## **Appendix 4 - All Survey responses**

																				Conditions (Appendix 5,	
																				pages 91-112):  We have proposed a set of example conditions, which will assist	: Conditions (Appendix 5, pages 91-
						Women's Safety (Chapter 4, pages 29 - 30):	r													applicants to promote the licensing objectives	112):
				Pre-application advice service (Chapter Four, page 27):	Pre-application advice service (Chapter Four, page 27):	The draft Policy highlights measures that licensed	Women's Safety (Chapter 4, pages	Dainh Suibina (Chantas A						Framework Hours (Chapter 5, pages 45-		Enforcement and Monitoring (Chapter		Enforcement and			We have proposed a set of example conditions, which will assist applicants to promote the licensing
		Policy Alignment with		Engagement with local	Engagement with local communities and	premises can adopt. In your opinion are the	The draft Policy highlights measures	Drink Spiking (Chapter 4, page 30)	Drink Spiking (Chapter 4, page 30)	Hate and Intolerance (Chapter 4, page 32)	Hate and Intolerance (Chapter 4,	Hate and Intolerance		Are the proposed	Framework Hours (Chapter 5, pages 45-47):	Fourteen, Pages 76-78) In your opinion is	Enforcement and Monitoring (Chapter Fourteen, Pages 76-78):	Monitoring (Chapter Fourteen, Pages 76-78):		the draft Policy and are	objectives when drafting their application. These can be found in
		Needs:	Policy Alignment with Needs:	communities and Responsible Authorities	Responsible Authorities as part of the pre-application	measures in the draft Policy (e.g. adoption of	that licensed premises can adopt. In your opinion are the measures in			In your opinion does the	page 32)	(Chapter 4, page 32): In	Hate and Intolerance (Chapter 4,	framework hours (e.g. extending terminal	Are the proposed framework hours	Camden's approach to	In your opinion is Camden's	In your opinion are the	Enforcement and Monitoring (Chapter Fourteen, Pages 76-78): In		Appendix 5 of the draft Policy and
	are responding to this	draft Policy balance the	In your opinion does the draft Policy balance the interests of residents,	application advice service	advice service will improve the licensing process. Do you	u Charter) effective in	y the draft Policy (e.g. adoption of the Women's Night Safety Charter)	draft Policy adequately address drink spiking and	outlined in the draft Policy adequately address drink spiking	draft licensing Policy promote inclusivity in	In your opinion does the draft licensing Policy promote inclusivity	draft licensing Policy promote accessibility in	page 32): In your opinion does the draft licensing Policy promote	suitable for balancing	(e.g. extending terminal hours by 30 minutes) suitable for balancing	enforcing licensing conditions as outlined		the draft Policy adequate in promoting	your opinion are the proposed measures in the draft Policy	proposed example conditions helpful? -	In your opinion, are the proposed
postcode?	consultation? - Connectiion to the area	interests of residents, businesses, and visitors?		will improve the licensing process. Do you agree?		ensuring safety for women in Camden?	effective in ensuring safety for women in Camden? - Tell us why	other alcohol-related harms?	and other alcohol-related harms? - Tell us why	Camden's licensed venues?	in Camden's licensed venues? - Tell us why	Camden's licensed venues?	accessibility in Camden's licensed venues? - Tell us why		business interests and community needs? - Tell us why	in the draft licensing policy adequate?	outlined in the draft licensing policy adequate? - Tell us why	the Licensing objectives?	adequate in promoting the Licensing objectives? - Tell us why	needs	example conditions helpful? - Tell us why
NW5 2LE	I'm a resident	Yes	The policy is not a significant enough	Yes		Unsure		Unsure	Will it be effective?	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure	
			change to impact residents whilst being a positive development for																		
N6 6PT	I'm a resident	Yes	businesses and customers.  I would like to see far more about	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure	
			issues that arise for those working in the night-time economy, such as																		
			sufficient breaks, safe travel home etc. In Glasgow the owner of a club has agreed to provide taxis home for																		
NW6 5TA	I visit Camden regularly for shopping and recreation	r Unsure	staff. Shouldn't Camden be insisting on the same?	Unsure		Unsure		Yes		Unsure		Yes		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	Insufficient monitoring	Unsure		Unsure	
	I'm a member of a		It better balances the wishes of the		It better balances the wishes of the local community with	5									It better balances the wishes of the		It better balances the wishes of the		It better balances the wishes of the		It better balances the wishes of the
NW1 8NJ	community group or organisation	Yes	local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes	This is an important new focus.	Yes	local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.	Yes	local community with the needs of trade and the local economy.
					You need to propose stronge	er															
			Crime in the area is spiraling out of		requirements for consultatio including posting notices to	in															
			control and the Council is solely focused on facilitating business and		letterboxes of residents and posting invites to consultation																
			corporate profit at the expense of everyday people and residents. Businesses wishing to extend hours		events. Pre-application engagement is incredibly poor in the area because																
			should contribute much more to local safety and crime prevention.		events and applications are not advertised enough and																
		No (please tell us more	They should also contribute to local health programmes as the noise and	No (please tell us more	people are often unaware of what is happening until it's	f	I don't know enough about this topic. Please consult relevant women's							No (please tell us more	Businesses are not contributing enough to community safety and						
NW1 8AP	I'm a resident	below)	crime are affecting our health.	below)	too late.	Unsure	organisations.	Unsure		Yes		Yes		below)	health.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
			The residents seem to be completely ignored in this. Our mansion block																		
			has 26 flats and around 50 residents. There are an increasing number of																		
			fast food places on the ground floor that have a huge impact on our lives		Residents aren't mentioned here. We are rarely consulte	d			Late night opening creates a situatio												
			with noise, smells & huge piles of rubbish & food waste on the street. These units were designed to be		& major issues, like the KFC opening until 3 am (which is directly below someone's		Do we really need drunks on the		where people are too inebriated to make any meaningful decisions. This just dumps the responsibility on to						What has the community to do with this? Do we have any say or any choice? Would we ever even get to		Residents are not mentioned. We an obviously unimportant & not	e			In my experience this just doesn't happen. Camden seem to have
		No (nlease tell us more	retail, they were never designed to be kitchens etc. and are not suitable		bedroom & makes life unbearable) appear to be	No (please tell us more	street in the middle of the night? Why do they get priority over local	No (please tell us more	an organisation who are there just to make a profit with no social		This does not include the needs of	No (nlease tell us more	Drunken people on the streets are	No (please tell us more	know about before we hear people	No (please tell us more	relevant to the drive for profits. Why		I doubt that these will ever be	s No (please tell us more	neither the workforce nor the intention to do anything to interfere
WC1B 4BA	I'm a resident	below)	for the demands they make	Yes	carried out in secret.	below)	residents?  Nothing done about drug dealers	below)	connection.	below)	residents	below)	needs.	below)	bedrooms?	below)	who get licences?	below)	done to enforce that?	below)	with these venues profits.
	de considerat	No (please tell us more	Nothing ever done about groups of guys on high street selling drugs	Mar.			operating in groups up the high street hassling and intimidating	No (please tell us more	Don't think this is a major issue in th	e No (please tell us more				No (please tell us more		W		W			
Nw34rl NW6 2AS	I'm a resident I'm a resident	Yes	hassling and intimidating	Yes		below) Yes	Community wardens ignore them	Yes	real world,created by council	Yes	things up	Yes	Camden venues are already tolerant	Yes	Plenty of time for drinks without this	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
																			Thousand of leaseholders are		
			Policy does not care about the local residents, and it does not even																abusing the short lets rules and the council does not have the resources to address the problem even when		
			address the current issues, such as people urinating on the streets in				Educate people not to drink until		Another marketing nonense. They										you give them the evidence ready or a plate. There is no chance that they		
			front of everyone or inside our estate. Fake Apple merchandise is				they drop. Most of the people are drinking an excessive amount with		can not arrest the people selling drugs and fake products in daylight										will be able to do anything to enforce and morning the situation.	e	
			sold, and unruliness is vibrant. Police are absent, and the council does not				the blessing of the council when they very well know that there is NO		and they will address this serious issue?						Another 30 minutes for drinking more, taking more drugs and then		they have not enforced anything for years. They have only ONE solicitor.	ı	I have evidence for the above, and I		
		No (please tell us more	know what is happening. Even longer hours will make our lives a worse misery than now and the council is	No (please tell us more	Just marketing mpla mpla	No (please tell us more	police around. Council is deliberately trying to make the area more unsafe and dangerous in order for some to		The council and whoever mkes the decision will be fully responsible for	No (please tell us more	Same as above. Just marketing to show that you are doing something.	No (nlease tell us more		No (please tell us more	abusing local residents. Completely off their faces, people engage in fights, and it is a nightmare for	No (please tell us more	have not paid my services charges since June 2024 and still they do not have a clue. Useless and incapable o	f No (please tell us more	will take legal action regarding it. See more at: https://curnockstreetestate.com/202		
NW1 0HH	I'm a resident	below)	only care about getting more money.		mpla	below)	make more money.	below)	every negative case.	below)	Shame on you	below)	same as above	below)	residents. Camden is extremely dirty, have	below)	running the council	below)	4/08/17/airbnb-breach-of-leases/		nobody cares
															decificent public facilities (no public toilets, unfit underground station		Pubs goers engage in antisocial behavior (shouting near residential				
			Camden is extremely dirt, noisy and plagued by anti-social behaviour. The												with no step free access and constant crowding) and full of anti-social behaviour (plenty of open air drug		areas, littering, urinating in the street) and the pubs do the bare minimum to enforce their duties.				
		No (please tell us more	last thing residents needs is business selling alcohol at even later time at											No (please tell us more	dealing in Camden Market). The last thing we need is business selling		Camden is not monitoring and finning offending establishments				
NW1 0SY	I'm a resident	below)	night	Unsure	I do not understand this and	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	li de la contraction de la con	Unsure	Hard Control	below)	alcohol even later at night.	Unsure	near as much as it is needed.	Unsure		Unsure	
EC1N 75N	I'm a resident	Yes	There needs to be more of a police	Unsure	how this varies from your current policy.	Yes	It is imperative they are followed,	Yes		Unsure	How does this vary from your curren policy?	Unsure	How does this vary from your current policy?	Yes		Unsure	How does this vary from your curren policy?	Unsure	How does this vary from your curren policy?	Unsure	How does this vary from your current policy?
