hrs	3	8%
1-5 hrs	15	38%
16-20 hrs	2	5%



21+ hrs	7	18%
6-10hrs	3	8%
Not Answered	9	23%

Community commitments and representation (for example, community engagement such as surgeries, street surgeries, home visits, walkabouts, phone calls etc)

Option	Total	Percentage
<1hr	4	10%
11-15 hrs	3	8%
1-5 hrs	10	26%
16-20 hrs	13	33%
21+ hrs	8	21%
6-10hrs	1	3%
Not Answered	4	10%



Casework and advice

Option	Total	Percentage
<1hr	6	15%
11-15 hrs	4	10%
1-5 hrs	7	18%
16-20 hrs	13	33%
21+ hrs	9	23%
6-10hrs	6	15%



Not Answered	4	10%		
Preparing for meetings	eparing for meetings			
Option	Total	Percentage		
<1hr	1	3%		
11-15 hrs	8	21%		
1-5 hrs	8	21%		
16-20 hrs	1	3%		
21+ hrs	4	10%		
6-10hrs	16	41%		
Not Answered	1	3%		



Training, awareness and development

Option	Total	Percentage
<1hr	3	8%
11-15 hrs	5	13%
1-5 hrs	17	44%
16-20 hrs	3	8%



21+ hrs	10	26%
6-10hrs	1	3%
Not Answered	3	8%

Publishing information on social media and communications with residents



Other

Option	Total	Percentage
<1hr	5	13%
11-15 hrs	2	5%
1-5 hrs	5	13%
16-20 hrs	1	3%
21+ hrs	1	3%
6-10hrs	5	13%
Not Answered	20	51%



On average, how many hours per month do you spend on council, region and political business? - Please give details of any other council activities to assist our understanding

As a member of the Armed Forces committee I regularly attend local veteran associations

Chasing unresolved casework, collaboration with community working groups, planning community engagement workshops

I regularly have meetings with local residents. I take on casework for other councillors. Also, I volunteer with the mums and tots club at my local church.

Helping out at local charities with my councillor hat on if needed.

Meetings with cabinet members, chief officers and officers of the Council. Also walk abouts and ward meetings.

Deputy mayor events

My contact and casework are direct with residents, face to face meetings, conversations, being present in the ward

(Please note I had to select an option on Q3 to continue with the completion of the Survey - I was not a member of the Ethical Standards Committee)

Home visits 20 hrs per week

Volunteering

ATTENDING COMMUNITY EVENTS/PHOTOCALLS ETC.

community events representation

Ward Walkabout. Meeting new businesses in Sandwell due to my role

Question 7: On average, how many issues do you deal with from local residents each month? Issues may include emails from residents, social media queries, phone calls, matters raised at surgery or during walkabouts in your ward. If you are dealing with a whole ward issue affecting all residents, this would count as one issue even though understandably substantial.

Option	Total	Percentage
1-100	26	67%
101-200	13	33%
201-300	0	0%
301+	0	0%
Not Answered	0	0%



Question 8: What types of casework issues do you typically deal with? (Please categorise each option as appropriate and tell us about any other issues below.)

Income and benefits issues

Option	Total	Percentage
Very regularly	9	23%
Regularly	19	49%
Occasionally	11	28%
Not at all	0	0%
Not Answered	0	0%



Employment issues

Option	Total	Percentage
Very regularly	1	3%
Regularly	4	10%
Occasionally	26	67%
Not at all	4	10%
Not Answered	4	10%



Schools and education

Option	Total	Percentage
Very regularly	7	18%
Regularly	16	41%
Occasionally	16	41%
Not at all	0	0%
Not Answered	0	0%



Children's social care

Option	Total	Percentage
Very regularly	7	18%

Regularly	7	18%
Occasionally	24	61%
Not at all	1	2%
Not Answered	0	0%



Adults' social care

Option	Total	Percentage
Very regularly	7	18%
Regularly	17	44%
Occasionally	15	38%
Not at all	0	0%
Not Answered	0	0%



Option	Total	Percentage
Very regularly	7	18%
Regularly	14	36%
Occasionally	18	46%
Not at all	0	0%
Not Answered	0	0%

