Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
 FINANCIAL RISKS Fund assets fail to deliver returns (in-line with the anticipated returns underpinning valuation of liabilities over the long-term) 	 Only anticipate long-term return on a relatively prudent basis to reduce risk of under-performing Analyse progress at triennial valuations and review Fund's Investment Strategy and Funding Strategy accordingly Regularly benchmark assets to revalued liabilities The Fund has reviewed a number of key mandates including Diversified Growth Funds (July 2019) and Fixed Income mandates (February 2019) and changed a number of managers which should improve performance. It has also recently reduced exposure to active equity managers Harris and Bailie Gifford which have recently delivered poor performance and this should ensure market returns are delivered (Dec 23 - Jan 24) The Fund conducts full asset liability Investment Strategy reviews every three years. This models how liabilities and asset classes impact on funding and Value at Risk. Investment Strategy Review July 2020 of equity and non-equity 	3	4	12	 Investment Strategy Review post triennial valuation in July 2023 reduced equity exposure 45% The recent strength of the funding ratio at 113% at the last valuation (September 2022) has reduced the impact . Indications are the funding ratio has strengthened further since the last formal valuation. 	3	3	9	Annually/ Quarterly	Pension Committee (PC)

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 portfolio reducing equity manager risk and equity risk and examining new asset classes that link to inflation Review of asset allocation with rebalancing plan after IDeA Substitution of funds and Barings redemption – July 2021 The July 2023 Investment Strategy Review increased allocations to index-linked gilts (£82m), Multi- asset credit (£62m), infrastructure (£92m) and affordable housing (£97m) – these assets all diversify away from equity volatility and have strong inflation linkage. 									
3. Inappropriate long-term investment strategy	 Fund-specific benchmark, informed by Asset-Liability modelling Compliance with LGPS regulations including consultation and production of the Investment Strategy Statement The Investment strategy is reviewed at least every three years by Committee with input from advisers, with more than one potential strategy considered. This was last reviewed in July 2023 and included a full asset liability modelling study. 	3	5	15	Next Investment Strategy Review to take place following the next triennial valuation after March 2025. Upon review it was felt that the risk of the investment strategy being incorrect based on professional advice had the same probability as the actuarial picture being incorrect (risk 9) and so both risks now have the same likelihood.	2	5	10	Quarterly	PC

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 The independent investment advisor gives the Fund better market insight and will shape the strategy with greater frequency via performance reports and market intelligence Review of Fund Benchmarks and Targets (September 2015) Member training on Liability Driven Investment linking investment strategy to maturity and liquidity considerations and growth assumptions in the triennial valuation Liaison with Fund Actuary to ensure consistency between investment and funding strategies 									
5. Pay and price inflation risk	 The focus of the actuarial valuation process is on real returns on assets, net of price and pay increases investment in index-linked bonds has been increased to 8% to help mitigate this risk. Following the July 2023 Investment Strategy Review index-linked gilts were topped up by £84m. Additional allocations to infrastructure (£92m), affordable housing (£94m) and multi- asset credit (£62m) have increased 	3	4	12	 Future pay and price inflation assumptions considered as part of 2022 triennial valuation process Impact of RPI reform considered as part of 2022 triennial valuation process Fund Actuary modelling as part of 2022 valuation allowed for high short term CPI when setting 	3	4	12	Quarterly	PC

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5	
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%	
	1 in 100 rare	1 in 20 unlikely	1 in 10 possible	1 in 5 likely	or liabilities						
			•								

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 inflation protection. The addition of the Aviva Long lease property fund (now called the Real Estate Long Income fund) also helps to counteract inflationary pressures (February 2019). Employers pay for their own salary awards and are reminded of the geared effect on pension liabilities of any bias in pensionable pay rises towards longer-serving employees The performance report covers movements in inflation. Recently the inflationary Pension Increase for the LGPS was agreed at 6.7% from April 2024 . The Bank of England expects inflation to fall back to target around 2% in the medium term. The cash flow report also shows the impact of inflation on pension payments The July 2022 committee considered a report on salary growth and triennial valuation assumption on inflation of 2.7% was used in the trinennial valuation. Monitor pay rises for all employers 				 appropriate long term contribution rates (especially for the Council). The annual report on Fund cash flows will enable officers and Members to review inflationary pressures and the Fund's ability to manage them Pay inflation assumption does not have too significant an impact on actuarial valuations results as it only affects some liabilities not all i.e. it only relates to current active members and only to their service built up prior to 1 April 2014. 					