NW3 2bd	I'm a resident	Unsure	presence in streets	Unsure		Unsure	and onto the street /bus stops etc	Unsure		Yes	-	Yes		Yes	Why stop here, if businesses think	Yes		Yes		Unsure	
NW5 3AW	I'm a resident	Yes		Yes		Yes	"Bacticipation and Adams 1846	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	there is money to be made then go for growth.	Yes		Yes		Yes	
							"Participation and Adopting 'Ask for Angela' & WAVE Training: All licensed premises are strongly														
							encouraged to adopt the 'Ask for Angela'														
							scheme and ensure that key staff receive Welfare and Vulnerability														
NW5 2LE	I'm a resident	Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more helow)	Engagement (WAVE) training. " This training should be mandatory, not just encouraged.	Yes		Υρς		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
WC2H 9BD	I'm a premises licence	Yes		Yes		Yes	just circuliageu.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
	holder		Licensing hours already adequate. Increasing alcohol related anti social																		
	holder			1																	
	holder		behaviour in the area which this will clearly make worse					1	1	1	1	1			See above.		1	1	L	Ì	
	holder		behaviour in the area which this will clearly make worse Existing rules allow for later licenses where appropriate																More details needed		
Nw1 8ug		No (please tell us more below)	behaviour in the area which this will clearly make worse Existing rules allow for later licenses where appropriate Inadequate policing currently will	Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory changes	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards		Will exacerbate increasing anti social behaviour in the face of inadequate policing	Yes		Unsure	More details needed  Does the Council have the ability/resources to monitor and enforce effectively?	Unsure	
Nw1 8ug	l'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	behaviour in the area which this will clearly make worse Existing rules allow for later licenses where appropriate Inadequate policing currently will	Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory changes	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards		Need to be compulsory safeguards		Need to be compulsory safeguards		Will exacerbate increasing anti social behaviour in the face of inadequate policing Because Camden is vastly diverse. Hours in Camden town should be	Yes		Unsure	Does the Council have the ability/resources to monitor and	Unsure	
Nw1 8ug		No (please tell us more below)	behaviour in the area which this will clearly make worse Existing rules allow for later licenses where appropriate Inadequate policing currently will	Yes		No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory changes	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards		Need to be compulsory safeguards		Need to be compulsory safeguards		Will exacerbate increasing anti social behaviour in the face of inadequate policing Because Camden is vastly diverse. Hours in Camden town should be very different from hours in a residential area such as Primrose Hill.	Yes		Unsure	Does the Council have the ability/resources to monitor and	Unsure	
Nw1 8ug		No (please tell us more below)	behaviour in the area which this will clearly make worse Existing rules allow for later licenses where appropriate Inadequate policing currently will	Yes	Because the concents are	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory changes	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards		Need to be compulsory safeguards		Need to be compulsory safeguards		Will exacerbate increasing anti social behaviour in the face of inadequate policing Because Camden is vastly diverse. Hours in Camden town should be very different from hours in a residential area such as Primrose Hill The current method which is case-by case is perfect for this. Changing	Yes	Because Camden never actually doe anythine. Occasionally the police wil		Does the Council have the ability/resources to monitor and	Unsure	
Nw1 8ug		below)	behaviour in the area which this will clearly make worse Existing rules allow for later licenses where appropriate Inadequate policing currently will only be exacerbated by these changes	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	Because the concepts are based around box ticking rather than real engagement.	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure	Need to be compulsory changes	No (please tell us more below)	Need to be compulsory safeguards		Need to be compulsory safeguards		Need to be compulsory safeguards	below)	Will exacerbate increasing anti social behaviour in the face of inadequate policing Because Camden is vastly diverse. Hours in Camden town should be wery different from hours in a residential area such as Primore Hill The current method which is case-by case is perfect for this. Changing hours in one broad sweep is a	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	Because Camden never actually doe anything. Occasionally the police wil do so but Camden is essentially a desk bound institution.		Does the Council have the ability/resources to monitor and	Unsure	

NW1 7BU	I'm a personal license holder	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
						Even if pub staff are alert to the risks														
				It's not clear that Camden		of drink spiking and harm to women														
			Residents are likely to face far more	pays much attention to the expressed preferences of		(note the ineffective roll-out of Ask for Angela) there is no clarity on												As above. There will be few		
NW3 4ST	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more helow)	late night noise and disturbance if drinking hours are extended Unsure	those who are affected by their policies	No (please tell us more helow)	increased monitoring and/or policing of late night streets when pubs close.		Puts too much onus on publicans	No (please tell us more helow)	Requires a set of behaviours that are unlikely to be evidenced in practice		As ahove	No (please tell us more below)	It privileges businesses over the need of residents for quiet streets at night	Unsure		No (please tell us more helow)	resources available for monitoring risks and nuisance to residents	Unsure	
													1	Extended hours will diminish our			,			
		No (please tell us more											No (please tell us more	enjoyment of our property by increasing the noise or unsocial						
NW1 8XP	I'm a resident	below)	the enjoyment of our property. Unsure		Unsure	I didn't see any noticeable measures	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		below)	behaviour	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
						to increase women's safety. The										I don't holious it will be properly				
			Speaking for Primrose Hill there is no			pubs and pub staff are not qualified or trained to look out for people										I don't believe it will be properly implemented. The council is				
			reason at all to extend the licensing hours by an additional 30 minutes.			spiking drinks. It's about selling as much alcohol as possible which is										generally very weak on policing anything - aside from parking tickets.		See above. What's put on paper is irrelevant. It's the practice that		
			These are quiet residential streets. As it is the pubs take no	I don't have any faith in the		why they never tell noisy patrons to be quiet in residential areas or stop								Can about Containly and in a binbly		The council is too timid and people iust can't be bothered. Noise		counts and I've never seen any		
		No (please tell us more	responsibility for noisy patrons who No (please tell us n	ore counsel or belief that		serving people when they're	No (please tell us more						No (please tell us more	See above. Certainly not in a highly residential and family orientated	No (please tell us more	complaints are never investigated.	No (please tell us more	evidence the council is willing to monitor and police these things. The		
NW1 8LL	I'm a resident	below)	spill out onto the street at night. below)  Absolutely not. Extending opening	applicants will tell the truth.	Unsure	intoxicated.	below)	See above	Unsure	They're pretty inclusive anyway.	Unsure		below)	area such as Primrose Hill.	below)	It's actually a joke.	below)	opposite in fact.	Unsure	
			hours at night - delaying the closing of pubs - is very antisocial. Local																	
			residents should not have to suffer																	
		No (please tell us more	later disturbance. Especially noisy parties in upstairs rooms with the										No (please tell us more		No (please tell us more	Enforcement can only be 'too little				
NW1 8XP	I'm a resident	below)	windows open. Yes		Unsure	I fail too see how this will work or be	Unsure	I fail too see how this will work or be	Unsure	I fail too see how this will work or be	Unsure	I fail too see how this will work or be	below)	For reasons stated in 6 above	below)	too late'.	Unsure	I fail too see how this will work or be	Unsure	
A1144 015	the analysis	No (please tell us more	if does not protect residents in my No (please tell us m		No (please tell us more	funded, or how officers/police will	No (please tell us more	funded, or how officers/police will		funded, or how officers/police will	No (please tell us more	funded, or how officers/police will		they only seem to benefit businesses	No (please tell us more	I fail too see how this will work or be	No (please tell us more	funded, or how officers/police will		
NW1 8JS I	I'm a resident	below)	locality below)	work or be funded a minimal or superficial way	below)	control this	below)	control this	below)	control this	below)	control this	below)	not residents	below)	funded	below)	control this	Unsure	
				in order to meet this requirement. Their actual																
			The policy extends longer framework hours to the licensed businesses	behaviour once the premises	5															
			which may then operate later at	has opened may not result in effective engagement.	'															
			night and increase the incidence of public nuisance from their customers	Meetings can happen but no actually result in any effectiv												The licensed premises close to my				
			on departure. Residents' sleep is	change or management of										The extension by 20 minutes for		home has frequently broken the conditions of its license. Despite				
			interrupted by noise from loud groups outside licensed premises	issues. If pre-application advice services seek to										The extension by 30 minutes for licensed premises will cause an		frequent evidence being provided to				
			who do not move away and who are not co-operative with requests to	encourage engagement activities, they need to be										increase in their operating costs while not guaranteeing an increase in		the Licensing team at Camden, these conditions are not being enforced				
			move away promptly. The Prevention	followed up and to have real										their revenues. Businesses may in		and the premises continues to		The draft policy does not give detail		
			of Public Nuisance objective is not met by this change to the policy.	consequences if they are not effective. All Residents who										fact lose money as a result of having higher staff costs and running costs		generate noise and nuisance as a result. Residents are expected to		on any new enforcement or monitoring activities that could		
			The licensing objective to protect children from harm is also not met as	are affected by a premises need to be contacted in orde	er									and having to fund transport home for their staff late at night.		report noise and nuisance or to approach the premises themselves,		improve compliance from present levels. Given that the pub nearest to		
			the noise from customers leaving	to create engagement and										Community interests are not served		at personal risk, which does not		me is not compliant with its current		
			licensed premises late at night prevents children from sleeping. My	there are instances where affected people have not										by the increased public nuisance or increased crime and disorder that is		result in effective change. The option to seek a license review appears to		license conditions, leaving its windows open when busy and		
			son has frequently lost sleep when he has school the next day as a result	been included in meetings. For example, only one		I am not aware of the current levels								highly predictable from the increased alcohol consumption that is needed		be the only option available to make progress in this, and this is an		generating public nuisance by blocking the pavement and ignoring		
			of a nearby pub's customers making	resident was at an		of incidents for women in Camden. If	f							to justify the longer opening hours. If		onerous and time consuming option		loud noise from outside customers,		It is helpful to see example
			disruptive noise as they stand shouting outside the pub.	engagement meeting for an applicant, when there are		the measures are to be effective, there needs to be some								customers do not drink more alcohol during the extended hours, then it		for residents. It seems the current monitoring and enforcement process		there is no prospect of this draft policy making any positive change as		conditions that might be applied in a license review. It would be more
NW1 8XL	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)		many tens of houses in the adjoining streets.	Unsure	measurement of the current and future levels of harm to women.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	will not be a profitable exercise for the businesses.	No (please tell us more		No (please tell us more below)	it does not describe any new actions that might create an improvement.	Vos	helpful if such conditions that are already in place were enforced.
													1	Framework hours: I support the draft			,			
														Policy in introducing amended framework hours designed to						
														balance the growth of a diverse evening and night-time economy						
												I support the introduction of a		with the need to mitigate potential						Licence Conditions: I support the
			I support the draft policy in that it	Pre-application advice servic I support the draft policy in	e:			Drink Spiking Prevention: The draft		I support the introduction of a comprehensive set of licensing		comprehensive set of licensing principles that promote accessibility	,	negative impacts on local residents and workers. By fostering a business		Enforcement and Monitoring: I support the proposals for a		Enforcement and Monitoring: I support the proposals for a		proposals to create more up-to-date licensing conditions - something best
			proposes a balanced policy and at the same time facilitates the much	that it aims to be more		Women's safety and drink spiking: I		policies in this area will help to		principles that promote inclusivity		and best practices to support applicants and licensees in		environment that supports innovation and adaptability, the		partnership protocol emphasizing a		partnership protocol emphasizing a		siuited for 2025 and the future.
				transparent more						and host practices to support						coordinated intelligence-led		coordinated intelligence-led		Those conditions serve as a practical
			needed growth of businesses while	transparent, more informative from the get-go,		support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update		educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and	t	and best practices to support applicants and licensees in		promoting the 4 licensing objectives.		revised framework hours aim to		coordinated, intelligence-led approach to managing challenges		coordinated, intelligence-led approach to managing challenges		These conditions serve as a practical framework to guide applicants,
						support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training		educate both staff and patrons abou	t				t t					approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy.		
			needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red		support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This		educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting	t	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the		promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating		framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that
			needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-		support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy)		educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent	t	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the		promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices. These changes will help prevent		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operartors		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operartors		framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on
	I'm a premises licence		needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an		support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,		educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to		promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police		framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each
NW1 OLU	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would	; Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the	Not Answered	educate both staff and patrons about the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promotting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing	Not Answered	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and	Not Answered	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operartors is crucial for a safe and prosperous	Yes	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time conomy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police to the control of th	Yes	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing
	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection	Not Answered	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operartors is crucial for a safe and prosperous	Yes	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time conomy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police to the control of th	Yes	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the
	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	; Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection	Not Answered	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later	Yes	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time conomy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police to the control of th	Yes	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the
NW1 OLU	holder	Yes	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	: Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous inght time economy in Camden in 2025.	Yes	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the
NW1 OLU B	I'm a premises licence holder I'm a resident	Yes	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future. Yes I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous inght time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.
NW1 OLU B	holder	Yes Unsure	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the
NW1 OLU	holder	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (pilease tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Yes Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.
NW1 OLU B	holder	Yes Unsure	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measurems that align with the characteristics of each remises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times
NW1 OLU B	holder	Ves Unsure	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward.
NW1 OLU	holder	Yes Unsure	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises. Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward.
NW1 OLU B	holder	Yes Unsure	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Yes	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local
NW1 OLU B	holder	Yes Unsure	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Yes	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.
NW1 OLU B	holder	Ves Unsure	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Yes Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises. Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5 - any good operator would already
NW1 OLU B	holder	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (pilease tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward, residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local suppermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operator would already being enforcing most of these
NW1 OLU B	holder	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises. Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5 - any good operator would already
NW1 OLU B	holder	Ves Unsure	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good idensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward, residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5 - any good operator would already being enforcing most of these policies. It is beneficial that all premises operate in a safe way.
NW1 OLU B	holder	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre- application process would help uphold all four licensing	Yes	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder,	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to keep drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good iterating conditions will help uphold the literating objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5 - any good operator would already being enforcing most of these policies. It is beneficial that all premises operate in a safe way.  Staffing in Appendix - the only thing I disagree with is that a number shall
NW1 OLU B	holder	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and Ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole injet time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of rime and disorder, and prevention of rime and disorder, and prevention of public nuisance	Not Answered  Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drinks psiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to bee drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward, residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Deliveries petrolice in the procedure in appendix 5 - any good operator would already being enforcing most of these policies. It is beneficial that all premises operate in a safe way.  Staffing in Appendix - the only thing I disagree with is that a number shall be an available on public display. This level the manager venerable for
NW1 OLU B	holder	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A preapplication process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public nuisance	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splaining and implement preventive measures, such as advising outsomers to keep drinks attended and promotting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection		approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operator would already being enforcing most of these policies. It is beneficial that all premises operate in a safe way.  Staffing in Appendix - the only thing I disagree with is that a number shall be an available on public display. This level the manager venerable for online attacks, prank and malicious
NW1 OLU	holder	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A preapplication process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult locresidents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important that we present	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises. Sind the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public nuisance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splaining and implement preventive measures, such as advising outsomers to keep drinks attended and promotting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public unisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  I think that it is important to address this growing issues and acade is in may different ways. There is no full think that it is important to address this growing issues and tackle it in may different seems and the patron and the seems and the seems are the s	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices. These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sife and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working tophether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Staffing in Appendix - the only thing I disagree with is that a number shall premises operate in a safe way.  Staffing in Appendix - the only thing I disagree with is that a number shall be an available on public display. This level the manager reneable for online attack, prank and malicious calls. We must also protect our managers and staff. Maybe an
NW1 SIJ	l'm a resident	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ake for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises. Sind the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public nuisance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the corroct these people at risk at the corroct	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splaining and implement preventive measures, such as advising outsomers to sep-drinks attended and promotting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public misance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  I think that it is important to address this provide of the splaining staff in the sp	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burghs this would be a smart approach to ensuing a better	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle	Yes  No (pilease tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Ves	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of the licensing objectives of the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives of licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep of late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.
NW1 SIJ 1	holder I'm a resident	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing restablishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important that we present ourselves as business and	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ask for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises (and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of rime and disorder, and prevention of rime and disorder, and prevention of public nuisance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drinks psiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising outsomers to sepe drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  I think that it is important to address this growing issues and tackle it imay different ways. There is no full proof solution, but a smarter	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.	Not Answered Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, skiff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a wibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether! Collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle.	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challengs particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Ves Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good Reensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  their licenses regarding CCTV - this should be the same for licensed premises.  Deliveries between dedicated times is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Delicy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operator would already being enforcing most of these policies. It is beneficial that all premises operate in a safe way.  Staffing in Appendix - the only thing I disagree with is that a number shall be an available on public display. This level the menager venerable for online attacks, prank and malicious calls. We must also protect our managers and staff. Maybe an alternative is to show the premises
NW1 SIJ	l'm a resident	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ake for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises. Sind the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public nuisance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the corroct these people at risk at the corroct	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons about the risks of drinks pslinkg and implement preventive measures, such as advising outsomers to seep drinks attended and promotine vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  It think that it is important to address this growing issues and tackle it im any official to all provides the sure of the provides	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a wibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsive local economy while promoting responsive local economy while practices. These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burghs this would be a smart approach to ensuring a better ballance. I'm not a business.	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Ves Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of the licensing objectives of the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives of licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep of late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.
NW1 SU I	l'm a resident I'm a personal license holder	Ves	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ake for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises. Sind the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public nuisance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the corroct these people at risk at the corroct	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink spiking and implement preventive measures, such as advising outsomers to sept drink attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  It think that it is important to address this growing the same staff in the same s	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.	Not Answered  Unsure	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices.  These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burghs this would be a smart approach to ensuring a better ballance.  I'm not a business.  The vast majority of people know what goes on it he area into which	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of the licensing objectives of the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives of licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep of late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.
NW1 SIJ I	l'm a resident		needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ake for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises. Sind the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public nuisance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the safe to protect these people at risk at the corroct the corroct these people at risk at the corroct	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splining and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to be ped drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  I think that it is important to address the prevent of the prevent	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.	Not Answered  Unsure  Yes	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices. These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burghs this would be a smart approach to ensuring a better ballance. I'm not a business. The vast majority of people know	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle	Yes  No (please tell us more below)  Yes	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Unsure Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of the licensing objectives of the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives of licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep of late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.