Mental health issues and support



Physical health issues and support

Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	2	5%
Not at all	1	3%
Occasionally	16	41%
Regularly	17	44%
Very regularly	3	8%



Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	0%
Not at all	2	5%
Occasionally	6	15%
Regularly	15	38%
Very regularly	16	41%





Homelessness

Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	1	3%
Not at all	2	5%
Occasionally	13	33%
Regularly	17	44%
Very regularly	16	15%

Planning issues (for example, applications, objections, disputes)



Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	3	8%
Not at all	3	8%
Occasionally	24	62%
Regularly	6	15%
Very regularly	3	8%

Licensing issues (for example, complaints over applications)



Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	0%
Not at all	0	0%
Occasionally	2	5%
Regularly	14	36%
Very regularly	23	59%

Highways complaints (for example, road maintenance)



Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	0%
Not at all	0	0%
Occasionally	10	26%
Regularly	17	44%
Very regularly	12	31%

Home waste and recycling collections



Street waste and recycling

Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	0%
Not at all	0	0%
Occasionally	8	20%
Regularly	19	49%
Very regularly	12	31%



Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	0%
Not at all	2	5%
Occasionally	4	10%
Regularly	18	46%
Very regularly	15	39%

Illegal dumping



Aney gates		
Option	Total	Percentage
Net Anourand	F	100/
Not Answered	5	13%
Not at all	6	15%
Occasionally	17	44%
Regularly	7	18%
Very regularly	4	10%



Alley gates

Orectignts		
Total	Percentage	
2	5%	
2	5%	
18	46%	
10	26%	
7	18%	
	Z 2 18 10 7	



Parking problems

Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	5%
Not at all	2	5%
Occasionally	4	10%
Regularly	15	38%
Very regularly	18	46%



Streetlights

Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	5%
Not at all	0	5%
Occasionally	2	5%
Regularly	15	39%
Very regularly	22	56%

Anti-social behaviour



Noise and nuisance issues

Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	0%
Not at all	0	0%
Occasionally	10	26%
Regularly	19	48%
Very regularly	10	26%



Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	0%
Not at all	0	0%
Occasionally	11	28%
Regularly	20	51%
Very regularly	8	21%

Parks and greenspace issues



Verge cutting and leaf collection

Option	Total	Percentage
Not Answered	0	0%
Not at all	2	5%
Occasionally	14	36%
Regularly	18	46%
Very regularly	5	13%


Other			
Option	Total	Percentage	
Not Answered	12	31%	
Not at all	0	0%	
Occasionally	10	26%	
Regularly	9	23%	
Very regularly	8	21%	



Question 9: Based on your experience, is the time you spend on Council work each week what you expected when you first agreed to stand for Election?

Based on your experience, is the time you spend on Council work each week what you expected when you first agreed to stand for Election?

Option	Total	Percentage
Yes	11	28%
No, I spend more time than I expected	28	72%
No, I spend less time than I expected	0	0%
Not Answered	0	0%



Question 10: Has the time you spend on Council work increased since you were first elected?

Has the time you spend on Council work increased since you were first elected?

Option	Total	Percentage
Yes	37	95%
No	2	5%
Not Answered	0	0%



Question 11: Which aspects of your role as an Elected Member have changed the most? (Please categorise each option as appropriate and tell us about any other aspects that have changed below.)

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	15	39%
Most change	22	56%
Not Answered	2	5%





Attendance at other Council meetings (such as meetings with officers)

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	12	31%
Most change	27	69%
Not Answered	0	0%



Attendance at Regional, Local Government Association (LGA) or WMCA
meetings or activitiesOptionTotalPercentage

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	23	59%
Most change	12	31%
Not Answered	4	10%



Time spent on party or political business

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	16	41%
Most change	22	56%
Not Answered	1	3%



Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	25	64%
Most change	12	31%
Not Answered	2	5%

Attendance at outside bodies



Community commitments and representation (for example, community engagement such as surgeries, street surgeries, home visits, walkabouts, phone calls etc.)