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 Changes to employer rates between valuations could be implemented if necessary Consider hedging strategies and put in place a flight path Impact of past pay rises has been identified for all employer's deficits as part of the triennial valuation and will be factored in to future triennial valuation processes. There is a potential impact of RPI reform on future assumed long-term inflation expectations (RPI is expected to align with CPIH from 2030 onwards). This impact will be considered as part of the 2022 triennial valuation process. 									
2. Unacceptable level of investment risk (in asset allocation, use of financial instruments and leverage)	 Agree and establish appropriate level of risk in a diversified strategy with the Investment Consultant Ensure full understanding of nature of risk in each asset class The Investment strategy is reviewed at least every three years by Committee (July 2023) . The next review is scheduled for July 2026 after the triennial valuation. This review includes consideration of 'Value at Risk' (VaR) and the 	3	3	9	At the 2022 valuation, the Fund Actuary considered the magnitude of potential downside risk from the investment strategy, market movements, future inflation levels etc	3	3	9	Annually	PC

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5	
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%	
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities						
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely							

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 factors contributing towards the VaR for a given strategy. The Investment strategy is also reconsidered alongside the funding strategy at each actuarial valuation, to measure the risk of different strategies The Independent Advisor completes due diligence on all current investment mandates Officers and the Independent Advisor hold in-depth quarterly investment manager meetings with all managers to review risks, leverage and instruments used and report any concerns to Committee Private equity mandate appointed to and new Infrastructure mandate funded. This has reduced concentration risk Last Member training in June 2022 conducted by the Investment Consultant on nature of investments 									
7. Market failure risk (e.g. in the Eurozone)	Limit concentration of investment in any one specific market. The 2020 investment strategy review and July 2021 rebalancing reports looked at the allocation to equity and considered options to reduce reliance on these volatile assets.	3	3	9	 Implicitly assessed within Fund Actuary's modelling work as part of 2022 valuation 	3	2	6	Ongoing	PC / Head of Treasury

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 Equity and bond mandates are now all global (no UK or regional funds). Monitor markets constantly, and seek advice of managers, consultants and independent advisor (markets are also perceived as over-valued in the US and there is a threat of Emerging markets being dislocated by tapering in the US and growth concerns) Funding of private equity mandate further reducing concentration on UK passive equity as capital calls dilute exposure over time Fund manager controls on risk – e.g. exposure to Russian market. Officers and the Independent adviser to maintain a dialogue with Investment managers and assess the impact 									
6. Investment vehicle is not understood	 Investment Consultant and Independent Advisor feed into decisions on new asset classes Member training (especially for the newer asset class of private equity, infrastructure and affordable housing) Appropriate due diligence carried out during searches by Investment Consultant and lawyers 	2	3	6		2	3	6	Ongoing	PC / Head of Treasury

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 Pension Committee receives a quarterly briefing on progression of the London CIV We use Hyman's online training platform for Pension Committee, Local Pension Board Members and Officers to improve pension fund knowledge and skills 									
13. Employer contribution rate increases (effect on service delivery including admitted /scheduled bodies)	 Stability mechanism for Council contribution rate, limiting increases from one year to the next. Based on Fund Actuary's modelling and in place for several valuations now Seek feedback from employers on scope to absorb short-term contribution rises Mitigate impact through measurement of added risk to the Fund of permitting reduced contributions and possible phasing in of contribution rises Consult employers on possibility of paying more (extra administration and higher regular contributions) to enable employer-specific investment strategies to give greater certainty of cost Employer register considered annually by Pension Committee 	3	2	6	 2022 valuation modelling work on the Council contribution rate considered different contribution patterns and tested these to ensure a suitable degree of prudence by Pension Fund Funding Strategy Statement agreed in July 2021 allows for deferred debt agreements, exit credit policy and review of contributions between triennial contributions The departure of IDeA means employer risk is significantly reduced as they had made up a large proportion of the liabilities excluding the Council 	3	1	3	Annually by PSC / Ongoing by officers	PC / Head of Treasury

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 Continued dialogue between officers, actuary and employers to determine risk All employers to be visited in the next triennial valuation cycle 2022 triennial valuation approach allows measurement of risks/probabilities associated with different contribution levels per employer. See also item 30 									
12. Investment manager under-performance (relative to target)	 Short term (quarterly) investment monitoring analyses market performance and active managers relative to their index benchmark. The Committee has demonstrated that it can identify poor performance and tackle this with the phased withdrawal from Fidelity and disinvestment from Aberdeen (PSC Sep 14) and more recently the exit from Brevan Howard and Insight. The Committee has also considered the performance of DGF managers and fixed income within the Fund during 2019. Concerns have recently been tracked closely with Harris and CQS and these funds are now performing more in line with 	3	2	6	 The Committee has had Harris' performance under close scrutiny and is actively discussing CIV alternatives with participation in the CIV Seed Investor Group The Fund has rebalanced its overweight position to equity (65% to 50%) which has reduced this risk The Fund has also monitored its absolute return bond manager (Insight) closely and taken a decision to replace them with the CIV's multi asset credit fund. Subsequently both the CIV and the Fund 	3	2	6	Quarterly	PC

