Equality Analysis Form



1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Equality Analysis

The council has an important role in creating a fair society through the services we provide, the people we employ and the money we spend. Equality is integral to everything the council does. We are committed to making Croydon a stronger, fairer borough where no community or individual is held back.

Undertaking an Equality Analysis helps to determine whether a proposed change will have a positive, negative, or no impact on groups that share a protected characteristic. Conclusions drawn from Equality Analyses helps us to better understand the needs of all our communities, enable us to target services and budgets more effectively and also helps us to comply with the Equality Act 2010.

An equality analysis must be completed as early as possible during the planning stages of any proposed change to ensure information gained from the process is incorporated in any decisions made.

In practice, the term 'proposed change' broadly covers the following:-

- Policies, strategies and plans;
- Projects and programmes;
- Commissioning (including re-commissioning and de-commissioning);
- Service review;
- Budget allocation/analysis;
- Staff restructures (including outsourcing);
- · Business transformation programmes;
- Organisational change programmes;
- Processes (for example thresholds, eligibility, entitlements, and access criteria.

2. Proposed change

Directorate	Sustainable Communities, Regeneration & Economic Recovery
Title of proposed change	Healthy School Streets (HSS) Group 3
Name of Officer carrying out Equality Analysis	Danny Luu – Highways & Parking Technical Manager

2.1 Purpose of proposed change (see 1.1 above for examples of proposed changes)

The Council's Draft Parking Policy 2023 aims to effectively manage parking provision across the borough in line with the Corporate Plan and the borough's growth objectives. This policy details the School Streets objectives, to ensure we secure a healthy and safe environment near to schools and to help children and parents use cars less and to walk, cycle and use public transport more. The school run presents a particularly harmful combination of air pollution and inactivity for our children and parents.

The Council introduced the first School Streets schemes in 2017 and in January 2023 implemented a further 9 School Street schemes under Experimental Traffic Management Order (ETMOs) for a period of 18 months. The locations of these school streets are:

Ref	School	Affected Road(s)
HSS1	The Crescent Primary School and The BRIT School	The Crescent and Saracen Close
HSS3	South Norwood Primary School	Birchanger Road, Crowther Road and Gresham Road
HSS4	St Cyprians Greek Orthodox Primary School	Ingram Road and Springfield Road
HSS5	Howard Primary School	Dering Place and Barham Road
HSS6	Oasis Shirley Park	Stroud Green Way and Swinburne Crescent
HSS7	Good Shepherd Catholic School	Dunley Drive and Walker Close
HSS8	Kenley Primary School	Mosslea Road and New Barn Lane

HSS9 Gonville Academy		Gonville Road	
HSS10	Park Hill Junior & Infants School	Stanhope Road, The Avenue, Cotelands, Crusader Gardens and Pageant Walk	

School streets restrict access for motor traffic except resident permit holders, cyclists, emergency services and certain other groups such as carers and those with disabilities. Motor traffic is restricted from entering the School Street at pick up and drop off times, reclaiming road space to create pedestrian and cycle zones. The operational hours for each School Street are 8:00am – 9.30am and 2:00pm – 4:00pm Monday to Friday during term time only and the times are indicated by traffic signs. School Streets aim to create safer and more pleasant environment outside each school, discouraging travel to school by car and promoting walking, cycling and scooting, achieving positive health outcomes for all.

The changes described allow each school and resident community access to the reclaimed space on the road, changing the way the streets are used. It is hoped that this new use of space by the school and community will support active travel.

Reducing traffic from the school gates also helps to tackle air pollution, which is a significant problem for children and pregnant women. Children are particularly vulnerable to the effects of air pollution and, in the context of School Streets, recent studies have indicated that increases in particulate matter PM_{2.5} can make individuals more susceptible to the effects of COVID-19, increasing the mortality rate. This is therefore a public health priority. Removing congested traffic and idling vehicles from the immediate vicinity of the school entrance, while creating an environment that encourages families to travel to school more actively, may have positive health outcomes for the school community. It is hoped that increased safety and reduced convenience for those driving will encourage more children and parents to walk, cycle and scoot to school.

3. Impact of the proposed change

community organisations and contractors.

Important Note: It is necessary to determine how each of the protected groups could be impacted by the proposed change. Who benefits and how (and who, therefore doesn't and why?) Summarise any positive impacts or benefits, any negative impacts and any neutral impacts and the evidence you have taken into account to reach this conclusion. Be aware that there may be positive, negative and neutral impacts within each characteristic.