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	5	13%
Most change	32	82%



Not Answered	2	5%	

Casework and advice

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	4	10%
Most change	34	87%
Not Answered	1	3%



Preparing for meetings

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	10	26%
Most change	26	67%
Not Answered	3	8%



Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	10	26%
Most change	26	67%
Not Answered	3	8%





Travel relating to your role as an Elected Member

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	15	38%
Most change	23	59%
Not Answered	1	3%



Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	16	41%
Most change	21	54%
Not Answered	2	5%





Other

Option	Total	Percentage
Least change	12	31%
Most change	6	15%
Not Answered	21	54%



Please tell us about any other aspects of your role that have changed:

Which aspects of your role as an Elected Member have changed the most? (Please categorise each option as appropriate and tell us about any other aspects that have changed below.) - Please tell us about any other aspects of your role that have changed:

I'm newly elected hence I have no comparison

Seems to be an increase in ASB incidents. Increase in help needed during the cost of living. Both lead to more one to one appointment.

I deal with anything up to 1000 casework enquiries a year which is increasing each year.

As a career backbencher I have found the demands on a Cabinet member have been massive in terms of time commitment. I am fortunate that having two other Ward members who are both capable enables me to concentrate on Cabinet commitments while they assist greatly with casework. Party work and campaigning can mostly be dealt with in the evenings or weekends, but after a particularly busy week it can leave you exhausted.

A lot more evening work meaning 8-hour days are now 12-hour days. I live in the ward, so I frequently have residents knock the door for a 'chat'.

Council work is an all year-round commitment

People's lives have changed, the problems increased, pressures of money, housing, neighbourhoods, drugs

The cost of living crisis has meant that residents have more complex needs.

Overall as I have become more established and experience more time has been necessary to fulfil my council duties

Volunteering

MEDIA & COMMS WORK; PUBLIC SPEAKING; ATTENDANCE AT EXTERNAL MEETINGS/CONFERENCES AS SPEAKER; SPEECH PREPARATION; EMAIL TRAFFIC IS ENORMOUS

community support and networking

Engaging in more ways to meet hard to reach communities

Question 12: On average, how many hours per month do you spend on the following methods to engage with residents and your community?

In Person

Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	0	
1-5 hrs	5	13%
6-10 hrs	7	18%
11-15 hrs	8	20%
16-20 hrs	9	23%
21+ hrs	10	26%
Not Answered	0	



Phone		
Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	1	3%
1-5 hrs	9	23%
6-10 hrs	12	31%
11-15 hrs	3	8%
16-20 hrs	6	15%
21+ hrs	8	20%
Not Answered	0	0



Text

Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	4	10%
1-5 hrs	17	43%
6-10 hrs	10	26%
11-15 hrs	3	8%
16-20 hrs	2	5%
21+ hrs	3	8%
Not Answered	0	0



Email		
Option	Total	Percentage
	0	00/
<1 hr	0	0%
1-5 hrs	6	15%
6-10 hrs	13	33%
11-15 hrs	7	18%
16-20 hrs	3	8%
21+ hrs	8	21%
Not Answered	2	5%



Newspaper or magazine notice

Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	28	72%
1-5 hrs	5	13%
6-10 hrs	2	5%
11-15 hrs	0	0%
16-20 hrs	0	0%
21+ hrs	1	3%
Not Answered	3	8%



Letter		
Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	23	59%
1-5 hrs	9	23%
6-10 hrs	1	3%
11-15 hrs	3	8%
16-20 hrs	0	0%
21+ hrs	1	3%
Not Answered	2	5%



÷.

Option	Total	Percentage
-		
<1 hr	19	49%
1-5 hrs	13	32%
6-10 hrs	3	7%
11-15 hrs	1	3%
16-20 hrs	1	3%
21+ hrs	1	3%
Not Answered	1	3%

Facebook

Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	8	21%
1-5 hrs	11	28%
6-10 hrs	8	21%
11-15 hrs	5	13%
16-20 hrs	1	3%
21+ hrs	4	10%
Not Answered	2	4%

Street Letter





Twitter		
Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	19	49%
1-5 hrs	10	26%
6-10 hrs	5	13%
11-15 hrs	3	8%
16-20 hrs	0	0%
21+ hrs	0	0%
Not Answered	2	4%



Twitter		
Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	28	72%
1-5 hrs	3	8%
6-10 hrs	2	4%
11-15 hrs	3	8%
16-20 hrs	0	0%
21+ hrs	0	0%
Not Answered	2	8%