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 expectations. The Fund is currently tracking the Baillie Gifford Global alpha and Diversified Growth Funds closely due to poor performance. Any changes to investment process, philosophy, portfolio team are reported to Pension Committee Appointment of Independent Advisor to strengthen scrutiny in this area, and due diligence conducted by her on all managers. Consideration of equity managers as part of the Investment Strategy review. The allocations to active equity have been trimmed back to 8% for Baillie Gifford and 5% for Harris as part of the 2023 Investment Strategy Review. This reduces the funds exposure to active equity and individual manager risk and under- 	Lik	-		have had the Multi Asset Credit sub fund (CQS) under review and this culminated in the decision to invest in the Blended product earlier in 2022.	Lik	-	Ris	Tin R Fre	Res
	 performance. Report considered on appropriateness of targets taken in September 2015 									
	Investment Manager meetings are held regularly, open to Members as well as officers and significant actions are considered at Pension Committee									

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
9. Actuarial Risk (miscalculation of liabilities or inappropriate assumptions)	 The Fund maintains close contact with its actuary Advice is delivered via formal meetings involving elected members, and recorded properly Advice is subject to professional requirements such as peer review Technical Actuarial Standards in place, which in effect impose best practice requirements on actuarial advice The Fund now has two experienced actuaries with Camden-specific knowledge (Barry Dodds and Tom Hoare) advising its officers and Committee Pension Committee receives reports on the review of two key assumptions in the Triennial Valuation on Salary growth and investment return expectations (July 2022). Fund actuary is accredited under the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries (IFoA) Quality Assurance Scheme, which requires external assessment and annual submissions to IfoA 	1	5	5	 The committee has reviewed the funding position in detail as part of the 2022 triennial valuation NB this will vary materially from one employer to the next Assumptions made about the future (financial and demographic) were reassessed as part of the 2022 triennial valuation Upon review it was felt that the risk of the liabilities being incorrect based on professional advice had the same probability as the investment strategy being incorrect (risk 3) and so both risks now have the same likelihood. 	2	5	10	Ongoing	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
51. Sub-funds of London CIV fail to perform	 The London CIV is well resourced and has skilled and experienced staff who can exercise appropriate due diligence. The multi asset funds at the CIV are all under-performing and so close attention needs to be paid to how the CIV navigate these performance issues. There is also a risk that the last investor remaining has wind-up costs to deal with. The CIV addressed concerns over the Multi-asset credit fund by adding a second manager to the mandate and this has helped to balance performance. Pension Committee reviews investments with the CIV and progress at the CIV quarterly As the Fund moves more into CIV sub-funds this will become a bigger issue. The Fund should ensure that there is appropriate monitoring rigour at the CIV The CIV have three funds under enhanced monitoring and two of these are used by this Fund (BG Global Alpha equity and their Diversified Growth Fund) 	2	2	4	 The CIV has evolved into a more stable pool with appointment of key officers filled The CIV has worked through a live case study with a manager who was under review (CQS) and demonstrated how they can work towards a blended offer with a complimentary manager the CIV now holds quarterly meetings with independent advisers to provide an update on any performance issues in the underlying sub funds 	2	2	4		

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
10. Geographical/ Currency risk in investments	 Limit concentration of investment in any one specific market through manager agreements Regular review of compliance with manager agreements Monitor markets constantly, and seek advice of managers, consultants and independent advisor The Fund considered the use of a strategic currency hedge to limit risk, agreeing to delegate to individual managers (March 15) Diversification of UK passive holding into Global passive holding (Sept and Nov 15) 	2	2	4		2	2	4	Ongoing	PC / Head of Treasury
15. Excessive fees paid to investment managers	 Manager fees keenly negotiated at time of appointment to achieve best result for the Fund All Fund fees and expenses are reviewed regularly by officers Participation in London CIV to achieve economies of scale and ensure optimal fee structures. The CIV have recently introduced Assessment of Value methodology and are looking at a property offer for common underlying property holdings. Regularly benchmark fees (CEM) 	2	2	4	 Ensure Fund Managers sign up to the Scheme Advisory Board's Code of Transparency. Baillie Gifford have already done so. Move to passive mandates where outperformance on active portfolio does not justify higher fees charged. 	2	2	4	Ongoing	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	• The Fund has exited Hedge Fund investments which have higher fees. It has also recently invested in CQS, a fixed income manager, in the CIV with lower fee structures.									
16. Asset manager or bank failure	 when new manager or custodian is appointed (financially and legally) In future this due diligence will be the responsibility of the London CIV 	1	4	4		1	4	4	Ongoing	Officers
	 with a wider resource base Financial stability of managers and custodian monitored by officers and Independent Advisor Investment Consultant has 									
	 coverage of all investment managers Assets under management with all managers are monitored as dramatic falls are likely to place pressure on manager business models (PC Mar 16) 									
53. Fossil Fuel linked investments suffer losses due to stranded assets and reputational damage.	Equity managers review ESG issues as part of investment decision, and report issues and company engagement as part of quarterly reports	3	2	6	• The 2022 actuarial valuation assessment included analysis of the potential impact on Fund's assets and liabilities in different	3	2	6	Ongoing	PC/ Head of Treasury
	The Government's legislation to reduce carbon to net zero				climate change scenarios					