NW1 SIJ I	I'm a resident I'm a personal license holder		needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ake for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises fand the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public notsance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk are important.	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splaining and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to be ped drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  It think that it is important to address this growing issues, and the safe staff in the province of the safe staff in the safe staf	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.	Not Answered  Unsure  Yes	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices. These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burghs this would be a smart approach to ensuring a better ballance. I'm not a business. The vast majority of people know what goes on in the area into which they move. There should not be too	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle	Yes  No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Unsure	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of the licensing objectives of the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives of licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep of late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.
NW1 SIJ I	I'm a resident I'm a personal license holder		needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ake for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises fand the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public notsance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk are important.	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splaining and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to be ped drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  It think that it is important to address this growing issues, and the safe staff in the province of the safe staff in the safe staf	Not Answered  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.  I believe this does support licensed venues in the approach.  I'm not from a minority.  the increased profile of the late night	Ves Yes	promoting the 4 licensing objectives.  The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.		revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices. These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burghs this would be a smart approach to ensuring a better ballance. I'm not a business. The vast majority of people know what goes on in the area into which they move. There should not be too much balancing needed.	No (please tell us more below)	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle	Yes  No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Unsure	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.
NW1 SIJ I	I'm a resident I'm a personal license holder	Yes	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing stablishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes  I think it fair to review and update all policy when society moves forward and dircumstances change in behaviour of people.  Ves  Unsure	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to understanding the process would be understanding the process of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure Unsure Unsure Unsure Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ake for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises fand the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public noisance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk are important.  I'm not a woman.  there has been disorder in Primrose hill village and the adjoining park - increasingly so since covid - associated with drinking and use of	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splaining and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to be ped drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  It think that it is important to address this growing issues, and the safe staff in the province of the safe staff in the safe staf	Not Answered  Unsure  Yes  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.  I believe this does support licensed venues in the approach.  I'm not from a minority.  the increased profile of the late nigh policy will attract people from elsewhere - without such policies	Unsure Ves	promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.  I believe this does support licensed venues in the approach.	Yes Yes Unsure	revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices. These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burghs this would be a smart approach to ensuring a better ballance. I'm not a business. The vast majority of people know what goes on in the area into which they move. There should not be to much balancing needed.  The vast majority of people know what goes on in the area into which they move. There should not be to much balancing needed.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Unsure	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle  I believe the partnership protocol is a positive move forward for all.	Yes  No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Unsure	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.
NW1 8JJ I	I'm a resident I'm a personal license holder I visit Camden regularly fo		needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing complaints about existing establishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes  I think it fair to review and update all policy when society moves forward and circumstances change in behaviour of people.  Ves  Unsure	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to understanding the process would be understanding the process of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure Unsure Unsure Unsure Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and aks for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises and the whole night time economy) enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public nulsance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk are important.  I'm not a woman.  there has been disorder in Primrose hill village and the adjoining park - increasingly so since covid- associated with drinking and use of the canopy shelters late at right.	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splaining and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to be ped drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  It think that it is important to address this growing issues, and the safe staff in the province of the safe staff in the safe staf	Not Answered  Unsure  Yes  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.  I'm not from a minority.  the increased profile of the late nigh policy will attract people from elsewhere - without such policies locally and reduce the sense of closely and reduce the sense of closely.	Unsure Ves	promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, skiff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.  I believe this does support licensed venues in the approach.	Yes Yes Unsure	revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsive local economy while promoting responsive local economy while promoting responsive local economy and the promoting responsive local economy and the promoting responsive local economy and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burght this would be a smart approach to ensuring a better ballance. I'm not a business.  The vast majority of people know what goes on in the area into which they move. There should not be too much balancing needed.  The responsibility of people know what goes on in the area into which they move. There is already crime and disorder and public nuisance associated with the the Quen pub -	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Unsure  No (please tell us more	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working togeheiner / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle  I believe the partnership protocol is a positive move forward for all.	Yes  No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Unsure	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Unsure Unsure Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.
NW1 8JJ   NW1 8JJ   NW3 28L   SS0 8EU   S	I'm a resident I'm a personal license holder	Yes	needed growth of businesses while encouraging greater community participation, increased confidence in licensing decisions and giving local residents the opportunity to voice their views on licensing decisions that affect them. The draft policy is appropriate for 2025 and in to the future.  I am for the proposal in principle but the lack of attention to existing complaints about existing stablishments makes me concerned that the licensing team will be even more overloaded and even less responsive to residents.  Yes  I think it fair to review and update all policy when society moves forward and dircumstances change in behaviour of people.  Ves  Unsure	informative from the get-go, and would reduce unnecessary misunderstandings and red tape toward the end of an application process. A pre-application process would help uphold all four licensing objectives.  It is important to consult loc residents on the impacts of the late night economy, it all important to understanding the process would be understanding the process of the late night economy, it all important to work together to grow the work together to grow the	Unsure Unsure Unsure Unsure Unsure	support the draft policy changes because they modernise and update everyone's outlook on women's safety, including the WAVE training and ake for Angela initiatives. This would help licensed premises fand the whole night time economy enhance public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of crime and disorder, and prevention of public noisance.  Yes, I believe the not just women, but more venerable people are susceptible to being taken advantage of when they are using licensed premises, what measures we take to protect these people at risk are important.  I'm not a woman.  there has been disorder in Primrose hill village and the adjoining park - increasingly so since covid - associated with drinking and use of	Unsure	educate both staff and patrons abou the risks of drink splaining and implement preventive measures, such as advising customers to be ped drinks attended and promoting vigilance among staff. For sure, these changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  It think that it is important to address this growing issues, and the safe staff in the province of the safe staff in the safe staf	Not Answered  Unsure  Yes  Unsure	applicants and licensees in promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.  I believe this does support licensed venues in the approach.  I'm not from a minority.  the increased profile of the late nigh policy will attract people from elsewhere - without such policies	Unsure Ves	promoting the 4 licensing objectives. The guidelines and principles set out in the proposals, emphasize the importance of proactive measures to safeguard patrons, staff, and the surrounding community - allowing every premises to uphold the 4 licensing objectives.  I believe this does support licensed venues in the approach.	Yes Yes Unsure	revised framework hours aim to contribute to a vibrant and responsive local economy while promoting responsible licensing practices. These changes will help prevent crime, disorder, public nuisance, and enhance public safety and protection of children from harm.  Yes, I believe that with the bordering burghs this would be a smart approach to ensuring a better ballance. I'm not a business. The vast majority of people know what goes on in the area into which they move. There should not be to much balancing needed.  The vast majority of people know what goes on in the area into which they move. There should not be to much balancing needed.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Unsure  No (please tell us more	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a safe and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  This is not currently working, later hours are not a solution to the problem. Having said that I am for later hours in principle  I believe the partnership protocol is a positive move forward for all.	Yes  No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Unsure	approach to managing challenges particularly associated with the evening and night-time economy. Working toghether / collaborating between the local authority, police and night time economy operators is crucial for a sale and prosperous night time economy in Camden in 2025.  See above	Unsure Unsure Unsure	framework to guide applicants, residents, and Responsible Authorities in proposing and assessing appropriate measures that align with the characteristics of each premises and its potential impacts on the community. Good licensing conditions will help uphold the licensing objectives.  The community of the community of the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The community of the licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives.  The licensing objectives of licensing objectives are all the licensing objectives. It is also a smart move forward. residents should not have their sleep deprived because of early morning or late deliveries. This should also reflect all deliveries for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.  Policy and Procedures in Appendix 5-any good operative for local supermarkets.

WC1N 1AB I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	I am seriously concerned about the proposal to allow licensed premises to sell alcohol and continue trading beyond the existing limits within the bounds of the Marchmont Street Neighbourhood Shopping Centre (Planning designation) because this would be detrimental to the peace and quality of life of residents, with residential properties outnumbering commercial outlets by 4/8 to 1, making it primarily a residential street. Licensed premises (2 pubs and several restaurants) have co existed in this street for many years by largely limiting their licensed hours to 11pm (closing by 11.30 or 12 midnight at the latest in the case of one public house only. Yes	It will help applicants to understand the concerns of residents and avoid later conflicts.	Unsure Seems to make sense.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Not in primarily residential streets - see earlier remarks, above.	Yes	It remains to be seen whether the Council will have the resources to provide these services.	Unsure	Only time (and resources) will tell.	Yes	But applicants need to know that they can't sell alcohol and continue trading beyond existing hours in predominantly residential streets.
	No (please tell us more		Good idea but will there be adequate enforcement? Who will be favoured, residents or		t			Drunks are much more likely to hurl abuse at minorities. Licensing needs to tackle the problem of drunks on			In my area multiple clubs are applying for licenses up to 2 am, and this is being considered, when I feel	No (please tell us more	I have personal experience of this failing to work. My whole street complained on a weekly basis about our local nightclub and at best we got an email 3 days later. 30 months of complains failed to provoke enforcement or even a licence review. It was only when we hired a barrister that things started to	No (please tell us more	I am pretty sure this will not work effectively as not enough people are		How will this be monitored. What will happen if the premises fail to comply with their licence? You can no longer rely on the police
W1T 2BH I'm a resident  NW3 3AH I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	problems effectively. Yes Why? At the meeting in Primrose Hill on 11th Feb councillor Cooper said, "I think businesses in King's Cross will avail themselves of the extra half hour." I have seen no data that explains why the change is being suggested. Nor any research of the pros and cons. Will it help business? Will it impact local residents and families detrimentally? We were told that you had looked at seven other boroughs. What did you learn? The only thing you said was that in Clapham High Street most businesses didn't take up the extra half hour. All I can find by way of justification is "5.5. In considering suitable times for framework hours, we have had regard to concerns expressed by residents, the licensed trade and the police. We acknowledge that different risks are associated with selling alcohol for consumption on and off the premises or where alcohol is not provided and the premises only provide regulated  Yes	licensees?	below) on the street.  Yes	Yes		Not Answered  Yes	the street more effectively.	Ves	No (please tell us more below)	this should be automatically refused.  11th Feb councillor Cooper said, "1 think businesses in King's Cross will avail themselves of the extra half hour." I have seen no data that explains why the change is being suggested. Nor any research of the pros and cons. Will it help business's will it impact local residents and families detrimentally? We were told that you had looked at seven other boroughs. What did you learn? The only thing you said was that in Clapham High Street most businesse didn't take up the extra half hour. All I can find by way of justification is "5.5. In considering suitable times for framework hours, we have had regard to concerns expressed by residents, the licensed trade and the police. We acknowledge that different risks are associated with selling alcohol for consumption on and off the premises or where alcohol is not provided and the premises only provide regulated entertainment." No risk assessment? Camden's licensed premises are currently running successful businesses within the current framework hours. Canden Council already doesn't have enough resources to properly enforce license		This relies too much on the informal compliance of pubs etc. Will you employ more licensing officers to cover the change in framework hours? How often will you do unannounced wish; I know of too many stories of residents going out, in their pyjamas, to plead with pubs to follow the rules.	No (please tell us more below)	you have done no work on assessing the impact of the change in framework hours.	Unsure	These look promising. But how will you enforce them?
NW5 3DU I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	that serve alcohol. There are many other types of businesses in Camden that would benefit from regulatory support.  Not Answe	ered	No (please tell us more below)  Are you actually giving the police more money to protect women?	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)	breaches and the Police do not have enough resources to limit the impact of late-night-drinking fallout on the community.		Are you giving the Council more money to enforce conditions? They already don't have enough resources			Not Answered	
Nw5 3dl I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	No (please below)	e tell us more	No (please tell us more below)	No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	No (please tell us more below)	Too noisy in residential areas, no need for later licensing!		Licensing at certain venues (eg MAP cafe) is never followed - often it is noisy and disruptive until midnight despite having a license til only 8pm	No (please tell us more below)	Unclear what penalties will be involved for breaches of licenses	Unsure	
NW63NH I'm a resident	Yes	The prolongation of the opening hours is definitely a stwp in the right direction, but given the dire state of the nightlife scene in London, one would expect a more radical increase, together with further help for the sector. Nevertheless, still helpful Unsure	Will this lead to shorter licensing process, or just add a hurdle?	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		It is a step in the right direction, but very timid	Unsure		Unsure		Yes	
NWS 30U I'm a resident	No. Access	Not Answer		Not Answered	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Camden already does not adequately enforce existing license conditions. There are many venues that cause public nuisance at night and camden doesn't have the resources to make sure venues are sticking to their license. The existing framework hours are perfectly adequate. Any venue can apply for a later license and it is likely to be approved if appropriate. There are many venues were a longer license would not be acceptable, such as pubs who are not on a high street, but instead, embedded within residential streets. There is already a falling birthrate in Camden, Policies like this clearly favour late-night / alcoholism over community and family in the borough, it also favours businesses over residents.	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered	
NW3 1QY I'm a resident	Not Answered No (please tell us more below)		e tell us more	Not Answered  Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)		Not Answered Not Answered		Not Answered  Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)		Unsure	
NW3 4NU I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	As a resident I cannot imagine that the consequences of late night business hours will be beneficial in any way below)	In my experience the council does not make sure premises stick to their licensing and th council does not respond to residents when there are complaints. I don't believe licensing with or without engagement of local communities will lead to a better licensing process		No (please tell us more below)	If people drink till 2am - they will drink more. And will be noisy later I cannot see how this can be addressed unless they serve non alcoholic beverages	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure			From what I have experienced Camden does not monitor or enforce licensing adequately			Unsure	
I visit Camden regularly E2 8ER shopping and recreation	for No (please tell us more below)	Not enough infrastructure to support Yes		Not Answered	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered	No (please tell us more below)	Not enough infrastructure to support	Not Answered		Not Answered	1	Not Answered	
NWS 2AJ   I'm a resident		You are extending licensing hours across the board and Camden has become a deeply unpleasant place to live. I think this encourages even more people to drink late at night and will likely encourage more overcrowded streets, drunkenness and antisocial behaviour that will affect the residents of the area whose needs have not been thought of at all.  Yes	As long as you really listen to people who actually live here and not ignore their opinions	No (please tell us more licensing hours will improve safety	i Unsure	How on earth are you going to ensure safety when the venues are mobbed	Unsure	Please don't be stupid. You know what people are like when they are drunk.	Unsure	No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	How many Police will that need?	No (please tell us more below)		Unsure	l doubt it

				1						I	1				I			1	
1			No with this Policy you extend the hours of drinking, that should be												Var. have a series maritared almost				
			reduced. You do not address enough public nuisance. The pedestrian passsage in front of many pubs is												You have never monitored almost anything. In some premises public nuisance has been ordinary part of				
			permanent used for drinking and eating and not anymore safe for the												their business for ages and I do not think this plan is improving the				
			public (individuals, family, kids). Many venue including the												situation. I would like to see some police walking around in the night				
			Roundhouse use the foothall for hundreds meter causing permanent public nuisance for hours. You call										it is bringing only more noise to the residents and makes our roads more		and have a clear point of contact to collect complains from residents. Please do an app where upload				
NW3 2DR	t I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	this a managed queue, I call public		Unsure	Unsure		Unsure	most of the	Unsure		No (please tell us more helow)	dangerous with a an extended work time for crime too.	No (please tell us more helow)		Unsure		Yes	
, was a second	marcaden	JCIOW)	industrict.		Official	Ondie		Olizare	industrial control	Olisare		belowy	When will the commercial waste be collected. Will these times also be	ocion)	Transact.	Olisare		103	
													extended. Already residents are disturbed by heavily unregulated						
													collection times from pubs, restaurants and bars.						