Newsletter

Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	15	38%
1-5 hrs	10	26%
6-10 hrs	8	21%
11-15 hrs	3	8%
16-20 hrs	0	0%
21+ hrs	2	4%
Not Answered	1	3%



Walkabout		
Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	0	0%
1-5 hrs	9	23%
6-10 hrs	18	46%
11-15 hrs	4	10%
16-20 hrs	3	8%
21+ hrs	5	13%
Not Answered	0	0%



Poster

Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	26	67%
1-5 hrs	10	26%
6-10 hrs	0	0%
11-15 hrs	0	0%
16-20 hrs	0	0%
21+ hrs	1	3%



Not Answered	2	4%
ings		
Option	Total	Percentage
<1 hr	4	11%
1-5 hrs	9	23%
6-10 hrs	7	18%
11-15 hrs	6	15%
16-20 hrs	6	15%
21+ hrs	6	15%
Not Answered	1	3%
9		
7		
	6 6 6	



Please give details of any other engagement methods you are using:

On average, how many hours per month do you spend on the following methods to engage with residents and your community? - Please give details of any other engagement methods you are using:

Being seen in the local community, talking with residents (of any age)

Advice surgeries and community events

None

I live in my ward and frequently have residents knock on the door for a 'chat'.

Residents What's App groups.

My connection with residents is based on personal service and delivering results, that's why I'm so busy

I am constantly out in the ward. Representation matters.

My 'day job' is located within my ward, residents often seek me out there for advice and to discuss local issues

STATIC SURGERIES/STREET SURGERIES

Street surgeries resident meetings

Question 13: Have you noticed any significant changes in the amount of time you communicate via these methods in the last 2 years?

In Person

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	10	26%
More Time	9	22%
Significantly More Time	19	49%
Significantly Less Time	1	3%
Less time	0	0%
Not Answered	0	0%



Phone

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	8	21%
Less time	2	5%
More Time	10	26%



Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	18	46%
Significantly Less Time	1	3%

Text

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	16	41%
Less time	2	5%
More Time	8	21%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	11	28%
Significantly Less Time	2	5%



Email

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	7	18%
Less time	1	3%
More Time	11	28%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	1	3%
Significantly Less Time	19	49%



Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	20	51%
Less time	8	21%
More Time	0	0%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	9	23%
Significantly Less Time	2	5%

Newspaper or magazine notice



Letter

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	19	49%
Less time	7	18%
More Time	2	4%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	8	21%
Significantly Less Time	3	8%





Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	22	56%
Less time	6	15%
More Time	9	23%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	1	3%
Significantly Less Time	1	3%



Twitter

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	17	44%
Less time	5	13%
More Time	8	21%
Not Answered	0	0%



Street Letter

Significantly More Time	3	8%
Significantly Less Time	6	15%

Facebook

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	14	36%
Less time	2	5%
More Time	13	33%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	3	8%
Significantly Less Time	7	18%



Other Social Media

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	18	46%
Less time	6	15%
More Time	3	8%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	6	15%
Significantly Less Time	6	15%


Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	18	46%
Less time	2	5%
More Time	12	31%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	2	5%
Significantly Less Time	5	13%



Walkabout

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	10	26%
Less time	1	3%
More Time	15	38%
Not Answered	0	0%



Newsletter

Significantly More Time	13	33%
Significantly Less Time	0	0%

Destar	
Poster	

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	23	59%
Less time	1	3%
More Time	5	13%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	7	18%
Significantly Less Time	3	8%



Meetings

Option	Total	Percentage
About the Same	12	31%
Less time	4	10%
More Time	12	31%
Not Answered	0	0%
Significantly More Time	11	28%
Significantly Less Time	0	0%



Have you noticed any significant changes in the amount of time you communicate via these methods in the last 2 years? - Please give further details about any other methods to assist our understanding:

Have you noticed any significant changes in the amount of time you communicate via these methods in the last 2 years? - Please give further details about any other methods to assist our understanding:

In person via street surgery style door knocking, also use phone banking through party engagement system

I am newly elected member hence I have no comparison

NA

I have found social media, especially Twitter, to be exceedingly poor as a tool to communicate, leading only to aggressive responses. In person engagement is more effective, so I have deliberately reduced my social media output and increased in person output.