Where an impact is unknown, state so. If there is insufficient information or evidence to reach a decision you will need to gather appropriate quantitative and qualitative information from a range of sources e.g. Croydon Observatory a useful source of information such as Borough Strategies and Plans, Borough and Ward Profiles, Joint Strategic Health Needs Assessments http://www.croydonobservatory.org/ Other sources include performance monitoring reports,

complaints, survey data, audit reports, inspection reports, national research and feedback gained through engagement with service users, voluntary and

3.1 Deciding whether the potential impact is positive or negative

School Streets aim to discourage travel to school by car and promote active travel. This is important as in Croydon, we have a growing issue with obesity in the population, including children. Croydon has the fourth largest proportion of young people in London, with one in four Croydon residents (24.5%) aged between 0-17 years*. It is known that around 1 in 5 children (21.8%) in reception were overweight or living with obesity, and this position worsens in their last year of primary school (Year 6) where around 2 in 5 children (39.5%) were overweight or living with obesity*. School Streets create healthy and safe environment near to schools, to encourage modal shift, which will in turn contribute to addressing the obesity issue.

The 65 years plus age group makes up 13.9% of the total population in Croydon. In London, this proportion is smaller at 12.2% and in England it is much bigger at 19.6%*. There is likelihood that some of this group may be more reliant on vehicular modes of travel, and consequently would be disadvantaged by the proposals in that they could not drive in the School Streets during the operational hours, but this is more than outweighed by the air pollution benefits. Air pollution is an important public health issue contributing to illness and shortened life expectancy, that disproportionately impacts on the most vulnerable in the population, in particular the sick, young and elderly.

The 2021 Census figures showed that 14% of the population in Croydon had their day-to-day activities limited to some extent by a long-term health problem or disability. School Streets will not disproportionately impact on this group as eligible residents are able to apply for exemption permits, to allow them (or their nominated carer) to drive in the School Streets during the operational hours.

- * Source: Croydon Observatory www.croydonobservatory.org
- + Source: Patterns and trends in child obesity in Croydon; A presentation of 2019/20 NCMP data at local authority level, July 2021

Table 1 – Positive/Negative impact

For each protected characteristic group show whether the impact of the proposed change on service users and/or staff is positive or negative by briefly outlining the nature of the impact in the appropriate column. If it is decided that analysis is not relevant to some groups, this should be recorded and explained. In all circumstances you should list the source of the evidence used to make this judgement where possible.

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
Age	The school streets schemes treat in general primary school sites, and therefore all age groups will benefit from a safer, quieter and clean street scene during pick up/drop off times on all the HSSs proposed to be made permanent. The eight schools recommended to be made permanent have over 3,200 pupils combined who would benefit from reduced traffic volumes, increased road safety and improved air quality. The following HSSs provide additional benefits to other establishments within the vicinity where attendees choose to walk/cycle within the safer road environment: South Norwood Primary School – Crosfield Nursery School and Children Centre St Cyprians – Shining Stars Nursery and David Livingstone Primary School Good Shepherd – Castle Hill Academy Kenley Primary – Kenley Kindergarten The schemes may offer additional space where footways are narrow making areas outside the school gates less congested. For example, outside St Cyprians Greek Orthodox Primary School on Ingram Road and Springfield Road. The proportion of residents aged over 60 is 14% for the borough. Four of the HSSs in this report have a high proportion of over 60s compared to this average:	For children that may need to be dropped/picked up close to the school gates, for reasons such as injuries or disabilities the school street may temporarily be a hindrance. However, the council has made provisions to the schools to request access on their behalf in such circumstances. Conversely, older people may be more reliant on travel by motor vehicle and in some cases journey times may increase as a result of the proposal, but the impact is expected to be limited and outweighed by improvements to safety and air quality.	Croydon Health Services NHS Trust ONS Census 2021