													Drunk and drug fuelled people						
			I feel the policy is heavily weighed in										loitering and gathering in the area, is already an issue. Making closing times later will surely only push						
			favour of visitors / businesses rather than residents.										residents disturbance further into the early hours.						
			Noise, rubbish, anti-social behaviour		I cannot see how women safety will be impacted	a positive							Add to that pedicabs and their loud						
WAT ARE	Um a sasidant	No (please tell us more	in Fitzrovia is already under-managed so there is zero chance these changes		Way. There are still din No (please tell us more zero police presence at	ght and an		Henre		Harrisa		No (please tell us more	music bombing round Fitzrovia I cannot see any positives to extending	llanus.		No (please tell us more	e	Harris	
WII 4KE	I'm a resident	DEIOW)	will have a positive impact. Unsure and enforce current licensing rules around pubs located in	these consultations. The Streateries is a prime	below) undertone of predator	enaviour. Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		belowj	terminal hours.	Unsure		belowj		Unsure	
			predominantly residential areas such as Primrose Hill. The Queens on	example. Overwhelmingly local residents didn't want															
			Regents Park Road is a particular problem because of its proximity to	these and yet Camden went ahead. We have repeatedly									In residential areas like Primrose Hill it is unreasonable to expect residents						
			the park and the way it attracts huge numbers especially at weekends and	complained about the streateries in Erskine Road									to tolerate further extending pub hours. Many of the worse problems						
			in the summer.	and yet they are still there. The one outside Manna is									occur at closing time and this policy pushes the closing time into later in						
			For example it does not enforce the policy of moving drinkers inside at a certain time and, indeed it has no	supposed to be being removed but it's still there. The one outside Reenies is									the night which means residents are more likely to have their sleep interrupted, especially at weekends.						
			personnel or trained security to even attempt to implement this - contrary	unused for 6 months of the year as the business is closed					Camden has misnamed the Equality				This is unreasonable. Once your sleep is interrupted, the damage is						
			to Camden's stated policy in this consultation for "enhanced security	for 6 months. And yet Camden completely ignores					Act 2010 (It is NOT the "Equalities Act") in the draft policy (paragraph				done. Ringing the Council and asking the out-of-hours service to deal with						
			measures". Camden has taken no action on this.	these points.					4.30). This does not give me confidence that Camden is familiar with the Equality Act nor that a				anti-social behaviour is too late.				The policy obviously prioritises businesses over residents. Further, because of the additional		
			The proposed policy will allow some premises to extend their licensing	So I have no confidence that any consultation process will result in any concerns of	The policy needs to be Camden is standing up				with the Equality Act nor that a lawyer has reviewed the documents to ensure it complies with relevant				The police, the Council and the Royal Parks have struggled to deal with ant social behaviour around the park in		Current enforcement doesn't work so extending hours with no evidence of		because of the additional enforcement requirement this policy will be a drain on Camden's budget.		
			hours which means even more enforcement officers and resources	residents being taken into account.	safety, women's rights that sex matters. This r	d assert			laws. It also misstates one of the protected characteristics.				the evening. Extending drinking times and the sale of alcohol in the		substantial additional budget will make enforcement even weaker.		As a council taxpayer, I want my taxes spent on addressing the severe		
			will be needed. Camden has not provided any evidence of an increase	Further the process is flawed.	ensuring that all license provide single sex toile	premises n line with			The policy seems confused as to		There seems to be little in the policy beyond saying that premises should		vicinity of the park, especially at the Queens is absurd, given this known		Longer drinking hours will allow		housing and eduction pressures that exist. Please don't introduce policies		
NW3 3AH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	in budget to facilitate this. Even so, I do not agree that extra funds for this below)	Camden makes policies, then consults, then implements the		the Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	whether Camden is promoting Equality or Equity.	No (please tell us more below)	be "accessible". That is weak. What do you want them to do?	below)	policy will only exacerbate.	No (please tell us more below)	troublemakers to get even more drunk and unruly. It's mad.	No (please tell us more below)	e that take funding away from these vital issues.	Unsure	
NW1 7SU	I'm a resident	Unsure	Yes All these regs. are irrelevant as long		Unsure	Unsure		Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	This is a residential area. No necessity to extend hours	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
			as they are not enforced.  My concern is, like most of us										Extending hours absolutely NOT suitable in this quiet residential area,						
			residents of Primrose Hill, with disturbance out of hours, especially	See above. 'Engagement' is only useful if you take real									Primrose Hill.						
NW1 8JT	I'm a resident	below)	at night: noise, rubbish, pavement blocking etc. Unsure	notice of what we, residents, say.	Yes As far as I can tell	Unsure	Ignorant on this topic	Unsure	Ignorant on this topic	Unsure	Ignorant on this topic	below)	Things may be totally different in south Camden.	Unsure	Everything written is fine. Again, all depends on enforcement.	Unsure		Unsure	Haven't got time to read them
NW1 8EU	I'm a resident	Unsure	We are in a residential area where		Unsure	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
			we have experienced a significant increase in ASB and disruption in																
															It is very clear from engaging with				
			recent years. The pubs are all on residential streets, surrounded by												It is very clear, from engaging with the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their				
			recent years. The pubs are all on residential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and												the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents'				
			recent years. The pubs are all on residential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus												the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here				
1			recent years. The pubs are all on residential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus well bleing and health. The increase in Framework Hours,												the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will				
			recent years. The pubs are all on residential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbeing and health. The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to to take it less onerous for pubs to										No. These changes seem to be		the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been woken up, do really want to have to				
			recent years. The pubs are all on residential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbeing and health. The increase in Framework Hours, and the mexasge it sends out, serves										No. These changes seem to be designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the		the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring her falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedlous and will prove stresslut. If you have been woken up, do really want to have to disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation,				
			recent years. The pubs are all on recidental streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work [6 - 7am] and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health. The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers eating noisely at midright on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact.										designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children		the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stresslit. If you have been woken up, do really want to have to disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seem to buckle under pressure from				
			recent years. The pubs are all on recidental streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work [6 - 7am] and so late night noise would land does! impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health. The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas.	If they actually invite residents to a meetine with									designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at		the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been woken up, do really want to have to disture yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seem to busicesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council interests of residents, as the Council interests of residents, as the Council				
			recent years. The pubs are all on recidental streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbeing and health. The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertry of the Camben licensing team,	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the									designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit		the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been woken up, do really want to have to distur'b youself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seem businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be.				
			recent years. The pubs are all on recidental streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would fand does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbeing and health.  The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers eating noisely at midright on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincerity of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made,									designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have.		the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been woken up, do really want to have to disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seem to buckle under pressure from businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might abb be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement.				
NW1 8XH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidental streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would fand does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbeing and health. The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers eating noisely at midingth on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincerity of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing objectives.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend,	Yes	Yes		Unsure		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 93.0, or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit after midmight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been woken up, do really want to have to disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seem to buckle under pressure from businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council		e See earlier comments.	Unsure	
NW1 8XH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidental streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, servest to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincerity of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents	Yes	Yes		Unsure		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, feel and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 3.0 or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally the proposed changes are totally	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	
NW1 8XH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers eating noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincerity of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing blectives.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents	Yes	Yes		Unsure		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, feel and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 3.0 or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally the proposed changes are totally	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	
NW1 8XH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincerity of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unnies and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing objectives.  Per-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicants' schoice.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents	Yes	Yes		Unsure		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, feel and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 3.0 or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally the proposed changes are totally	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	
NW1 8XH	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While wed not doubt the sincerity of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worrs, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing objectives.  Yes Per-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  WAVE training is a massive help for all parties.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.	Yes	Yes				Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, feel and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 3.0 or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally the proposed changes are totally	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	
NW1 8XH		No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The incresse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for predominantly residential areas. While wed not doubt the sincerity of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worrs, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing objectives.  Removal of CZ are very positive. Less red tape and more flexibility for the MTE.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  WAVE training is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for	Yes  We are in the NTE and more focused on train!	of staff and	We are in the NTE and become muner focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fied and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 3-30, or later, the impact of regular rowdines at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally inappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	Because it allows NTE to invest with re-assurance that your know what
NW1 8XH	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighbours need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increase in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camden licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing goljectives.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Week Tramework hours are a	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.	Yes  We are in the NTE and more focused on train!	of staff and re now a		h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/school at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiness at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most resident that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally inappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	Because it allows NTE to invest with re-assurance that your know what basis you can plan for .
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that pre-	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue.	of staff and re now a	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	re-assurance that your know what
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that pre-application engagement would improve the licensing	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue.	of staff and re now a	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	re-assurance that your know what
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Camden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that pre-application engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application engagement progess. Pre-application engagement engagement can be important.	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue regular topic for us to f	of staff and re now a	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	re-assurance that your know what
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Canden licensing team and local Counciliors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that preapplication engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue regular topic for us to f	of staff and re now a	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	re-assurance that your know what
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Canden licensing team and local Counciliors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that preapplication engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application engagement can be important to ensure that businesses, local communities and responsible authorities are all aligned regarding licence applications. Whilst it is	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue regular topic for us to f	of staff and re now a	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	re-assurance that your know what
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Canden licensing team and local Counciliors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that pre-application engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application engagement can be important to ensure that businesses, local communities and responsible authorities are all aligned regarding licence applications. Whilst it is important that local views are heard and in many cases	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue regular topic for us to f	of staff and re now a	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	re-assurance that your know what basis you can plan for .
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Canden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that pre-application engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application engagement to ensure that businesses, local communities and aligned regarding licence applications. Whilst it is important that local views are heard and in many cases these constructive views benefit the licensing process.	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue regular topic for us so f	of staff and n now a yes yes	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Ves			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	re-assurance that your know what basis you can plan for .  Licence conditions should always take a proportionate and balanced
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Canden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that pre-application engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application engagement can be important to ensure that businesses, local communities and responsible authorities are all aligned regarding licence applications. Whilst it is important that local views are heard and in many cases these constructive views benefit the licensing process, we would call on all local authorities to operate in a process, we would call on all local authorities to operate in a process, we would call on all local authorities to operate in a process.	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue: Yes regular topic for us to f	of staff and now a so on . Yes  upports the sitter and	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Unsure			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Unsure	re-assurance that your know what basis you can plan for .  Licence conditions should always take a proportionate and balanced approach, rather than seeking to overly restrict business operations.
	l'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Canden licensing team and local Counciliors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that pre-application engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application engagement can be important to ensure that businesses, local communities and responsible authorities are all aligned regarding licence applications. Whilst it is important that local views are heard and in many cases these constructive views benefit the licensing process. Pre-applications whilst it is important that local views are heard and in many cases these constructive views benefit the licensing process, we would call on all local authorities to operate in a progrowth manner, recognising the wider economic and societal benefits that licenced societal benefits tha	We are in the NTE and more focused on traini awareness of the issue regular topic for us to the second of the interval of the second of the se	of staff and re now a yes on . Yes Yes	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Ves			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Ves	re-assurance that your know what basis you can plan for .  Licence conditions should always take a proportionate and balanced approach, rather than seeking to overly restrict business operations. As a general point, we do not support blanket conditions, and any conditions should be as a result of
	I'm a premises licence holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered	recent years. The pubs are all on recidential streets, surrounded by houses, where many of the pubs' direct neighboxis need to wake early to go to school or work (6 - 7am) and so late night noise would (and does) impact residents' sleep and thus wellbleing and health.  The increse in Framework Hours, and the message it sends out, serves to make it less onerous for pubs to open later. Customers exiting noisely at midnight on weekdays clearly risks regular disruption to people's sleep. While there may be parts of the borough where this may not impact, these are not reasonable changes for precominantly residential areas. While we do not doubt the sincertly of the Camben licensing team, making a change in policy which can only make a disruption problem worse, seems unwise and does not respect the spirit of the four core licensing gobjectives.  Perappolication advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Pre-application advice has worked with planning so trying the same with Licensing can only be positive and it is the applicant's choice.  Web Transing is a massive help for all parties.  New framework hours are a significant encouragement to NTE to invest it.	residents to a meeting, with adequate warning, and the Canden licensing team and local Councillors attend, before any changes are made, that enables residents concerns to be heard.  I have used similar sort of service in Planning and for right application is works very well.  UKHospitality agrees that pre-application engagement would improve the licensing process. Pre-application engagement can be important to ensure that businesses, local communities and responsible authorities are all aligned regarding licence applications. Whilst it is important that local views are heard and in many cases these constructive views benefit the licensing process, we would call on all local authorities to operate in a progrowth manner, recognising the wider economic and	We are in the NTE and more focused on trainit awareness of the issue: Yes regular topic for us to for the insue of the ins	of staff and renow a yes on . Yes yes on . Yes yes on . Yes yes yes on . Yes yes on . Yes yes on . Yes y	more focused on training of staff an awareness of the issues are now a	h		Ves			designed by people who may not fully understand that many in the community have an early start for work and have to get children dressed, fed and off to school on time. If one can turn up at work/shool at 9.30, or later, the impact of regular rowdiess at a bit after midnight may not impact one's amenity, but for most residents that is simply not an option they have. The proposed changes are totally imappropriate for a residential area.	No (please tell us more	the Camden Licensing team at a recent public meeting, that their intentions are good and they are concerned about residents' wellbeing. However, the burden of data collection and monitoring here falls principally on impacted residents, which is tedious and will prove stressful. If you have been when the proper of the state of disturb yourself further by going out to collect evidence. In other areas of its operation, Camden Council does often seen businesses, even if against the interests of residents, as the Council is terrified of incurring the cost of protracted legal battles. It would be concern of mine that this might also be a silent factor in Licensing decisions and enforcement. Finally, adequate Camden Council	No (please tell us mon		Ves Not Answered	re-assurance that your know what basis you can plan for .  Licence conditions should always take a proportionate and balanced approach, rather than seeking to overly restrict business operations. As a general point, we do not support blanket conditions, and any

I'm a premises licence																
Nw18nl holder Yes NW1 9SU I work in Camden Yes	Yes		Yes Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes Yes
Residents are policy seeks t of later night the cumulabil	last in the line. The o support the extension time activities, remove the impact zones which	One would hope (although I remain sceptical) that the councils officers would seek to apply conditions that the	There will be no more resource provided, no more police and r checking that premises are	-one	busy pubs and clubs are focussed on			ies .		ies		163		ies		They are not well written and need to be made easier to those unfamiliar with them to access the right ones. A
include robus	need for applicants to to conditions to mitigate er public nuisance dents.	residents feel necessary to mitigate potential issues and so avoid hearings that cost everyone time and money.	implementing all aspects of the charter. Noting that there are r inebriated people around in th night economy.	any	serving drinks to many people who are inebriated already - won't notice spiking being done and or assumes the spiked person is drunk.	Unsure	having a policy does not educate people	No (please tell us more below)	will be up to premises management to try to control behaviour in their premises - won't be enough police or council officers to enforce the policy		impact will be negative to the community as it reduces the need to mitigate the impact of the licenced premises.	No (please tell us more below)	not enough resources and process is too long and favours the licensee. IE they get too many opportunities and residents are the ones who suffer. There are problems with, (usually		not enough resources will be provided	review of them should be carried out by all interested parties eg, council, business, residents, police, Unsure environmental heath etc.