My workload has grown significantly

None

I choose not to use social media; I don't have the time. It doesn't detract from my popularity re-election votes- people know who I am

Teaching English to residents

This year I have spent less time dealing with individual case work issue as my ward colleague has been focusing on that aspect, this has freed up time to focus on strategic ward problems and council functions

Question 14:

How has social media, and instant communications such as email, impacted on how you undertake your role and on your life outside of the Council? Massive impact as it takes away allot of family time Its become part of life.

Face to face surgery contact has decreased, although I have replaced with more door knocking street surgeries that has significantly increased my contact rate with residents.

Most of my free time is taken up by councillor duties

Seen I was elected onto the council, this has been a significant increase in the use of ICT

more impact on time pressure but positive way of communication

Social media negatively. It is aggressive and I am concerned about it, especially over the gaza crisis, with the anger directed at councillors and especially as my address (and therefore my child's address) has to be published in the register of interest. Email use is high, it seems to be the preferred method of communication for the residents, so I check them on my lunch break and after work regularly.

You feel like the role is 24/7, 365 days a year

I get far more emails. I use FB mainly to advertise events and give useful info. N.A

I can be contacted at any time of the day or day of the week

Being a councillor is now a 24 hour job with a huge growth in online communications and residents often expecting quick replies. I am very active on social media and accessible. This contributes to my huge casework of up to 1000 enquiries a year before undertaking any other work. I receive hundreds of emails a week and the volume is vast.

There is never an evening/day off.

Yes

There is an expectation that councillors are available to respond instantly 24/7 which means often responding to messages and enquiries during time spent with family/friends.

Increase in time and planning. And responses required. Need to practice and learn. Some weeks I feel I can't catch my breath with the sheer amount of casework that can come in a one go.

It often impacts on your private and family life. Whereas in the past people may be reluctant to phone if it was inconvenient, now they feel happy to fire off an email or social media post at any time of the night or day. You can try to be discerning, but I find I worry I may miss a constituent in need of urgent help or advice.

Most enquiries are through my emails, nothing from social media so far

Impacted greatly - if a notification is received i always take time to read it instantly as it could be a person who requires urgent help. Urgent help to me is not just about a non-flushing loo, it is about the mom who has run out of nappies, the family who need food for the next day - it is therefore not an option not to check on any notification.

They have made me more accessible to residents and able to communicate to them, but also more open to abuse.

it has made us more accessible to residents but it has also made us more vulnerable to abuse as well.

We are easily accessible 24/7.

It takes up a lot of time I am not used to technolog.

Constant communication and expectation of instant response

Difficult to distinguish at times, life inside and outside the Council

Additional communication which is great but can be open to trolls

Constituents' expectations increased, they demand instantaneous response.

Therefore, this has put pressure on personal time spent outside of council duties. It has become of paramount importance respond to queries even in unsociable hours.

None

More visible

Social media is very helpful. But a diverse ward as mine is very much tactile. Requiring a visible Councillor

Community groups on facebook are a great place to engage the community, however I made the decision not to have a personal councillor page to avoid online abuse and so encourage people to call or email me

Yes

YO HAVE TO CONSTANTLY BE ON TOP OF YOUR EMAILS & AS THERE IS SUCH A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF EMAIL TRAFFIC, IT CAN BE VERY STRESSFUL; SOCIAL MEDIA HAS LED TO 'TROLLING' AND I HAVE BEEN SUBJECT TO A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF THIS, AS WELL AS SPURIOUS FOI REQUESTS LEADING TO LIBELLOUS MISINFORMATION BEING PUT INTO THE PUBLIC DOMAIN WHICH HAS HAD A SIGNIFICANT ON MY MENTAL HEALTH.

increased exposure, supporting residents changing lives in some cases, supported quicker response time

We can be contacted more easily we get a clear outline of the issue

As a councillor, this has been useful for getting additional context such as images and videos for issues the constituents raise. However, as a result, this means that I often get phone calls or cases which require rapid attention during personal occasions in my life outside of the council, etc.

Social media can be useful to disseminate information quickly, however instant communication often means that resident feel that they can contact you at unsociable hours and expect a quick response. I've found it harder to protect tie for my family. Social media also makes i much easier for people to spread misinformation about you, your family or Party. Anonymous accounts (particularly in X) don't just carry acceptable criticism but can be very insulting or hurtful.