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5	
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%	
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities						
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely							

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 emissions has increased the pace of change The Fund has reduced its proportion of the Fund invested in fossil fuels over 7 years from 7.2% of the Fund in 2012 to 2.1% (March 2024). Membership of LAPFF and appointment of corporate governance advisor providing research on companies invested ESG seminar for Members of the Committee (May 19) participation with LAPFF to engage with fossil fuel companies and boards and continue work in this area including 'aiming for A', strategic resilience resolutions, and managed decline of fossil fuel extraction continued engagement with Fund managers to ensure climate change and stranded asset issues are acknowledged and dealt with by boards. Continued use of Voting policy to support strategic resilience resolutions (with LAPFF voting alerts) and appropriate measures with respect to climate change 				Committee and officers to be aware of 'greenwashing' which is becoming more and more commonplace and seeks to use disinformation by Investment managers in order to present an environmentally responsible public image.					

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 The Fund conducts a carbon footprint to better understand its exposure to fossil fuels and will look to enhance this in future. This uses the CIV's Climate analytics which gives a detailed overview of carbon exposure. The Fund has developed an Investor Belief Statement in November 2019 revised in July 2023 The Fund takes climate change seriously and uses all available opportunities to enhance its policy and practice in this area. The Chair and Head of Treasury and Financial Services attended an industry wide roundtable with the DWP Minister to discuss progress. The Fund monitors progress of companies within the portfolio to the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) The Fund has invested in an infrastructure manager with a minimum of 25% renewable energy investments (this was increased from 20% after discussions with London Pension Funds) The Fund commissioned and received a report on climate change 									

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 modelling from its actuary, in November 2019. An update to this modelling will be provided as part of the upcoming 2022 triennial valuation Investment in Legal and General Future World Fund which has a lower carbon footprint Agreement to move funds in the Baillie Gifford Fund to a variant which is Paris aligned and has a 43% lower carbon intensity than the current fund. The vice chair of this Committee is now on the LAPFF executive 									
8. Forced selling of assets in falling market due to cash flow requirements	 Monitoring of cash flows and Fund maturity, and taking appropriate strategic action (as above) Dividends can be used to fund benefit payments. Additionally redeemable structures with most managers mean assets can be sold or units redeemed to fund benefits. July 2020 Investment Strategy review used an Asset Liability modelling approach which will ensure assets are appropriate for liabilities (and hence cash flow) 	1	3	3	 Reviewed Fund's requirements in view of requirement to sell £190m to fund the IDeA substitution of Funds in the July 2021 committee. This was part of an exercise to consider a rebalancing of Fund assets at the same time. 	1	2	2	Ongoing	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
17. Investment manager style drift	Managers are monitored closely by officers and advisors, with quarterly investment reports and regular review meetings held and minuted	3	1	3		3	1	3	Ongoing	Officers / Advisers
	Reasoning behind any proposed changes to investment approach are explained by the investment manager									
	Committee has Investment Manager summaries which set out mandate key principles and provide triggers for review									
	 Minutes from recent Investment Manager meetings considered at next Pension Committee meeting The Fund has demonstrated how it reviews managers periodically removing Aberdeen's mandate. The Fund has now also terminated Insight's bond mandate. Most recently the Fund has spent time considering Baillie Gifford's approach to risk in its Paris-aligned equity fund and its Diversified Growth Fund. 									