											See above. The existing hours should		males), people drinking outside my workshop, on the street, down Billy Fury way too etc regularly but Camden NEVER do anything about this even though they have been			
licensing hou No (please tell us more anti social rea	ita good idea to extend rs due to health and ssons - noise, violence	But consultation is rarely listened to in my experience			Extending hours and thereby possible consumption will only add					No (please tell us more	be plenty and adequate unless we want to encourage over consumption and health problemms and add to	No (please tell us more				
nw6 2hl I'm a resident below) etc	Yes	and opinion	Unsure	Unsure	to the risk and increase frequency	Unsure		Unsure		below)	the obesity and NHS crisis Though I understand the need to balance offering premises licence	below)	addressed	Not Answered	Probably not, see above.	Unsure
I'm a premises licence WIT IQL holder Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure	holders extended hours by 30 minutes in order to accommodate both the needs of the licence holders and the needs of the licence holders and the needs of the greater community, I believe there would be great opportunity in extending terminal hours by one full hour in order to support nightlife-oriented businesses.	Yes		Yes		Yes
		As serious operators we very much want to work with our														
		locals to ensure we can meet their needs while also being														
I'm a premises licence WC2H 9DA holder Yes	Yes	given the space to run a successful business.	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes
The policy su	pports night time										This is a balanced proposal bringing framework hours more inline with					
economy bus	sinesses while safe environment for										demand from customers while considering local residents and safety					
Nw1 7hj Other Yes visitors and re			Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	issues.  Business already operate within a	Yes		Yes		Yes
l visit Camden regularly for No (please tell us more										No (place tall us more	sufficient hours framework, the local area is sufficiently lively and vibrant					
E2 8ER shopping and recreation below)	Not Answered		Not Answered	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		below)	currently.	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered
											Pubs/bars are already very lively in Camden and i have a great time in					
I visit Camden regularly for No (please tell us more										No (please tell us more	them. I don't see why they need to be open any later - it will only harm					
E10 7AD shopping and recreation below)	Not Answered		Not Answered	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		below)	residents days of the week is curiously	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered
proposed pol	cts I believe the icy balances the fected parties,	Long experience suggests that licence change proposals (of any kind) are insufficiently communicated to those who may be impacted. Advertising in local newspapers that few read, putting notices on lampposts which are pulled down, or remain in in place long after closure of consultation periods, are examples of inadequate communication. Applicants rely on the fact that the public do not see read or resonnot	We should be promoting safety people regardless of gender. It problem or safety tyoung or a safety tyoung or requires societal changes in attitutes education, busiler care	en)			The few practical steps that licenseers can do (such as banning unaccretable comedia)		Other than a policy statement of working towards compliance with the		outmoded in a borough which can be proud of its multi-ethnicity where Fridays, Saturdays and Sundays are special for some and where many people work on Saturdays and Sundays and entitled an undisturbed sleep period and the opportunity for leisure and entertainment in non-standard hours.  Secondly blanket Framework Hours across such a diverse Borough smacks of lazy governance for administrative ease. No single solution can suit all parts of the Borough. Some areas are primarily esdiction, whilst little residential occupation, whilst other areas are primarily residential. In an ideal world every premises licence should be allotted hours on		Council officers have explained their enforcement and monitoring procedures which are well intended and aimed to be fair but reliance to these systems and procedures in all reases would be no cumbersome.		In disputes between applicants and local residents complaining about the consequences of extending Framework Hours there is likely to be an imbalance between experienced, professional representatives of the confessional representative of the confessional r	
however, by a	a blanket extension of	do not see, read or respond to these notices. The net	attitudes, education, knife carry reduction - as well as policy		Fully supportive - as the proposal		unacceptable comedians) are welcomed but again this is all part of		Equality Act I can see no specific		their merits in their particular context. In practice, and not starting		cases would be too cumbersome and slow when problems can be	1	professional representatives of licensees and ordinary residents who	
No (please tell us more practicalities		effect is that the process is ineffective even if well	pronouncements. In practice po house management putting up		referred to practical safeguards rather than just policy		wider societal attitudes and education, rather than about policy			No (please tell us more					do not have the experience, time or skills to make a coordinated	
NW1 8XH         I'm a resident         below)         improvement           NW3 2BL         I'm a resident         Yes	s are undermined. below) Yes	intended.	Yes notices will not change behavior Yes	r. Yes Yes	pronouncements.	Yes	pronouncements.	below) Yes	promote accessibility.	below) Yes	should be restricted to	below) Yes	residential areas of Camden.	below) Yes	representation of local views.	Yes Yes
											The extension of opening hours increases the hours of alcohol consumption, which increases the likelihood of inebriation, which creates noisy and disruptive behaviour that affects the entire neighbourhood. Extending the opening hours to midnight on weekdays (Mon-Thurs), 12:30 on weekends (Fri-Sat), and 11:30 on Sundays makes the residents' lives hell. Those of us who attend school					
No (please tell us more W1T 2AT I'm a resident below)	Not Answered		Not Answered	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		No (please tell us more	or work normal office hours need our	Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered
I'm a premises licence	Vee		Voc	Voc	1	Vor		Vor		Vor	Jacop.	Vor		Voc		Voc
Nw1 8QP holder Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	+	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes
I visit Camden regularly for E17 4IZ shopping and recreation Unsure	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	The licensed premises are running successful businesses in framework hours. The council and police don't have the resources to enforce license breaches and late night drinking I live on a residential street and there	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure
Mo. I live in a	residential area. The	Engagement can just mean									is a pub on the corner, which is great. But closing time is already noisy,				Camden is a very mixed place. Some parts are residential. Some parts	
proposal to e	xtend licensing hours	listening to other people's	Probably allowing pubs to stay	pen							particularly on Fridays and Saturdays.		I don't know enough about how	No follows: "	have a night time economy. Having	
No (please tell us more across the bo account of the	rough doesn't take e needs of residents. Unsure	opinions and then ignoring them.	later is slightly negative for wor Unsure safety, but it's hard to be sure.	en s Unsure	I have no expertise in this area.	Unsure	Seems sort of crazy that these are criteria.	Unsure	See above.	No (please tell us more below)	Making it later makes that problem worse, for sure.	Unsure	enforcement and monitoring works in practice.	No (please tell us more below)	one policy for the whole borough doesn't make sense.	Unsure
·	·	<del></del> -				·										<del></del>

Unsure	what evidence is there that businesses, customers and residents slike have agreed on these?  Licencing regulations should NOT be extended to increase hours, or make licencing at Seven Dials easier. WANT TO PROMOTE WOMEN'S SAFETY HOW ABOUT SENDING SOME POUCE TO BUN OFF THE DRUG ZOMBIES WHO GATHER OUTSDE OF CHOCOLAT ON MONNOUTH STREET, AND ALSO ON SHAFTSBURY BETWEEN MONALDS AND DONER KEABA. And how about arresting the dealers? Should be selling alcohol beyond 11 and preferably never after 1am. Late night drinkers don't know how to be quiet or behave creating anti social activities and disturbing residents  I agree with the draft policy. What is missing I think is that there should be a emphasis that a thriving nighttime environment is a positive aspect for the following reasons:  A vibrant nightlife provides young people with safe social spaces to connect and build friendships. It dosters creativity and culture, offering live music, arts, and events that insigher and environment can be comployment opportunities and valuable experience. It supports mental well-being by giving young people a place to unwind and enjoy themsulves. A thriving scene attracts diverse communities, encouraging inclusivity and cultural exchange. It supports mental well-being by giving young people a place to unwind and enjoy themsulves. A thriving scene attracts diverse communities, encouraging inclusivity and cultural exchange. It supports mental well-being by giving young people a place to unwind and enjoy themsulves. A thriving scene attracts diverse communities, encouraging inclusivity and cultural exchange. It supports mental well-being by giving young people a place to unwind and enjoy themsulves. A thriving scene attracts diverse communities, encouraging inclusivity and cultural exchange. It supports mental well-being by giving young people a place to unwind and enjoy themsulves. A thriving scene attracts diverse communities, encouraging inclusivity and cultural exchange. It hoosts the local economy, making cities more dynamic and a	relationship. below)  No (please tell us mo below)  Ves  The pre-application with authorities who objectively view application to the tis an exercise concerned that it is an exercise concerned that it is an exercise to open in the area and this to open in the area and this	How can the 'Women's Safety below)  venues to adopt measures that promote women's safety and responsible practices. Additionally, the Policy highlights the issue of alcohol-related harm, including drink spiking, and outlines preventative measures for licensed premises to create safer and more enjoyable environments for all'. The use of the word "encorragement" suggests that it is not a set in stone boundary or agreement for businesses to adhere to actually looking after women. There should be actual detailed measures of what is needed from companies to prevent further harm to women so they know what to do. On top of this, there should be boundaries that businesses MUST adhere to in order to actually crack down on the crimes committed, such as contacting the police or having security in place. What will the police do if they are called to a business to help someone who is spiked? Will there be fermale staff and female security guards available to actively help those affected? Will there be sent BORUS ZOMBIES WHO GATHER OUTSIDE OF CHOCOLAT ON MOMOUTH STREET, AND ALSO ON SHARTESBURY BETWEEN MCDONALDS AND DONER KEBAB.	To address spiking within a business (and hopefully lower the amount it happens), the business itself needs be trained on how spiking words, how people specifically spike and how to spot a potential predator. Will the staff and security be trained on this? What is the process if somebody is caught spiking? What will business add and continue to do to crack down on spiking? Adding a policy does not solve the issue if there is no solid plan in place given to the venues in order to follow through. We need action, not writin that is baseless.	Unsure  No (please tell us more below)  Unsure  Ves	This is very vague. Phrases such as 'promote diversity' do not give any guidance or staff training as to how to ensure a tolerant welcoming environment. In cases of intolerance notifying licence holders after the event is not as good as taking steps for prevention.  For similar reasons as above, an actual action plan needs to be state as to how specifically this will be tackled by businesses and how the government and local forces will he in alding them with the correct training tools (alongside how the government and local forces will he in alding them with the correct training tools (alongside how the government and local forces will he holding them accountable and brown how our holding them accountable and specific and accountable and accountable and brown how our continually extended to the proposition of the pr	No (please tell us more below)  Accessibility should cover things such as wheelchair access, products or staff readily available to help the staff readily available to help those who may have disabilities (both hidden and visible), or allow those who are not a sable-bodied to have the same experience in the venue as everyone else. How will staff members be trained to protect those who are not as whenced the same experience in the venue as everyone else. How will staff members be trained to protect those who are more vulnerable? What are the repercussions of somebody who continues to target those who are more vulnerable? What further training will the forces and government be given to actually appropriately target this when they	No (please tell us more below)  Ves	Depending on customer feedback, businesses staying open later will keep staff working for longer hours as well as putting them at potential risk of also being targeted due to the later evenings or customers choosing to stay out later and drink more. What will be in place to protect members of staff on their commute back, and what boundaries are in place for customers who refuse to leave after one too many?  People who want to drink late around Covern Garden can just cross Charing Cross Road to the dirtier, gritter Soho bar district. We don't need the crime and disorder that comes from later night drinking in Covent Garden or Seven Dials.	o (please tell us more slow)  o (please tell us more slow)	and should be better advertised.  Stated above: it needs more detail and actionable tasks. Will the council be actively reckering in on businesse training them on said policy points? How will they be held accountable if how will they be held accountable if held accountable in the said policy points?	No (please tell us more below)  No (please tell us more below)  Unsure	The licensing objectives to support the night-time economy conflict with allowing Camden citizens in residential areas to live in quiet, cleans, sife areas between the hours of 6pm and 6am. The proposed changes should not be a one-size-fits-all but adapted to the various areas of Camden and their differing needs.  Stated above: it needs more detail and actionable tasks.  As above	Unsure	The Conditions are stated above, the I short of practice: Is a harmonious er the daily managen and the behaviour Your four aims: prevand protection of dependent on the of the licensed prevent these propening hours are extend them, part residential areas.