Good & bad depending on issue

Question 15: From your experience, do you think the balance of communication methods you are using is effective?

From your experience, do you think the balance of communication methods you are using is effective?

Option	Total	Percentage
Don't Know	3	8%
No	1	2%
Yes	35	90%



Question 16: In relation to the Council's submission on council size, are there any other comments you'd like to make or issues you'd like to raise based on your experience as an Elected Member?

In relation to the Council's submission on council size, are there any other comments you'd like to make or issues you'd like to raise based on your experience as an Elected Member?

Councillors need to start getting active as allot of pressure on me

The ward requires 3 councillors due to the workload- if the number is reduces i would consider stepping down.

Casework levels have significantly increased since the pandemic and the increased use of email and social media means that the role is know 24/7 and has moved away from fixed surgery meetings

Not sure what this means

The has been a significant increase in the population, which is covered by the Local Authority since I was originally elected onto the council

in my ward i rely on my 2 ward cllrs due to the volume of commitments / meetings i participate in,

First, we have a highly deprived Borough. This means we have more casework, but we also need to spend time digging it up as residents are less likely to come to us. This increases our workload. We also have a very diverse Borough in terms of the Towns, we are the Misc. drawer of the West Midlands. It is vital we keep sub-Borough structures to recognise the different communities we serve. It is also important to keep three members per ward, in case of sickness or other absence.

I believe it is important to keep three members per ward in case somebody is unwell, away, or has a conflict of interest.

At any one time a colleague can be unwell, on annual leave or have a conflict of interest. So we do really need three cllrs per ward to enable us to keep our standards high.

I think that the amount of members could be reduced by a third. Single member wards

We need a broad ethnic mix of councillors to reflect the diversity of the population.

We need to maintain three member wards and the current number of councillors. The amount of casework, meetings and work I am doing every year is growing and makes this a full-time job. It would be completely unmanageable to have fewer ward colleagues or a larger ward to manage in an area like mine. I represent an area with a high level of deprivation and poverty which generates up to 1000 enquiries a year. I also deal with many other enquiries that I have to signpost to others or the local MP. The area I represent is also distinct with a strong focus on the town itself, West Bromwich. Moreover, the work I do chairing a scrutiny committee, and the Council's overall scrutiny function, is time consuming. This involves meeting members, cabinet members, directors and outside auditors. Increasingly, the combined authority takes up a large proportion of my time with usually two meetings a month and large amounts of papers to read.

Maintaining the structure and identity of its six distinct Towns is crucial for the governance structure of Sandwell. Residents feel a strong sense of attachement and pride to their town. This must be reflected in the boundaries of the wards of each town. As with neighbouring Dudley, Sandwell struggles with widespread deprivation, resulting in a significant workload for councillors. Three councillors per ward allows this burden to be shared and enables better represention of - and engagement with - the residents. Sandwell is also very diverse. Therefore effective representation of its residents requires diversity in the councillors. This can only be achieved when there are sufficent number of councillors across all wards of all the towns. Diversity in the makeup of the various commitees and boards is also vital. Again, this can only be achieved when there are sufficent number of councillors from which to form representative groups. Three member wards allow for cover and contingency and also enable instititional knowledge to be more easily maintained across the Council.

Sandwell is very deprived borough and residents needs lots of councillor contact

The time required to adequately fulfil duties should not be underestimated. On top of reducing my work hours by 15 hours a week and regularly doing council activities on evenings and weekends, as a cabinet member, I also had to use in the region of 20 annual leave days last year to attend additional council meetings/engagements.

Committed to flexible working at full time job due to demands of councillor responsibility

Given the strain on council resources which have got worse year upon year i would be reluctant to see a change in the ratio of members to constituents. It is unreasonable to expect members who work in full-time jobs to take on an increased burden of meeting commitments and providing accessible representation.