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
18. Fraud risk	 All investment managers required to submit audits on internal controls and summarised as part of the annual report to members Detailed due diligence is carried out when new managers are appointed (financially and legally) Audit of the fund is carried out by competent auditors Internal audit is carried out by competent auditors to review benefit fraud and operational risks Custodian has strong internal controls including reconciliation of asset values and performance Managers able to give complete look through into underlying assets Assets held in segregated accounts where possible Investment Consultant has coverage of all investment managers 	1	3	3	 Explore cyber-security risks with fund managers and the Pension Shared Service to ensure good safekeeping employer and systems are robust and protected from hacking especially those with a more quantitative nature. 	2	3	6	Ongoing	PC / Head of Treasury
19. Custodian Risk - creditworthiness, ability to settle trades, provide secure safekeeping and accurate and timely reporting	 Service Level Agreement in contract Review of custodian Key Performance Indicators Regular officer meetings with custodian 	1	3	3	Assets managed directly by our custodian have reduced over the years (only with Harris and CBRE) with most other funds being pooled funds	1	3	3	Ongoing	Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 Future report to Pension Committee by custodian Future consideration of CIV Depositary and role 									
14. Investment counterparty risk (related to stock lending and use of derivatives)	 Practice of stock lending and use of derivatives monitored by officers and Independent Advisor Investment Consultant has coverage of all investment managers Regular review of managers' due diligence processes at officer meetings 	1	2	2		1	2	2	Ongoing	PC / Officers
11. Illiquidity of assets - benefits cannot be paid and strategy changes become difficult	 Periodic review of Fund assets with Investment Consultant, and officer due diligence on markets reviewed as part of the Investment Strategy review (July 2020) Maturity of Fund kept under review by Committee. (PC – March 2020). These considerations were also part of the Investment Strategy review in July 2020. 	1	2	2	Cashflow maturity of whole Fund reassessed by the Fund Actuary, in light of the 2022 actuarial valuation results	1	2	2	Ongoing	PC / Head of Treasury

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
20. Environmental, Social & Governance issues not addressed (and leading to loss on investments)	 Equity managers review ESG issues as part of investment decision, and report issues and company engagement as part of quarterly reports Membership of LAPFF and appointment of corporate governance advisor providing research on companies invested Our Investment Consultant understands the importance of Responsible Investment in order to support Pension Committee in this work. This has been further embedded in the subsequent Investment Strategy Reviews (July 2020, 2021 and 2023) SRI conference arranged for Members of the Committee (Dec 15) and ESG seminar (May 19) Investor Belief Statement agreed (November 2019) and revisited in October 2022 Officers, committee members and independent adviser proactively challenge managers on ESG issues at the quarterly fund manager meetings. Signatories of the Stewardship Code 	1	2	2		1	2	2	Ongoing	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5	
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%	
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities						
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely							

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
DEMOGRAPHIC RISKS										
21. Deteriorating active membership (due to employer savings programmes)	 Monitoring scheme membership, and the effect on cash flows and Fund maturity, and taking appropriate strategic action. Administration reports received annually by Committee (July 2020) Impact identified by Hymans Robertson modelling as part of triennial 2019 valuation Past service adjustments (secondary contributions) paid as cash amounts instead of a percentage on employer rate (which would decline with declining membership) 	2	3	6	 Further scenario testing through modelling of staff data Impact identified by Hymans Robertson modelling as part of recent triennial valuation in 2022 Impact measure reduced from 4 to 3 on actuary's advice: from a funding perspective, combination of accrued assets plus setting of Primary rates at 2022 valuation mean that any reductions in active membership would only have a gradual effect and would be picked up at future actuarial valuations 	2	3	6	Quarterly	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
23. Longevity risk (pensioners living longer)	 The Fund actuary sets base mortality based on research carried out by Club Vita. The longevity assumptions are a bespoke set of 'VitaCurves' specifically tailored to fit the membership profile of the Fund and this has been reported as part of the triennial valuation (March 2020) Fund actuary sets mortality assumptions with some allowance for future increases in life expectancy Fund actuary monitors combined experience of around 55 LGPS funds to look for early warnings of lower pension amounts ceasing than assumed in funding 2019 valuation included assessment of the impact of mortality experience since 2016 amongst the Fund's membership Administering Authority encourages any employers concerned at costs to promote later retirement culture. Each 1 year rise in the average age at retirement would save roughly 5% of pension costs 	2	5	10	 Continue dialogue with employers Pension Committee to receive a report on mortality triennially Training for members by Club Vita (July 2020) on longevity issues Fund actuary used latest Club Vita analysis during 2022 triennial valuation to determine appropriate longevity assumptions, including allowance for any emerging data on the long- term health impact of Covid- 19 Headline proposals included as part of actuarial valuation assumptions paper, re allowance for Covid-19 etc 	2	5	10	Triennial	PC