premises licence No (please tell us below)	With the current proposal, we would not have a sufficient return on	to new businesses that wish to open in the area and this could be put off smaller	Yes		Yes		Yes	No (please tell us more below)	London should return to being a place when the night time economy is thriving. 30 minutes is simply not	is .		Yes		Yes	

			to Camden's Night-Time Economy (NTE) is insufficient, especially for globally recognized areas like Camden Town and Seven Dials. Extensive public consultation showed strong support for a vibrant and diverse NTE, vet this minor change does little to meet the needs of businesses and visitors.  The council secured funding to create a business-friendly licensing approach, but the extension contradicts this goal. It risks pushing businesses and the extension contradicts this goal. It risks pushing businesses into the late-night levy, adding financial and administrative burdens that only well-funded businesses can afford. This disproportionately impacts small businesses and grassroots cultural venues, which are essential to Camden's identify. The costs and bureaucracy involved in extending hours make the proposal economically unviable for most.		with responsible authorities can be beneficial if they are conducted objectively. However, if they become a tool to impose conditions on new businesses—only for residents and authorities to object anyway—it undermines the purpose and acts as a barrier to entry, particularly for small businesses, arts groups, and grassroots music venues. Hospitality businesses already engage with their communities regularly, and any reputable business would seek to inform and build support among residents regarding licensing variations. However, a major concern is how the council would prevent friendlous or vesatious objections. Many residential			LB Camden should immediately adopt home Office guidance for spiking within its new Crime and Policing bill.  Training should be given to all venues on how to deal with incidents, solate offending items, preserving evidence.  Police must work with premises to ensure better responses to reports o spiking, including prosecution.  Test kits should be readily available for all late night premises.  Camden should lead the charge to make the borough a hostile environment for these heinous criminals with a zero tolerance policy. All premises could get behind this and with better training and awareness a real good job could be done. It would be worth looking at	f	Camden is intolerant of intolerance but more could be done ( more can always be done) to keep Hate and Intolerance out of Camden. this could include  - Better circulation of search tools for hate bands and artists.  - Sharing of information so we do not sit in silos.  - Training for bookers to spot		More detail is needed to help venues become more accessible and welcoming to people with access needs in Camden. IB Camden should collaborate with Attitude is Everything to promote the		The council secured a grant to create a business-friendly licensing framework, yet the proposed 30-minute extension is far from supportive. Even if a business successfully navigates preapplication, the 28-day consultation, and planning approval, it would still fall into the late-night levy for just one extra hour of trading per week. Additionally, planning permissions would need to be amended, adding trither costs and administrative burdens. The fees, time, and effort required far outweight the potential return on investment, making it unfeasible for all but the most well-funded businesses. This approach					additional CCTV requirements, while deep-discounting supermarkets—despite not being responsible for on-site consumption—face just 20+ CCTV conditions. This stark disparity suggests that supermarkets once again evade serious scrutiny or accountability.  Why is a small grassroots music venue held to a higher standard than a supermarket selling alcohol in bulk at low prices?  While conditions may be presented as guidance, they risk becoming a barrier to entry if businesses are forced to accept every condition to operate in certain areas. This mirrors the restrictive impact of Cumulative Impact Policies, where only well-funded, high-volume vertical drinking establishments could afford to comply. The consultation must avoid
E9 5RX	I'm a personal license holder	below)	Additionally, the plan does not address critical safety and support. The noise from people leaving licensed premises in Regents Park Road is already ever great. It is impossible to sleep once this starts. Road would agree that the shouting and screaming as people wander back down the after pubs closing is already great. But at least it is mainly at 1 jum. If it was closer to I am this would be intolerable. And on weekdays when people will be working it would now be closed to midnight. This might be clay for Canden High Street, but not for this residential	No (please tell us more below)	complaints reference outdated concerns from previous business iterations, below)  Hopefully you will take into consist this views of the local		No (please tell us more below)	how Canterbury did this after the saw a spike in incident post pandemic.	No (please tell us more below)	problem acts - Information sharing about marches/demos into the Borough	No (please tell us more below)	more inclusive environment for all.	No (please tell us more below)	fails to support small businesses and grassroots cultral venues, making the policy ineffective by design.	Yes		Unsure	No (please tell us more below)	creating similarly burdensome regulations.
NW1 8XB	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	Street, but not for this residential area.	Yes	account the views of the local residents.  Yes  The pre application is a useful tool if used in similar way to the planning process. I.e for new and undeveloped sites. For existing venues that paid		Yes		Not Answered		Not Answered			I have explained this above out dated and doesn't really work for venue especially in the grassroots musis sector. Operating hours should be considered on a case by case basis in line with the premises ability to promote the four licensing objectives and depending on the suitability of the location. In reality almost all old on licenses in the Town Centre have longer hours that the frame work hours anyway. Grassroots music venues which is what Camden is world famous for are in trouble and once a grassroot music venue closes it does not reopen and this has had a devastating impact on local communities up and down the country cutting off access to culture. The average Grassroots Music Venue	Not Answered		Not Answered	Not Answered	
n15 3el	I'm a member of a community group or organisation	Unsure	We are supportive of the majority of the policy but feel its need more pro active support of the night time and cultural sector.	Unsure	businesses rates and licensing fees the expectation is this advice should be provided by stake holders as part of their day to days duties. Charging a fee it an unnecessary burden on business and a bit of money grab.  Yes	We are very supportive of the Women Safety Charter as an organisation so fully support it inclusion and you the work Camden are doing on the scheme.	Yes	Yes we fully support this work.	Not Answered	Yes we fully support this work.	Yes	Yes we fully support this work.	No (please tell us more	is operating on a 0.2% profit margin which when you then factor in rising energy prices, new national insurance increases, rent reviews, pre-profit taxation, volatile trading conditions, and mercurial advance ticket sales begins to give you a sense of how difficult is to operate a	Not Answered		Not Answered	Yes	
SE249NE	Other	Yes	I support later licensing hours and the safety measures mentioned above: Responsible Alcohol Service, Enhanced Security Measures, Comprehensive Staff Training and Drink Spiking Prevention.  A vibrant and active nightifie is good for the area, and the local economy, and the neighbourhood is safer when it is busy at night, with lots of people around rather than having mostly empty streets late at night.  It's better, safer and less likely to cause a nuisance and disturbance for people to be able to drink and enjoy themselves in regulated, professionally managed venues, rather than being pushed away to house parties and at home drinking.  Although I'm now older with kids and don't go out as much, I fully support		Ves	Anything that can improve women's safety at night is a really good thing. I'm not sure how effective the	Yes	Anything that can reduce drink spiking is a really good thing. I'm not sure how effective the proposals will	165		YES		YES		res		Yes	Yes	
NW1 8PG	I'm a resident	Yes	having a vibrant nightime economy in London and the local area.  As a single women, I find streets much safer when there are people around and there are lots of licenced options for meeting & socialising with friends that stay open late, and at different hours. I think it's very unreasonable that small numbers of residents are able to stifle the night time economy which is best managed by responsible license holders in our pubs, bars, restaurants and venues. Canden specifically (and to an extent London generally) is losing out to cities all over Europe in this respect. Across Europe there is an understanding that everyone has a place, not just the elderly, not just families. Canden	1	Unsure	proposals will be but it is definitely a step in the right direction.  It is vital and the policy has plenty of details but this probably relies heavily on policy gownt action; ultimately the main ways I feel safe are attentive staff, varied closing are attentive staff, varied closing	Unsure	sole into elective in proposas win be but it is definitely a step in the right direction.	Yes	As far as I can tell.	Unsure			Hours still feel limited, as do options to drink responsibly in a non-	Yes		Yes	Unsure	I haven't looked at these in detail
N7 08X	I'm a resident I'm a resident	Unsure  No (please tell us more below)	has an opportunity to support this with fair treatment of licensing applications.  Totally insufficient consultation with local residents Is weighted in favour of businesses	Unsure	It is difficult to decipher the proposal Unsure  There has not been adequate engagement with the local community Unsure	hours os streets aren't either silent or packed but steadily busy with plenty of in the meeting I went to it was suggested by the person from the council that we video pub disturbances. This suggestion demonstrates a total lack of awareness of women's safety issues!	Not Answered  Unsure		Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	nightclub environment. Pubs are the safest and most accessible places for late night socialising.  Many of us our already frequently disturbed by noise from local pubs with the existing licensing hours This means we will get even less sleep	Yes  No (please tell us more below)	I have been down to my local pub several times late at night during disturbances The staff are apologetic but unable to control their inebriated customers	Unsure  No (please tell us more below)	Unsure	

		The survey for people who don't													T	T	
		normally get asked about licensing says late night businesses should be															
		supported because these are valued															
		by our community and need support in these times and they make	Not sure this is always wise as														
		Camden the vibrant place it is ,	extreme activist residents							More needs to be done . In Camden		I feel it should be at least an hour					
		encouraging arts such as music and	Nkechi to everything new and	Yes but more needs to be done!						we all call this out being such a	No (please tell us more	extra , esp cultural venues / music					No (please tell us more Bus and venues are overloaded with
NW54HD I'm a resident	Yes	fashion Unsure	innovative	Yes Venues are safer than the streets	Yes	Yes but more needs to be done	Yes	But more needs to be done	Unsure	diverse community	below)	venues	Yes		Yes	+	below) conditions
												The current framework provides a					
			Engaging with local									harmonious balance between businesses and residents .				The proposed approach does not	
			communities will always be									Our local residents consist of				benefit residents, and needs	
			an advantage for applicants,									generations of families housing new				measures in place that allow	
		Residents are already impacted by	however as pre-application advice service is not a	Extremely important that these		The policy outlines clear						born babies to elderly people.  Many homes face out on to the		It is not currently effective and there	.	residents to have a voice and be able to report any issues, and more	e
		the noise from bars and restaurants.	prerequisite for applicants, it	measures are implemented and		expectations. Premises need to						streets consisting of bars and		are certainly premises that operate		importantly for the issue to be	
		The revised framework to extend the	will only improve the	seriously carried out by each individual premises, and where th		ensure they are rolling out high levels of training to employees to						restaurants so extending the		outside of their permitted hours and		properly looked into and officially logged as many of the complaints	
	No (please tell us more	hours will have a negative effect on the current harmony that residents	licensing process when applicants choose to	are included as part of licensing	ey	meet these expectation and ensure		Policy states this is a consideration,	No (please tell us more	This should be part of the Hate and	No (please tell us more	framework hours will most certainly effect peoples sleep, which in turn		this has been an ongoing issue for many years - without any	No (please tell us more	e seem to be ignored after reporting	
wc2h 9hj I'm a resident	below)	and businesses have. Unsure	complete a pre-application.	Yes conditions they need to be enforced	ed. Yes	they are properly implemented.	Unsure	no actual enforcement.	below)	Intolerance section of the policy.	below)	effects there lives overall.	Unsure	consequences for these premises.	below)	them.	Unsure
			I am not persuaded that 'engagement' is intended as a														
			two-way process. For the past														
			few years engagement has taken the form of														
			communication but wherever	-													
			opportunities to provide														
			feedback have been provided i have found those to be														
			limited and often containing														
			in-built bias towards proposals.														
			ргорозаіз.														
		The current framework hours already	For example questionnaire's											Camdon already fails in the			
		create significant issues for myself as a resident in Covent Garden. Any	which contain leading responses to questions and			Bar staff can hardly be expected to								Camden already fails in its responsibilities to adequately			
		extension to those will just	often are framed to			police every move of patrons in a						Certainly not. As residents we are		monitor and enforce licensing		Enforcement and monitoring are	
	No (please tell us more	exacerbate these. Particularly in terms of anti-social behaviour and No (please tell us more	encourage responses in a particular direction. eg. this		No (please tell us more	venue. It is unrealistic to expect these measures to be effective in					No (please tell us more	already experiencing an intolerable level of disturbance to the peaceful	No (please tell us more	conditions and nothing proposed here is going to make-up for the	No (please tell us more	totally inadequate at present and nothing proposed here suggests to	
WC2N 5NP I'm a resident	below)	noise. below)	very question no. 7	Unsure	below)	practice.	Unsure		Unsure		below)	enjoyment of our properties.	below)	significant shortfall currently in place		me that will change.	Unsure
		I think licensing laws should be															
		relaxed. Having hard closing times										I think the hours should be even					
		makes no sense. I chose to live here	Donahada ari in midani									more relaxed. Let venues open and					
		and would prefer it to be even more relaxed. Shutting everything up	Busybody noisy residents don't necessarily speak for									close when they want, according to demand, except in obvious cases of					
		suddenly is silly. I've lived in Camden	most people in the area. I									noise or other problems. This was					
		Street for 10 years and noise from	point blank disagree with									the system when I lived in Berlin and					
		licensed premises or drunks is trivial compared to loud motorbikes or	their snotty letters in the local press. Let Camden be								No (please tell us more	there were far fewer problems. Hard closing times caused a lot of		Compared to other London Borough: Camden is quite sensible but still I	1		
NW1 9PT I'm a resident	Yes	cars. nb I'm not young Unsure	Camden!	Yes	Yes		Unsure		Unsure		below)	unnecessary problems.	Unsure	think too censorious.	Yes		Yes
												Later openings are better for staggering various venues closing &					
												allow residents & visitors alike the					
NW5 1LN I'm a resident	Yes	Yes		Yes	Unsure		Unsure		Yes		Yes	choice of later venues should they wish.	Yes		Yes		Yes
											100				1		
												It is much better for local communities for people to have					
												somewhere regulated, safe and					
												professionally managed to socialise					
												later into the night than to seek to continue socialising elsewhere. This					
												reduces the risk of litter and					
												disturbance on out streets as well as					
NW5 3DU I'm a resident	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	noise pollution from unregulated house parties / gatherings.	Yes		Yes		Yes
			I think it would help make														
			understanding the application process simpler and more														
			inclusive to have some	I think it's a start. Empowering an													
			guidance whilst completing the necessary paperwork. It	educating women to be mindful o drink spiking is helpful but unsure		As stated above. I think it's a start											
			would help people get the	that it will eliminate the issue. I do	.	and knowledge is power. We can all		We must all work together to be as									
I'm a premises licence WC2H 0JP holder	Yes	Yes	right licensing agreements in nlace.	think that training staff is also a gr	Unsure	work together to try and eliminate this issue.	Yes	inclusive as possible and open to everyone.	Unsure	I think that it's a start.	Yes		Unsure		Yes	They are clear in this chapter.	Yes
Wellion	163	10	proce.	onsure lidea.	Under	ung 1350c.		CTCI YORC.	Gibarc	Tunik dide ie 30 state	103		Olisare		103	They are clear in this chapter.	100
				It's a good step in that direction. I think more can be done to train st	aff												
				to help women in a "bad date"													
				situation leave safely. There are													
		I think it's great that alcohol is served		sometimes ads inside toiled doors about telling the staff to "ask for													
		at licensed venues, instead of people		Angela" if you need help, but I've													
		buying it in supermarkets and		seen surveys about how many of staff haven't been trained on this	he	It's a good start, especially the part											
		consuming it in parks/streets/houses and making lots of noise, therefore it		staff haven't been trained on this and, therefore, have no idea what		It's a good start, especially the part about good lighting clear exit signs											
		makes sense to extend the hours for	It's great to have a	means when someone asks them.	It	and open visible spaces; as well as											
		30 minutes, it's good for the businesses and good for the	consultation period BEFORE instead of lengthy	should be one of the essential par of training for anyone working at		the options for people to protect themselves, like providing drink											
	L.	surrounding neighbours. I applaud	negotiations after the	restaurant/bar/pub, not a "nice to		covers and anti-spiking bottle	L.		_		L.		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
NW1 8PD I'm a resident	Yes	more training around drink spiking. Not Answered The residents should always be	process.	Unsure have".	Yes	stoppers.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	+	Yes	+	Yes
		looked after, at the end of the day,															
		when you are in your home you should be able to relax and enjoy												In my opinion the enforcement by Camden is quite difficult. Too many			
		your time. Many business don't	The engagement with local											business and no many enforcement			
		respect the closing time. Many	communities should always					Customers when are drunk, make				Durings don't second to fine		officers. My experience was, during			
		business staying open well after the time allowed.	be done. At the end of the day, the residents are the					nuisance are loud, the fight happens often. When that happens inside of				Business don't respect the finishing time. Customers sty outside until late		many years we complained about a out of hours business, nuisance of			
		Many customers don't respect the	ones suffering with nuisances	I believe more policing are needed		If the are no policing around, drug		the establishment, they put the				at night drinking, causing nuisances		loud customers and músic, drug			It is easier to draft a proposed
WC2H 9PA I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	local residents and the business don't do nothing to help. Yes	of the bars and clubs and bad behaviours of customers.	No (please tell us more during the night, specially in residencial areas in central Londo	No (please tell us more below)	dealing will increase and easy access to spiking.	s No (please tell us more below)	customers out and the fighting in the streets carry on.	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	to local's residents, drug dealing increases and no policing.	No (please tell us more below)	dealing at my door step and not much was done about.	Unsure		condition but it is difficult to Unsure implement it.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		, and a construction of the construction of th				1			1					1	
		There are many business, restaurants															
		and bars, in central London, specially															
		in my area, Covent Garden, which															
		already dont respect the times allowed to stay opened.															