I feel I've so far been able to handle the workload and am lucky with the help from officers. Tends to be workload increases when I go door knocking asking people for any help. Reaching it out, residents so far tend to go to my other fellow councillors

It is difficult to define exactly how busy it is being a councillor - the expectations and reliance on the council since the cost of living is a strain and can be difficult to manage the work-load as well as coping mentally with the desperation that is seen. I would not be able to manage in my ward with the demands without the support of my two ward colleagues. I also live in my ward so i frequently have people knocking on the door or a note through the letter box. I also believe that we have balanced gender and diverse wards where members of the public can relate to at least one of us. Our nearest neighbour Dudley and based in the Black Country has recently undergone a review and has retained 24 wards and 72 council seats to ensure that the community is well represented - i believe that we as boroughs are not dissimilar but Sandwell has a larger and growing population and also has a greater proportion of deprivation which can be difficult to manage. The casework has grown since the cost of living crisis began and reliance on our councillors is significant. Blackheath has recently been chopped up in the parliamentary review and Rowley Regis removed - residents are proud of their culture, heritage and have a sense of belonging and have not warmed to this - so another review of Blackheath would not go well with the residents.

Scrutiny is a vital part of a council function and its important that there are is a diverse amount of voices on that theme.

Sandwell, like Dudley, is a borough of high deprivation and this means a higher level of casework which three councillors per ward ensures that the needs of the residents are met. With meeting increasing it ensures that someone is always available to assist it also ensures that councillors can have some me time for holidays and family, unlike MP's we have no staff to research or answer complaints we have to do it alone.

Sandwell is one of the most deprived areas in the country. Residents require a significant amount of help and support. Having 3 councillors per ward and 24 wards spreads this work load. Sandwell is very diverse. We need councillors who represent this diversity. To have effective scrutiny we need a broad range of voices. Sandwell is six towns This is important to retain. Finally having 3 councillors per ward means there is cover if unwell, away, conflict of interest issues or unable to do a piece of casework.

We need 3 councillors per ward So we can take our holidays off Or sickness cover also for safe when going home

The more councillors the better for the community as you can be overwhelmed but what is expected

My ward is one of the most 'deprived' wards in Sandwell and indeed the Country. It is a diverse neighbourhood with multiple challenges. Casework levels are high and people depend on their Councillors to be there to fight their corner. That requires me to work 7 days a week at times. We need Councillors who offer a personalised service to residents and are committed to making the area an indeed area better. Each town in Sandwell is different and distinct.

I believe that it works well as it is. There is depredation and this equates to more casework. Scrutiny is vital to the improvement of any council, the more diverse voices the better. Sandwell is made up of 6 towns, each uniquely different needing slightly different decisions.

Sandwell is a borough with a lot of deprivation, (12th) within the 10% most deprived local authority areas in the country. This means there is more casework for councillors compared to other local authorities, at least 50% of the residents do not have the skills or confidence in tackling their own issues or access services they need. Having more councillors means we can spread the workload more and get more done for the residents. Our diverse Councillors are able to relate to residents issues, cultural/linguistic barriers and be their voice to address multiple issues faced by the residents. We have a very diverse Borough, which means we have a lot of communities with different cultures. It is important for our communities to be represented by a similarly diverse group of councillors, which means we need a bigger pool of them. Also, having more Councillors mean we are able to represent our communities in different forums within and outside Council by sharing our workload effectively. We have a lot of new migrants in the borough who are not familiar with local services and need more intensive support to fulfil their potential or make positive contribution to the local economy. Councillors are well positioned to enable them to help integrate into their local communities.

Sandwell is poor borough

Council needs more councillors as demand in area is high

Representation matters. Sandwell Council has at present 5 Black (African/Caribbean). Councillor before I became a councillor there was none. Representation does matter and with this in mind there are many people out of my ward who will make contact with me and I have to refer them to their Councillors in other areas..

A reduction in ward members would greatly impact our ability to focus on all areas of council business, unless I were to become unemployed, this would have a serious financial impact on my family. Sandwell as a borough is diverse, it has very distinct towns with their own local culture, no two towns are the same, any changes that impacted on the town structure would have a detrimental effect of the residents in each area.

It is important that we have diversity within our ward and Sandwell Council. As a Councillor for Smethwick Ward, I Represent different faith groups ie Sikhs, and Muslims. I need to understand, and speak their language when they have concerns with the ward.