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
group(o)	 The Kenley Primary School – Kenley Ward – 18% St Cyprians Greek Orthodox School – Norbury Park Ward – 17% Park Hill Junior & Infant School – Park Hill & Whitgift Ward – 19% Oasis Shirley Park – Shirley North Ward – 19% The elderly population may also benefit from HSSs as equally to the younger age groups as this would provide them with a quieter street scene such as example crossing the road outside the school may make it easier without the need to look out for moving traffic. The scheme benefits people of all ages as it encourages travel to and from school in an active forms such as walking and cycling resulting in better health outcomes. Emergency admissions for children and young people with asthma and wheeze has risen considerably in the last 5 years, forming the second highest reason for emergency admissions in Croydon for 0-18's. 7.5% of premature deaths in Croydon are linked to air pollution. Failing to address NOx and 		
	particulate matter emissions in Croydon would deprive many local people of their fundamental right to safe air. Public Health data shows one in four Croydon residents (24.5%) aged between 0-17 years. It is known that around 1 in 5 children (21.8%) in reception were overweight or living with abosity, and this position wereast in their last year of primary.		
	obesity, and this position worsens in their last year of primary school (Year 6) where around 2 in 5 children (39.5%) were		

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
Disability	overweight or living with obesity. The report has recommended that the Park Hill Junior & Infant School Healthy School Street is removed. Cotelands Freehold Ltd is an estate of 99 flats within the School Street, with a large number of residents requiring care. Many of these residents do not have access to the internet and therefore have expressed difficulty in registering exemptions for all the different vehicles for their carers. As many of these residents do not own a car, they require the use of taxis to travel to and from medical appointments and the congestion experienced outside of the school street zone affects access to their properties. The removal of this school street would benefit these elderly residents who were having difficulty registering the exemptions for the taxis that they use. Exemptions apply to all residents who have a vehicle registered to an address within a School Street zone. This includes any residents who may have disabilities. The proportion of Croydon's residents with a registered disability is 14%. The following HSSs are located in wards with an equal or higher proportion than the borough average: • Kenley Primary School – Kenley Ward – 14% • Good Shepherd Catholic School – New Addington North Ward – 18% • St Cyprian's Greek Orthodox – Norbury Park Ward – 14%	There may be individuals who are not aware that they could be eligible for an exemption even in limited special circumstances. Residents who have registered carers are able to apply for a permit for the carer's vehicle. As a mitigation measure the council will inform the public of the potential exemptions they may be able to apply for.	Blue Badge Scheme Croydon Observatory Disabled Parking Accreditation Scheme in association with
	 St Cyphair's Greek Ofthodox – Norbury Park Ward – 14% Park Hill Junior & Infant School – Park Hill & Whitgift Ward – 14% The Crescent Primary School – Selhurst Ward – 15% Oasis Shirley Park – Shirley North Ward – 16% 		Disabled Motoring UK

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
	 South Norwood Primary School – South Norwood Ward – 14% Howard Primary School – Waddon Ward – 15% Reducing road danger has the potential to enable more people to participate in active travel who may previously have been discouraged to so perhaps because of their disability. For example, cycles can improve mobility and access for disabled people, many of whom do not have access to motor vehicles. The HSS on The Crescent would discourage rat-running between Whitehorse Road and Northcote Road, improving 		Census 2021
	road safety and further encourage active travel in this area for those with disabilities. The report has recommended that the Park Hill Junior & Infant School Healthy School Street is removed. Cotelands Freehold Ltd is an estate of 99 flats within the School Street, with a large number of residents requiring care. Many of these residents do not have access to the internet and therefore have expressed difficulty in registering exemptions for all the different vehicles for their carers. As many of these residents do not own a car, they require the use of taxis to travel to and from medical appointments and the congestion experienced outside of the school street zone affects access to their properties. The removal of this school street would benefit these elderly residents who were having difficulty registering the exemptions for the taxis that they use. If individuals do not have a Blue Badge, additional exemptions may be considered in special circumstances on a case-by-		

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
	case basis. For example, to allow a SEN Transport bus to collect a child from a residence within a School Street.		
Sex	The aim of the Healthy School Streets programme is to reduce traffic volumes, increase road safety and improve air quality. This would encourage active travel and benefit all users, regardless of sex.		National Travel Survey
	More women accompany their children to school compared to men so would benefit more from the reduced congestion, increased road safety and improved air quality		
Gender Identity	The aim of the Healthy School Streets programme is to reduce traffic volumes, increase road safety and improve air quality. This would encourage active travel and benefit all users, regardless of gender identity.		
Marriage or Civil Partnership	The aim of the Healthy School Streets programme is to reduce traffic volumes, increase road safety and improve air quality. This would encourage active travel and benefit all users, regardless of marriage status.		
Religion or belief	The aim of the Healthy School Streets programme is to reduce traffic volumes, increase road safety and improve air quality. This would encourage active travel and benefit all users, regardless of religion.		
Race	The aim of the Healthy School Streets programme is to reduce traffic volumes, increase road safety and improve air quality. This would encourage active travel and benefit all users, regardless of race.	People who do not speak English or have poor English skills may struggle to access information about the school street operation and how to apply for an exemption permit.	ONS Census 2021
	The proposal is expected to increase participation in active travel among under-represented groups in schools that are located in areas of higher deprivation, such as South Norwood Primary School in Selhurst. The schemes could create an		