		many bars, stay well open after time															
		allowed with customers outside															
		delice his about and an and the		1													
		drinking, being loud even urinating at our door step, with loud music.				1	1	1		I	1	T.	I.	1	1		
		our door step, with loud music, causing nuisances to the residents.							1		1						
		our door step, with loud music, causing nuisances to the residents. Drug dealing happens frequently. For															
		our door step, with loud music, causing nuisances to the residents. Drug dealing happens frequently. For many years we, around Covent												In my opinion the enforcement by			
		our door step, with loud music, causing nuisances to the residents. Drug dealing happens frequently. For many years we, around Covent Garden have complained to Consul regarding some establishment												In my opinion the enforcement by Camden is quite difficult. Too many			
		our door step, with loud music, causing nuisances to the residents. Drug dealing happens frequently. For many years we, around Covent Garden have complained to Consul regarding some establishment behaviours, with many time	The engagement with local communities should always											Camden is quite difficult. Too many business and no many enforcement			
		our door step, with loud music, causing nuisances to the residents. Drug dealing happens frequently. For many years we, around Covent Garden have complained to Consul regarding some establishment behaviours, with many time unsuccessful. There are no many policing around	communities should always be done. At the end of the											Camden is quite difficult. Too many business and no many enforcement officers. My experience was, during many years we complained about a			
		our door step, with loud music, causing nuisances to the residents. Drug dealing happens frequently. For many years we, around Covent Garden have complained to Consul regarding some establishment behaviours, with many time unsuccessful. There are no many policing around Covent Garden, there are many drug	communities should always be done. At the end of the day, the residents are the			Business goon later will all						Most import thing is builded		Camden is quite difficult. Too many business and no many enforcement officers. My experience was, during many years we complained about a out of hours business, nuisance of			
	No (please tell us more	our door step, with load music, causing nuisances to the residents. Drug dealing happens frequently. For many years we, around Cowent Garden have complained to Consul regarding some establishment behaviours, with many time unsuccessful. There are no many policing around Cowent Garden, there are many drug dealing, sometimes many times	communities should always be done. At the end of the			Business open later will allow mor drink excessively. People get drunk						Most import thing is, business should respect more the residents, which	No (please tell us more	Camden is quite difficult. Too many business and no many enforcement officers. My experience was, during many years we complained about a			
WC2H 9PA I'm a resident NW31TU I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	our door step, with load music, causing nuisances to the residents. Drug dealing happens frequently. For many years we, around Cowent Garden have complained to Consul regarding some establishment behaviours, with many time unsuccessful. There are no many policing around Cowent Garden, there are many drug dealing, sometimes many times	communities should always be done. At the end of the day, the residents are the ones suffering with nuisances		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	Camden is quite difficult. Too many business and no many enforcement officers. My experience was, during many years we complained about a out of hours business, nuisance of loud customers and músic, drug	Unsure		Unsure

										I hope that venues that are not accessible or have plans to become									
										accessible are considered to be									
			Camden needs it's nightlife but it							acting in a way that could be considered a hate crime as well as									
			needs regulation. I would add as a			en is essential but I				against the equality act. Using									
			disabled resident I would hope that the council would ensure that all new		would add that fo the danger is grea					licencing as a tool to create change to make Camden a more accessible									
			venues given licences were		Camden court lea					place would again lead the way and						verte			
NW1 7BF	I'm a resident	Yes	accessible or at least had plans to make them so. Yes		how all vulnerable Yes safe at night.	groups can be	Yes		Yes	create a global accessible tourist attraction.	Yes		Yes		I I	Vital to ensure venues stick to the agreed licencing requirements.	Yes		Unsure
			The proposed policy allows the sale of alcohol over additional hours	The Council have not												The Coubcil do not have a track			
			which will lead to an increase in anti	provided any details of what						This is completely unnecessary.				There is already significant issues		record of effective enforcement in a			
			social behaviour. The Council does not have a successful track record of	"engagement" will look like, on which issues people or						People choose to visit a licensed premises or not. How can you police		I do feel this type out proposal is meaningless and is an attempt to		with anti social behaviour as a result of the concentration of licensed		number of areas. Examples are dog fowling, litter, cyclists in pavements,			
			addressing anti social behaviour	organisations will be						inclusivity. One venue may cater to a		detract from the core aims of the		venues in the area. Residents are		fly tipping, drug taking. The is no			
			issues in our area. There is nothing to suggest the proposals will enhance	consulted, how decision will be made and what outcomes	The measures wil they happen. The	only be effective if		The measures place emphasis that the licensed premises are well run		completely different demographic than another. Issues such as racism		changes in the Councils policy which is to allow premises to open longer		already experiencing increased intrusion and disturbance. Examples		evidence the Council have the resources, skills and desire to			
		No (please tell us more	measures to support the needs of	are envisaged from the	as it assumes ado	tion of the		and adequate training will take	No (please tell us more	etc are already adequately covered	No (please tell us more	which increases the risk of anti social	No (please tell us more	are Koko and establishments near by		effectively implement monitoring	No (please tell us more		
NW1 7RR	I'm a resident	below)	residents. Unsure	proposal.	Unsure measures equals	etter outcomes.	Unsure	place.	below)	by legislation.	below)	behaviour.	below)	as Jungla on Delancey St.	below)	and enforcement.	below)	See 13.	Unsure
														Our Association is opposed to					
														increasing the Framework Hours by 30 minutes. We appreciate that					
														businesses need to make a profit to					
														remain viable but do not consider this increase is necessary. Residents					
	I'm a member of a community group or												No (please tell us more	are entitled to peace and quiet in their own homes and the current					
N6 6BA	organisation	Yes	Yes		Unsure		Unsure		Yes		Yes		below)	hours are a reasonable balance.	Yes		Yes		Yes
			I took part in survey last year, where																
			views were given that night-time																
			economy requires support. The consultation also mentioned about		More needs done	o make the high		More needs to be done to support						30 minutes in extra time is not business friendly, culture venues					Over burden on businesses who did
		No (please tell us more	business friendly licensing, this does		speed safer, venu	s are safer then	No (please tell us more	pubs, and more police on streets at		Camden is better then most, in			No (please tell us more	especially require later hours for					No (please tell us more not accept all conditions. Not all
ME2 2HZ	I work in Camden	below)	not seem very business friendly. Unsure	If it over burdens smaller	Yes the streets curren	ly	below)	night to deter spiking in Camden	Yes	Camden they call it out.	Unsure		below)	survival	Unsure		Unsure		below) businesses are the same
			Yes but businesses do need more	business only large chains will come into Camden, we want	More needs to be									30 minutes extra time for smaller businesses is nowhere near enough.					
			support as a healthy night time	more diverse cultural venues	street, Camden p	more police on the bs and bars are							No (please tell us more	More must be allowed for smaller					
sw16 5ar	I work in Camden	Yes	economy benefits Camden Unsure  It is, but more needs to be done for	in Camden	Yes quite safe		Unsure		Yes	In Camden we call it out	Unsure		below)	cultural diverse venues	Yes		Yes		Unsure
	I'm a premises licence		businesses to drive the footfall at	special help should be given			_		_		_		No (please tell us more	30 mins extra is welcome, but not	[		L		
Nw18AF	holder	Yes	night time Yes	to small businesses	Yes More police on the	streets, please	Yes	Testing kits for bars would be helpful	Yes		Yes		below)	enough The first draft of the policy offering 2	Yes		Yes		Yes
					But more needs t									hours was very encouraging. Only					
				But special help should be	the streets of Can THE VENUES ARE									the best operators would have received this license, not everyone.					
			More help needs to be given to	given to small buisness' and	STREETS! More m	ney should be		mores support must be given to						To discover that only 30 minutes is					
W5 4XJ	I'm a personal license holder	below)	attract cultural business' to Camden and this policy does not fulfill this.	culture venues to encourage them into the borough.	given to the polic Yes safe again.	to make Camden	Yes	licensed premises and police to drive spiking out of Camden. e.g. test kits			Yes		below)	being offered is not business friendly licensing.	Yes		Yes		Yes
				I am a personal licence holder and DPS on premises in															
				Camden. I think pre															
				application with council officers and police is a good										No, who is going to apply for 1 extra hour a week? The return on					
				idea. Less good is the idea of	Women will be sa but not once they	e in the venues								investment will be years and activists					
			Residents - Yes	pre-app with residents. Consultation with the	must be done to			More must be done to support venues to drive spiking our of						will oppose every application flooding committees and adding					
		No (please tell us more	Businesses - No - not enough help for small cultural businesses No (please tell us more	community is constant, we call them customers and we	for women as mo No (please tell us more and drive up stan		No (please tell us more	Camden. It must be zero tolerance bit with no police around who					No (elecce tell or more	expense. You will then enter into \Late Night Levy charging. This is not					
N7 ODR	I'm a resident	below)	Visitors - Do not get enough choice below)	value them.	below) behaviour.	ards or better	below)	supports the businesses?	Yes	Yes, in Camden we call it out.	Unsure		below)	business friendly.	Yes		Yes		Yes
			how this policy affects them, especially late at night. The current																
			policy strikes a fair balance, but the											Businesses have always been able to					
			revised version disrupts that, making things worse overnight and in the											apply for extended hours, as long as they show they won't harm Licensing					
			early morning.											Objectives like Public Nuisance and					
			It also treats public nuisance as less											Crime & Disorder. The current Framework Hours strike a reasonable					
			important than other licensing											balance, ending at 23:30/00:00/22:30					
			concerns, even though paragraph 4.17 states that all four objectives are											depending on the day, but the proposal shifts everything 30 minutes		The current monitoring and			
			equally important. The Council											later, without requiring proof that		enforcement approach makes sense			
			emphasises reducing crime, keeping visitors safe, and protecting children											this won't affect neighbours. This changes the balance between		but isn't working. Some businesses have been operating outside their			
			(paragraphs 4.18, 4.41, and 4.51), but when it comes to residents,											businesses and the community, particularly at night when people		permitted hours for years, despite being noticed by the police and			
			paragraph 4.44 only asks that											need to sleep. The existing		appearing at hearings to apologise,			
			businesses don't unreasonably interfere with their comfort.											Framework Hours should stay.  If the hours are changed, the policy		only to repeat the offence. No effective action has been taken. If the			
														must make it clear that the main		Licensing Authority doesn't address			
			To be consistent, paragraph 4.44 should say:											concern is upholding Licensing Objectives. We suggest revising		this, others may feel they can operate without a licence too.			
			"The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a											Paragraph 5.8 to read: "Regardless of proposed hours, applicants must		We suggest adding the following point to Paragraph 14.18: "Before			
			way that supports the prevention of											consider risks and offer conditions to		deciding on action, we will consider			
		No (please tell us more	public nuisance and does not unreasonably interfere with the										No (please tell us more	support Licensing Objectives, particularly preventing crime,	No (please tell us more	whether allowing the offence to continue is likely to encourage others			
WC2B 5AA	I'm a resident	below)	personal comfort or amenity of Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		Not Answered		below)	disorder, and public nuisance."	below)	to imitate it."	Not Answered		Not Answered
				In the past, I have found															
				these consultations with local residents to be cursory and															
				going through the motions.															
			Residents are already subject to the	They are pretend 'engagements'. If local															
			most horrendous levels of	communities had been															
			disturbance, anti-social behaviour, crime, etc, as a result of the current	listened to over the years regarding the NTE or the															
			licensing regime associated with the Night Time Economy. Further	markets, we would not be in the terrible situation local															
			relaxation of this regime is a kick in	residents are in now with the															
			the teeth for local residents. Residents in Camden Town are	diminishing of their quality of life. Having been ignored for										Under what circumstances does					
			already subject on a daily basis to	years and years over what										extending licensing hours benefit					
			public nuisance, noise disturbance, anti-social behaviour, crime, etc,	we'd like to happen to our area, why should local										local residents? It will simply add to the already horrendous disturbance,					
		No felence to "	associated with the markets; so to	residents now think the									No (eleaso ( - "	crime and anti-social behaviour local	No felesco to "				
NW1 8BX	I'm a resident	no (piease tell us more below)	make this worse by loosening NTE No (please tell us more regulations is unforgiveable.	council will take into account our opinions or interests?	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		two (please tell us more below)	residents already endure as a result of the NTE.	below)		Unsure		Unsure
			Given the current economic climate feel all areas of London should be													So long it is conducted in a common sense manor that takes in fully the			
			looking more positively how to	So long as these are												area the unit is trading in and a			
			maintain, grow and local councils to invest in opportunities to support	conducted with a common sense approach and do not												balanced out come for the residents ,council and the business to continue			
			this sector as it is paramount to the	reduce new licensing												to trade successfully so as the			
			attractiveness of this great city to both tourists and business investors	activities and timings in areas that already have similar or												economic return still benefits all parties and London in whole so as to			
		No (please tell us more	and those who travel here to conduct	later licenses that are being			W									maintain the capital world wide			
WC2B 5AA	I'm a resident	below)	the same. Unsure	newly applied for.	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure	attraction.	Unsure		Yes
					Bars and venues s									Its really not cost afforting area."					