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
24. Substantial early retirements	 Employers are charged the extra capital cost (strain cost) of non-ill-health retirements following each individual decision. The cash flow report shows that Strain costs have reduced over recent years. Strain cost factors were revisited following the 2019 valuation to ensure appropriate 	3	1	3	 Strain cost factors to be revisited after each triennial valuation 	3	1	3	Annually	PC
22.Substantial III-health retirements	 Monitoring of each employer's ill- health experience on an ongoing basis. The employer may be charged additional contributions if this exceeds the ill-health assumptions built-in. Employers informed of ill health insurance option at Employer Forums 	1	2	2	 The employer register will monitor this data and variances can be discussed with employers Individual employers can take out ill-health insurance to cover for strain costs. 	1	2	2	Annually	PC
REGULATORY RISKS		1	1	1						
26. Changes to regulations and legislation, (e.g. more favourable benefits package, potential new entrants to scheme, part- time employees)	• Changes due imminently as a result of the McCloud and Goodwin cases: LGPS benefits to be improved (and backdated to 2014), with associated administrative and funding issues	2	5	10	An allowance for the impact of the McCloud case has been made at the 2022 triennial valuation. The impact of the Goodwin case is expected to be minimal.	2	5	10	Ongoing	PC

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
25. National pension scheme changes (e.g. benefits, regulation from The Pensions Regulator, and/or HM Revenue & Customs rules)	 The Fund is alert to the potential creation of additional liabilities and administrative difficulties for employers and itself Any changes to the regulations, scheme design and benefits package should be reported to Pension Committee Scheme changes and benefits are communicated to members Opt outs are monitored as part of the Cash Flow & Administration report The result of the most recent reforms (2014 scheme) are built into each triennial valuation Published new Investment Strategy Statement from 1 April and new regulation issued New 2018 and 2020 regulations permit exiting employers to recover surpluses built up with the fund (previously known as trapped surpluses) and the Fund now has an Exit credit policy (July 2021) 	3	2	6	The most recent national Cost Management results were released recently and confirmed that no changes will be made to the benefit or employee contribution structure of the LGPS	3	2	6	Ongoing	PC / Pensions Manager

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
50. Pool implementation – strategy deferral	 Delay in implementing strategy due to inception of pools, onboarding asset classes and availability of sub-funds. This Fund has demonstrated that this is not an obstacle with the award of the Private Equity mandate and removal of Aberdeen. We also conducted an Investment Strategy Review in 2021. Continued advice from Investment Consultant and Independent investment advisor continued Membership (through the Sectoral Joint Committee), Shareholders' Committee (our Chair also chairs this forum) and officer engagement with London CIV to ensure they adhere to implementation schedule Investment strategy review in 2020 	3	4	12	The CIV are looking at how they might offer a property fund but legacy assets may not be transferable.	2	4	8	Quarterly	Chair/ Officers
27. Forced merger of LGPS funds	 Participation in MHCLG consultations On-going debate with advice from Pensions experts on a national basis 	2	5	10	 Ensure that Camden participate in any future consultation and raise concerns to the appropriate authority All 8 pools have been approved and officers 	2	5	10	TBC	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5	
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%	
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities						
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely							

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	Increased risk of Pool mergers after recent Government announcements				 nationwide are working towards inception Phase III of the Good Governance project will see the SAB consider how statutory guidance can be used to put the LGPS governance framework in place, and what KPIs can be used to measure governance effectiveness. The Fund continues to allocate to CIV funds where the investment objective can be met – i.e. the CIV inflation plus fund - £95m and the Baillie Gifford DGF £95m also. 					
34. Maintaining adequate level of experience at officer level	 Continued staff appraisal and development plan Effective personnel management and succession planning Independent investment advisor has run training sessions for staff new to the Pension Fund area 	2	4	8	 Ensure officers continue to undergo relevant training utilise membership of the Hymans LGPS Online Learning Academy 	2	4	8	Ongoing	Officers
52. Risk of high transition costs of assets in pool	Discussion about Transition management with the London CIV	3	2	6	• For the Multi Asset Credit mandate these transition costs were mitigated by having a phased investment profile.	3	2	6		

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
38. Undetected structural changes in an employer's membership (e.g. large fall in employee members, large number of retirements).	 The Actuary may be instructed to consider revising the Rates and Adjustments certificate to increase an employer's contributions (under Regulation 78) between triennial valuations Deficit contributions are expressed as monetary amounts 	3	2	6	 Considered by Actuary at triennial valuation and also as a result of officer liaison with employers 	3	2	6	Ongoing	Officers
28. Knowledge and Understanding deficiency (Members and officers)	 Ensure training opportunities are shared, attended and reported on (part of the quarterly Business Plan) introductory training for all new members to PC to attend – delivered in June 2022 post municipal elections Set up semi-annual member training for all Pension Committee Ensure officers go on relevant training Make sure independent is involved in training requirements 	2	3	6	 Complete CIPFA Knowledge & Skills framework for Members and officers, to assess any knowledge gaps Under MiFID II Investment managers could take away our opted up status if new members are not adequately trained. A structured programme of training has been put in place for new members and the semi- annual training for members will continue. Introduction of Hymans Robertson LGPS Online Learning Academy facility 	2	3	6	Ongoing	PC / Head of Treasury
30. Employer risk (bankruptcy)	 Seeking a funding guarantee from another scheme employer, or external body, where ever possible Work done as part of the 2019 valuation results in alerting the prospective employer to its 	3	2	6	 Additional forward looking measures put in place in employer register received each November Seek potential security from employers where restrictions 	3	1	3	Annually	PC