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
	environment helping to increase the proportion of BAME groups who choose to cycle.		
Sexual Orientation	The aim of the Healthy School Streets programme is to reduce traffic volumes, increase road safety and improve air quality. This would encourage active travel and benefit all users, regardless of sexual orientation.		
Pregnancy or Maternity	The aim of the Healthy School Streets programme is to reduce traffic volumes, increase road safety and improve air quality. This would encourage active travel and benefit all users, regardless of pregnancy status.	Potential negative impact on females during pregnancy who drive to the school but are not eligible for an exemption permit and therefore would park further away and continue their journey by walking. As a mitigation measure the council can issue temporary exemptions on a case-by-case basis if needed.	

Important note: You must act to eliminate any potential negative impact which, if it occurred would breach the Equality Act 2010. In some situations this could mean abandoning your proposed change as you may not be able to take action to mitigate all negative impacts.

When you act to reduce any negative impact or maximise any positive impact, you must ensure that this does not create a negative impact on service users and/or staff belonging to groups that share protected characteristics. Please use table 4 to record actions that will be taken to remove or minimise any potential negative impact

3.2 Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

Table 2 – Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

If you need to undertake further research and data gathering to help determine the likely impact of the proposed change, outline the information needed in this table. Please use the table below to describe any consultation with stakeholders and summarise how it has influenced the proposed change. Please attach evidence or provide link to appropriate data or reports:

Additional information needed and or Consultation Findings	Information source	Date for completion
None identified		

For guidance and support with consultation and engagement visit https://intranet.croydon.gov.uk/working-croydon/communications/consultation-and-engagement/starting-engagement-or-consultation

3.3 Impact scores

Example

If we are going to reduce parking provision in a particular location, officers will need to assess the equality impact as follows;

- 1. Determine the Likelihood of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the likelihood of impact score is 2 (likely to impact)
- 2. Determine the Severity of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the Severity of impact score is also 2 (likely to impact)
- 3. Calculate the equality impact score using table 4 below and the formula **Likelihood x Severity** and record it in table 5, for the purpose of this example **Likelihood** (2) x **Severity** (2) = 4

Table 3 – Equality Impact Score

act	3	3	6	9
<u>ш</u>	2	2	4	6
/ of	1	1	2	3
everity of Impact		1	2	3
Sev	Lik	elihood	l of Imp	act

Key

Risk Index	Risk Magnitude
6 – 9	High
3 – 5	Medium
1 – 3	Low

Table 4 - Impact scores

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
PROTECTED GROUP	LIKELIHOOD OF IMPACT SCORE	SEVERITY OF IMPACT SCORE	EQUALITY IMPACT SCORE
	Use the key below to score the likelihood of the proposed change impacting each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group. 1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact	Use the key below to score the severity of impact of the proposed change on each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group. 1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact	Calculate the equality impact score for each protected group by multiplying scores in column 2 by scores in column 3. Enter the results below against each protected group. Equality impact score = likelihood of impact score x severity of impact score .
Age	2	2	4
Disability	3	2	6
Sex	1	1	1
Gender reassignment	1	1	1
Marriage / Civil Partnership	1	1	1

Race	1	1	1
Religion or belief	1	1	1
Sexual Orientation	1	1	1
Pregnancy or Maternity	2	2	4

4. Statutory duties

4.1 Public	Sector Duties	
	It box(es) to indicate whether the proposed change will adversely impact the Council's ability 10 set out below.	y to meet any of the Public Sector Duties in the
Advancing equ	ality of opportunity between people who belong to protected groups	\checkmark
Eliminating unla	wful discrimination, harassment and victimisation	\checkmark
Fostering good	relations between people who belong to protected characteristic groups	
•	: If the proposed change adversely impacts the Council's ability to meet any of the Publid in the Action Plan in section 5 below.	c Sector Duties set out above, mitigating actions

5. Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts of proposed change

Important note: Describe what alternatives have been considered and/or what actions will be taken to remove or minimise any potential negative impact identified in Table 1. Attach evidence or provide link to appropriate data, reports, etc:

Table 4 – Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts

Protected characteristic	Negative impact	Mitigating action(s)	Action owner	Date for completion
Disability	There may be individuals who are	The council will inform the public of	Parking	Ongoing via the website
	not aware that they could eligible for	the potential exemptions they may be		
	an exemption even in limited special circumstances.	able to apply for on our website.		
	circumstances.	Review exemptions criteria to ensure	Parking	Annually
		no unanticipated impact on protected		
		characteristic group		
Race	People who do not speak English or	Ensure that interpreting services are	Comms	Ongoing
	have poor English skills may	available to support residents whose		
	struggle to access information about	first language is not English.		
	the school street operation and how			
	to apply for an exemption permit.			
Sex (gender)	Not known			
Gender reassignment	Not known			
Sexual orientation	Not known			
Age	For children that may need to be	The council has made provisions for	Parking	Ongoing (process already
	dropped/picked up close to the	the schools to request access on their		in place)
	school gates, for reasons such as	behalf in such circumstances.		
	injuries or disabilities, the School			
	Street may temporarily be a			
	hindrance.			
	Conversely, older people may be	The impact on older people is		
	more reliant on travel by motor	expected to be limited and		

Protected characteristic	Negative impact	Mitigating action(s)	Action owner	Date for completion
	vehicle and in some cases journey	outweighed by improvements to		
	times may increase as a result of the	safety and air quality.		
	proposal.			
Religion or belief	Not known			
Pregnancy or maternity	Pregnant parents, who are not eligible for a permit, would be restricted from driving in the School Street and would have to park further away from the school and continue the remainder of the journey on foot	As a mitigation measure the council could issue temporary exemptions on a case by case basis where needed. The council will inform the public of the potential exemptions they may be able to apply for, through communications around the sites at the time of launch and permanently on our website.	Parking	Prior to and at launch of each site Ongoing via the website
Marriage/civil partnership	Not known			

6. Decision on the proposed change

Based on the i	Based on the information outlined in this Equality Analysis enter X in column 3 (Conclusion) alongside the relevant statement to show your conclusion.			
Decision	Definition			
		Mark 'X'		
		below		
No major change	Our analysis demonstrates that the policy is robust. The evidence shows no potential for discrimination and we have taken all opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations, subject to continuing monitoring and review. If you reach this conclusion, state your reasons and briefly outline the evidence used to support your decision. Extensive communication with the teachers and families of each school, as well as local residents and businesses within the affected area, took place before the trial period began. Feedback and queries are continually monitored via the dedicated School Streets mailbox. Changes in numbers of children travelling to school by car and travelling actively will be monitored by the school through hands up surveys on a termly basis. Hands up surveys from each school will also be used as a way of measuring levels of active travel before and after each scheme has been implemented.			

	Each scheme was implemented on a trial basis. The schemes progressing to permanent received no overwhelming negative feedback or was deemed to pose a risk to those using the Pedestrian and Cycle Zone.		
Adjust the proposed change	We will take steps to lessen the impact of the proposed change should it adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out under section 4 above, remove barriers or better promote equality. We are going to take action to ensure these opportunities are realised. If you reach this conclusion, you must outline the actions you will take in Action Plan in section 5 of the Equality Analysis form		
	The introduction of the Group 3 School Streets was under an E gave the council the ability to adjust the proposals should it be are having an adverse effect on any of the identified groups.		
Continue the proposed change	discrimination, harassment or victimisation and better advance equality and foster good relations between groups through		
Stop or amend the proposed change	nend the opposed change must be stopped or amended.		
	on be considered at a scheduled meeting? e.g. Contracts and	Meeting title: Cabinet	
Commissioning Board (CCB) / Cabinet Date: 15 July 2024			

7. Sign-Off

Officers that must approve this decision				
Equalities Lead	Name:	Ken Orlukwu	Date:	2 July 2024
	Position:	Senior Equalities Officer		
Director	Name:	Karen Agbabiaka	Date:	2 July 2024
	Position:	Director Streets & Environment		