I THINK TO DECREASE THE AMOUNT OF MEMBERS PER WARD WILL INSTANTLY PUT EXTRA BURDEN ON THE REDUCED NUMBER OF ELECTED MEMBERS CONCERNED. TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF MEMBERS ACROSS THE BOARD WOULD ALSO MAKE IT DIFFICULT TO FILL THE EXTENSIVE AMOUNT OF RESPONSIBLE POSITIONS WITHIN THE COUNCIL (PARTICULARLY THE QUASI-JUDICIAL COMMITTEES AND THE IMPORTANT ROLE OF SCRUTINY BOARDS). ALSO REPRESENTATION ON EXTERNAL BODIES (SUCH AS WMCA & ITS NUMEROUS BOARDS; ABCA; TfWM; FIRE AUTHORITY; POLICE & CRIME PANEL ETC.) WOULD BE IMPACTED CONSIDERABLY. BOTH EXTERNAL AUDIT REPORTS & LGA PEER REVIEWS HAVE EXPRESSED THEIR CONCERN ABOUT THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SCRUTINY IN SANDWELL, AS WELL AS THE NEED FOR SANDWELL MEMBERS TO HAVE MORE REGIONAL VISIBILITY, IN TERMS OF ATTENDANCE AT KEY DECISION-MAKING BOARDS ETC. TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF MEMBERS WOULD REDUCE THE COUNCIL'S EFFICACY IN THESE AREAS, AND WOULD DIRECTLY IMPACT ON THE REAL IMPROVEMENTS ACHIEVED IN THESE AREAS OVER THE PAST YEAR OR SO. WHICH HAVE CONTRIBUTED TOWARDS THE COMMISSIONERS' VIEW ON THE COUNCIL COMING OUT OF INTERVENTION.

My opinion on the decrease of required Members per ward is that this would most definitely add extra pressures to provide a quality of service to residents and I believe most definitely without doubt would increase the work load and pressures for reduced members. Further concerns around a reduction in members would also make it rather challenging to fill substantial amount of responsible positions within the council, especially the important role of scrutiny boards also the representation of external bodies would be at risk of detrimental impact. It feel it would also raise concerns in the area of key decision making boards. To reduce the members I believe it would directly impact and reduce the councils efficacy in key areas which would impact on the improvements achieved over the past year or so, which have contributed towards the commissioner views on the council's progression of coming out of intervention.

Continue yearly elections. 3 Cllrs in each ward up for election each year

Given Sandwell is a deprived area, especially in certain wards, it results in there being more issues to tackle, and some wards require additional support as a result of the higher poverty and unemployment rates in comparison to Dudley. If the council size was to reduce, this would put an increased pressure on councillors who are already doing their best to make their area a better place. This would make things more difficult for councillors and reduce the number of issues being resolved. It would also increase the risk of burnout, given with three councillors per ward, it means on occasions when one of the councillors is not available due to ill health, etc, there are at least two other councillors available to cover the third councillors work. If there were only two councillors and one councillor was not available due to ill health, etc, this would put all of the burden on a single person, which is not sustainable at any capacity. A reduction in the council would also mean there is less representation in what can be described as a very diverse community, which is especially important in being representative when there are council decisions being made and on scrutiny panels, ensuring all voices of the community are heard. For example, from my experience on scrutiny boards I have witnessed how diversity allows for wider perspectives during scrutiny meetings, resulting in widening inclusion. As a woman from a minority ethnic background, I have also seen the impact this representation has on the community, with more women from a minority ethnic background reaching out to myself and finding it easier to bring up the issues they are facing. Moreover, if there were only two councillors in a ward, this would reduce the level of representation for that ward. It's also important to understand that every town is different, with each community having different levels of diversity resulting in different types of culture representative of the community. As a result, it is necessary for each town having the structure and ability to make some local decision themselves, in understanding of their culture.

I feel the Council should retain 72 members. Being a local councillor is a part time job, it can often feel much more than that. I work full time for the NHS, I fear that a reduction in the numbers of members would make the workload too big for any working age person in full time employment to consider being a Councillor. It is also important to consider that, given the levels of deprivation in Sandwell, councillors here will likely spend more time dealing with casework than the average councillor in England. Finally, Sandwell is a borough of six distinct towns, each with their own identities and priorities, a reduction in councillors risks diluting the voice of the towns within the Council.

Continue with 3 Cllrs to cover each other, diverse to service community & gender balance