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5	
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%	
	1 in 100 rare	1 in 20 unlikely	1 in 10 possible	1 in 5 likely	or liabilities						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 obligations and encouraging it to take independent actuarial advice Vetting prospective employers before admission requiring a bond to protect the scheme from the extra cost of early retirements on redundancy if the employer fails regular bond reviews Annual review of the employer register (March 2020) Dialogue with (potential) employers during the tender process and subsequently to ensure risks are understood and managed, such as at Employers Forum and meetings with employers to ensure they understand their obligations , liabilities and funding position Engage with employers during the triennial valuation (Employer Forum run in October 2019) 				 on contribution affordability and/or higher perceived business risk (see also item 13) Make use of deferred debt agreements agreed as part of the revised Funding Strategy Statement and Exit Credit Policy (July 2021) monitor employer contribution receipts on a more detailed monthly basis to help flag such cases 					
32. Actuarial or investment advice is not sought, or is not heeded, or proves to be deficient in some way	 The Administering Authority maintains close contact with its advisers and takes decisions in public – Part II agenda items are kept to an absolute minimum Advice is delivered via formal meetings involving elected members, and recorded properly 	1	5	5		1	5	5	Ongoing	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 Advice is subject to professional requirements such as peer review Members and officers with suitable skills, knowledge and understanding to discharge their roles 									
29. Forced disinvestment (from active managers and Fund of Fund vehicles)	 DLUHC is not currently considering this possibility On-going debate with advice from Pensions experts on a national basis. 	2	2	4	 Participate in consultations and raise concerns to the appropriate authority 	2	2	4	TBC	PC / Officers
31. Reputational risk from unaddressed ESG (Environmental, Social & Governance) issues	 Membership of LAPFF providing active corporate engagement and championing of ESG issues Corporate Governance advisor, PIRC, provides company research and ensures Camden voting policy executed on shareholdings is best in class Members and officers aware of fiduciary responsibilities, acting in the long-term interest of the Fund and taxpayers become a signatory to the Stewardship code – awarded tier one status 	2	2	4	 engage with Divest Camden and other interested parties on the transition to the low carbon economy The independent advisor led training on the spectrum of capital in preparation for an item at Committee on agreeing an Investor belief statement (November 2019) 	2	2	4	Ongoing	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
33. Employer cessation not identified (due to closing to new entrants)	Employer Register is maintained and reviewed annually by Committee (each November)	2	2	4	 Continued dialogue with employers to ensure risks are understood and managed especially in 2022 as part of the valuation and individual employer results monitor employer contribution receipts on a more detailed monthly basis to help flag such cases 	2	2	4	Ongoing	PC / Pensions Manager
35. Legislative risk - failure to comply with legislation, statutory regulation and formal guidance	 Officers seek guidance and advice from independent sources as appropriate Advisers proactively raise issues and keep Officers aware of relevant issues Officers receive regular training and attend appropriate LGPS events 	1	4	4		1	4	4	Ongoing	Officers
36. Conflict of interests (elected members, officers and advisers)	 Officers/ Committee seeks guidance and advice from independent sources as appropriate Officers and members receive regular training and attend LGPS events, at which conflict issues will be raised as appropriate Members are required to declare conflicts of interest at the start of meetings and at the point in a meeting when a conflict arises 	1	3	3	 The Pensions Regulator's role in the LGPS include governance and conflict issues, and guidance and training will be forthcoming on these topics Requirements and/or best practice may change as a result of the Good Governance initiative in the LGPS 	1	3	3	Ongoing	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 Pension Board have their own conflicts of interest policy Conflicts management plan in place with Hymans 									
37. Mandate burden - number of investment mandates inhibits Committee in its governance of investments	 Performance and relevant information of investment mandates reported to Committee as part of quarterly Performance Report Committee provides clear delegation to Executive Director Corporate Services as and when required Officers and Members meet regularly with investment managers outside of Committee time and feed back minutes of meetings Independent investment advisor conducts separate monitoring meetings with managers as appropriate Investment managers to be brought to Committee or London CIV (where their sub-fund is used) for targeted discussion where appropriate Increased use of CIV sub-funds increases governance oversight and reduces burden on Fund governance 	2	1	2		2	1	2	Ongoing	PC / Officers

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
39. Termination valuation not undertaken – missed opportunity to call in a debt.	Admission Bodies are required to notify the Administering authority of termination and it requires employers with Best Value contractors to inform it of forthcoming changes.	2	1	2	 Regulations permit retrospective cessation valuation monitor employer contribution receipts on a more detailed monthly basis to help flag such cases 	2	1	2	Ongoing	Officers
40. Lack of delegation arrangements	 Detailed scheme of delegation adopted for Council officers, and reviewed annually Decisions to delegate specific activities from Committee to Executive Director Corporate Services agreed and documented at Committee meetings 	1	2	2		1	2	2	Ongoing / As Necessary	Officers / PC
ADMINISTRATION RISKS 44. Adequate level of administration officer knowledge and skills (Pension shared service)	 Effective personnel management and succession planning Induction and knowledge sharing on local issues and requirements for new officers 	2	3	6	 Ensure audit takes place post current restructure Ensure the Hymans LGPS online learning academy is used 	2	3	6	Ongoing	Pensions Manager
42. Changes to scheme members (starters, leavers, retirements etc.) are not processed properly	 Scheme regulations are followed Pensions team are well trained Induction of new pensions administrators 	2	2	4	 Actuary reviews changes in membership as part of each triennial funding valuation and will flag any issues monitor employer contribution receipts & 	2	2	4	Ongoing	Pensions Manager

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
	 Shared Service performance statistics are reviewed regularly by officers 				benefit payments on a more detailed monthly basis to help flag such cases					
46. Data and records are not accurate or accessible during lockdown	 Records have correct supporting documentation Input and output checks are performed Data matching exercises identify discrepancies (National Fraud Initiative) Reliance provided by internal audit The shared service have been fully operational during the COVID 19 pandemic and available to members and employers. 	2	2	4	 Reconciliations are performed between payroll and pensions systems Data quality is of the highest order at the Pension Shared Service to ensure valuation results are as accurate as possible. Actuary reviews and reports as part of formal valuation Additional scrutiny from Government Actuary's Department, DLUHC, Scheme Advisory Board, and the Pension Regulator 	2	2	4	Ongoing	Pensions Manager
47. Employer Contributions not received or recorded properly	 Pensions team dedicates appropriate time and resource to managing contributions Reconciliations are carried out monthly 	2	2	4	Monitor employer contribution receipts on a more detailed monthly basis to help avoid such cases	2	2	4	Monthly	Pensions Manager
41. Added complexity of scheme benefits (following introduction of LGPS 2014 impacting officer time and risk of miscalculation)	 Scheme regulations are followed Pensions team are well trained 	1	3	3	 Audit to be carried out to review compliance with new regulations Impending McCloud retrospective changes will 	1	3	3	Ongoing	Pensions Manager

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
					make administration of the benefits more complex					
45. Systems are not secure and well maintained	 Internal audit of system setup and security Systems administrator well trained Data is backed up daily System is protected from viruses and hacking System is up to date and latest features of the software are used Council clients the software provider and ensures issues are raised and addressed in latest releases 	1	3	3	Monitor employer contribution receipts & benefit payments on a more detailed monthly basis to help independently verify up to date maintenance	1	3	3	Ongoing	Pensions Manager
43. Employers' data inaccurate	Liaison with schools, Supporting People directorate and out-sourced payroll providers to ensure accurate and timely data is received	3	1	3	 Audit exercise planned to review outsourced school payroll data Introduction of i-connect employer module with Pension administration software at Pension Shared Service has improved data accuracy. All employers in the Camden Fund have gone live or engaging with the Shared Service to move to I Connect. High level checks carried out by the Fund actuary as part of the 2022 valuation 	3	1	3	Ongoing	Pensions Manager

Likelihood	1	2	3	4	Impact	1	2	3	4	5
	>1%	>5%	>10%	>20%	% of assets	>2%	>10%	>25%	>50%	>75%
	1 in 100	1 in 20	1 in 10	1 in 5	or liabilities					
	rare	unlikely	possible	likely						

Risk	Current controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Action Plan to Improve and/or additional control measures	Likelihood	Impact	Risk factor	Timescale/ Review Frequency	Responsibi lity
					Monitor employer contribution receipts & benefit payments on a more detailed monthly basis to help flag such cases					
48. Incorrect benefits paid	 Pensions team are well trained Payments have correct supporting documentation Management check performed on benefit payments before processing 	1	2	2	Monitor employer benefit payments on a more detailed monthly basis to help flag such cases	1	2	2	Ongoing	Pensions Manager
49. Audit fail to undertake proper checks	Audit plan work to get reasonable expectation of detecting control weaknesses	2	1	2		2	1	2	Annually	Pensions Manager