				Pre-application should be for	to be safe, but mo done to ensure th	t women like								Its really not cost effective, especially for smaller, independent, upcoming					
			Artistic, creative businesses need to	professionals to make the right decisions, not residents.	myself feel safe w night. I would rati	lking the streets at er be in a								businesses to stay open for such a small amount of time. And it doesn't					
			be encouraged to come into Camden	The engagement with local	bar/venue at nigh	than on the		n down but a second second						balance the need of the community					
			more, to create a more exciting and rich experience of Camden for both	communities by businesses happens all the time, but it		ntexts is walking		It does, but more needs to be done for such a serious issue. Camden						either. Nobody would chose to go out in Camden and residents who					
NW1 RVF	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	residents and visitors alike. This is not encouraged by this policy.  No (please tell us more below)		quickly and/or in Unsure only enforced by	group, which is	Yes	needs to be zero tolerance - more testing kits, more training etc	Yes		Yes	Yes, in Camden we call it out.		want to have to travel, which makes it unsafe getting home.	Yes		Yes		Yes
		1 1	, separation perons	,	Louis conocces by			, and a second better		•								•	

			Artistic and Creative businesses	In my view the preapp stage is aimed more at												
			should be more encouraged to come in to Camden and this will service	professionals and there is												
			their interests of Camden . This	plenty of time for									30 minutes is too short and this will			
			policy does not meet the interest of business and therefore a more	consultation in the 28 day period of consultation. Good	The licensed businesses are on the		However Camden needs to be zero						not attract new creative businesses into the borough. It really should be			
			vibrant and creative policy will meet	licenced businesses are	whole safe for women in my opinion		tolerance. Greater poster campaign				Camden is very good with		2 hours to make it worth the while of			
		No (please tell us more	the interest of business and No (please tell us more	always engaging with	however it is more the streets which		work and working closer with the				intolerance and Camden calls it out					
EN5 4JN	I work in Camden	below)	residents. below)  Premise licencing in Camden is not	customers all the tie Residents get the chance to	Unsure are unsafe for many women .	Yes	police would be helpful.	Yes		Yes	when it has evidence it is occurring.	below)	especially at the weekend.	Yes	Yes	Yes
			business friendly. It is expensive and	object to everything in												
			time consuming adn always goes to	Camdens licensing. I do not												
	I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more	committee even for a food project like mine, closing early. This is not	think the community needs involvement in pre												
NW1 8AH	holder	below)	balanced. Unsure	application.	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
				I see community inclusion as												
				a negative in pre-application.												
			It is difficult to get a licence in	Pre application will make									30 more minutes does not seem			
			Camden. This does not help attract new business in fact it makes if more	better applications but residents will always object so									worth the bother. The expense and every application will go to a hearing			
			difficult and expensive. This does not	we go to a hearing anyway									is a financial drain. We need more			
		No felesco tellescono	give business a chance to develop	which is long and expensive.								N - (-1	time to trade bit all this expense for			
hp234bh	Other	below)	and be part of the great Camden Nighttime economy, Unsure	There is no point in involving community in my opinion.	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		below)	1 hour a week is not going to be business friendly.	Yes	Yes	Yes
			as a business owner this does not look balanced. The application													
			process in Camden is onerous with													
			residents groups objecting to													
			everything so we end up in committee anyway. This is not													
			balanced, this is weighted in favour													
			of activist "resident groups" who say	Local authorities is a good												
			they speak for their residents when we all know this is not true. We go to	idea as the process would be helpful and better									Nobody is going to apply for 1 hour a			
			committee and this is expensive. No,	applications would result but									week. It will be only available to			
			this is not business friendly and when	we will still go to committee									drinking establishments which we			
			you surveyed residents who are not normally consulted the picture about	because resident groups will still object to everything. I am									have plenty of already. We were told 2 hours and now we are down to 30			
			our businesses was supportive. We	usure the objectives of the									minutes which I am sure the usual			
		No felese : "	are a food business, closing early and	licensing authority will be			More needs to be done to address					N. (-1	suspects will be angry about anyway			
n20da	I'm a non-licensed busi	no (prease tell us more below)	had to go to a committee to get a permit to operate. Crazy. Unsure	achieved because of resident groups.	Yes	Yes	this and police need to react to incidents quickly.	Yes		Yes		ivo (piease tell us more below)	even with the 90 minutes reduction from the hours originally proposed.	Yes	Yes	Yes
		,		Why would this be necessary												
	I'm a personal license	No (please tell us more	No (please tell us more	to be implemented? It's seems like another hurdle to												
NW1 8AL	holder	below)	below)	jump	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
W1W 8BE	I'm a resident	Yes	Yes	7. 1	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
			other boroughs for new business and													
			visitors. These businesses help define the Borough and add value to the													
			area as a result. This benefits													
			residents in a multitude of ways and													
			helps the borough maintain its place as a worldwide destination. The													
			borough is falling behind other more													
			enlightened Boroughs with the													
			unintended consequences of a renewal every 3 years of Cumulative	Involvement with the												
			Impact Policies.	authorities pre-application is												
			n :	common elsewhere and												
			It is very welcome that this has been removed but this policy does not	works very well. I would not advocate giving communities												
			balance the interests of business. The	a quasi - responsible authority												
			work carried out last year with the citizens assemblies was very	status. This would be colonized very quickly by												
			interesting as it told a very different	groups who do not want												
			story to that normally heard in	growth, do not want licensed	The burden for making woman safe											
													No business is likely to accept the			
			licensing, where activist anti-licensing	premises and want a return to	in Camden should not rest with								burden and expense of an extra hour			No matter how many conditions new
			licensing, where activist anti-licensing groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery													No matter how many conditions new businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no
			groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police								burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents			businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but
			groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning.	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring								burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please			businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to
	I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job								burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly			businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put
NW1 8QH	I'm a premises licence holder	No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning.	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example,	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of other activities of the control of th	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW18QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 SQH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the control of the cont	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no policie presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive on businesses that constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive	premises and want a return to Cumulative impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory to positive to have a positive to the	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act. In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth	premises and want a return to Cumulative impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
NW1 8QH		No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.	premises and want a return to Cumulative impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.	in Camden should not rest with licensed premises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
	holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of other control of the c	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act. In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.	in Camden should not rest with licensed permises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.		Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
	holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the company of the com	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act. In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset. Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for	in Camden should not rest with licensed permises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Deva safe space and do a lot to make	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has sowing in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
	holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the construction of the construction of the construction of the construction and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors. Residents when surveyed last year and at the assembles said businesses	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning.  There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in residents to be involved in creidents to be involved in the creidents to be inv	in Camden should not rest with Brensed permises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to mak sure woman are safe and protected	Yes		Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in Raour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey our commissioned last year and give at least an hour.	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
	holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the control of the con	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good.	in Camders should not rest with Benessed permises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to mak sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and	Yes	A lot more can be done to protect	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has savung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and Camden needs to protect and	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put No (please tell us more businesses off coming into Camden if
	l work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the control of the con	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all	yes  We are very pro active making the Dev asfe space and on lot set set as the Street	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking	Yes		Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has savung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses: Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses off coming into Camden if this happens.
Se220jp	holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Residents when surveyed last year and at the assemblies said businesses need support to keep Camden without in the policy could have been bolder giving real help and assistance to grass roots music venues in	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need to residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all times. This is informal and	in Camden should not rest with Bicensed permises only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to mak sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kils) and training	Yes	Camden does this very well.	Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has saving in Savour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
	l work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the control of the con	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all	We are very pro active making the Deva safe sand of from phone that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub  Yes safe as our pub As a woman working in Camden I am	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking	Yes		Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has savung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses: Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses off coming into Camden if this happens.
Se220jp	l work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Residents when surveyed last year and at the assemblies said businesses need support to keep Camden without in the policy could have been bolder giving real help and assistance to grass roots music venues in	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all times. This is informal and friendly.  The authorities in re-	Yes  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and voucle from the only the Dev as afe space and on lot feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for reveryone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe sa our pub  As a woman working in Camden I am not affaid in licensed premises but I	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kils) and training	Yes		Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has saving in Savour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	l work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Residents when sureeyed last year and at the assemblies said businesses need support to keep Camden without in the policy could have been bolder giving real help and assistance to grass roots music venues in	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see then need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationships with our residents to be involved in times. This is informal and friendly.  The authorities in reapplication would be	yes  We are very pro active making the Deva safe safe and inappropriate behavior. Worman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Deva safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone threeves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in licensed premises but I am afraid aft night or in the dark on	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.	Yes		Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has saving in Savour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	l work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of below)  The current licensing restrictions are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Residents when sureeyed last year and at the assemblies said businesses need support to keep Camden without in the policy could have been bolder giving real help and assistance to grass roots music venues in	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all times. This is informal and friendly.  The authorities in re-	Yes  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to the Street. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe so our pub  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in licensed premises but I am afraid at night or in the dark on the cand or walking to the train	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kils) and training	Yes		Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has saving in Savour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes	Yes Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of other activations are too restrictive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses need support to keep Camden vibrant. This policy could have been bolder giving real help and assistance to grass roots music venues in particular.	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents contained to the pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to do involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of understanding the pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of understanding the pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of understanding the pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of understanding the pre-App. We keep very good relationship to the pre-App. We keep very good r	Yes  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do not not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thisves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe so up upb  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm,	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes	Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	l work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of other accounts of the control of the	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre-application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/oustomers at all times. This is informal and friendly.  The authorities in reapplication would be welcome. I am not sure what role communities can have as	Yes  We are very pro active making the Deva safe space and do a lot to make sure than the Deva safe space and do a lot to make sure than the Season there is a lot to make sure than the Deva safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as I leense and work safe as our pub.  Yes  We are very pro active making the Deva safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as I leense and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub.  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in licensed premises but I am afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in	Yes		Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has saving in Savour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned least year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of other control of the c	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents contained to the pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to do involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of understanding the pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of understanding the pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of understanding the pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of understanding the pre-App. We keep very good relationship to the pre-App. We keep very good r	Yes  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do not not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thisves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe so up upb  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm,	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Residents when surveyed last year and at the assemblies said businesses need support to keep Camden will be a surveyed to the particular.  Yes  No (please tell us more below)  Camden's cultural and grass roots smaller businesses need to flourish, Everyone benefits.	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to do involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to do involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of the involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents of the involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship in the pre-App. We keep very	Yes  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do not not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thisves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe so up upb  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm,	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes	Yes Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the control of the con	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset. Per application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents (outsidents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/ customers at all times. This is informal and friendly.  The authorities in reapplication would be welcome. I am not sure what role communities can have as they are consulted in the application process.  Authorities-yes- this will	Yes  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do not not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thisves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe so up upb  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm,	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive as it used to be in terms of the constructive on businesses that enhance the reputation and desirability of an area. For example, current policy assumes all hospitality beyond a set time equals nuisance.  With the improved management of the vast majority of food and drinks businesses we should surely be able to police and manage guest behaviours to a level that does not cause disturbance.  In a 24 hour city like London, we require a more progressive attitude to any business driving the positive reputation of an area, often a main driver of positive reputation growth are responsibly led hospitality businesses.  Removal of Cumulative Impact will help businesses and visitors.  Residents when surveyed last year and at the assemblies said businesses need support to keep Camden will be a surveyed to the particular.  Yes  No (please tell us more below)  Camden's cultural and grass roots smaller businesses need to flourish, Everyone benefits.	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all times. This is informal and friendly.  The authorities in reapplication would be welcome. I am not sure what role communities can have as they are consulted in the application process.  Authorities-yes - this will make applications better but almost every application for communities.	Yes  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do not not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thisves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe so up upb  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm,	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constitution of the constitut	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be informal and friendly.  The authorities is very welcome. I am not sure what role communities can have as they are consulted in the application process.  Authorities- yes - this will make application process.	We are very pro active making the Deva safe sand of protected from police presses only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Deva safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub.  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in licensed premises but I am afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm, more police please.	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the control of the con	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overal strategy from the outset. Per application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all times. This is informal and friendly.  The authorities in reapplication for the authorities in reapplication would be welcome. I am not sure what role communities can have as they are consulted in the application process.  Authorities-yes- this will make application for alter hours will go to committee anyway so what's committee anyway so what's	We are very pro active making the Dev as afe space and work of the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub.  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in idensed premises but and afraid aft night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm, more police please.  Yes, but I am safer inside the	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes  Yes  Yes	Yes Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constitution of the constitut	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be informal and friendly.  The authorities is very welcome. I am not sure what role communities can have as they are consulted in the application process.  Authorities- yes - this will make application process.	We are very pro active making the Deva safe sand of protected from police presses only. Woman do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Deva safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub.  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in licensed premises but I am afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm, more police please.	Yes Yes	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se220jp	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time conomy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the constitution of the constitut	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overal strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in the application and friendly.  The authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents/customers at all times. This is informal and friendly.  The authorities is very welcome. I am not sure what role communities can have as they are consulted in the application process.  Authorities-yes- this will make applications better but almost every application for alter hours will go to committee anyway so what's the point when residents are consulted already.	We are very pro active making the Deva safe space and do not feel safe at night in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub.  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in licensed premises but I am afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm, more police please.  Yes, but I am safer inside the premises that I am on the street walking to the station or bus stop.  This helps but is not effective enough	Yes  Yes  Unsure	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more below)	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes	Yes Yes Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and
Se 220jp E16 3QA W3 7NW	I work in Camden I'm a personal license holder	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Yes	groups drag everything to a panel hearing and paint a picture of misery all caused by Premises licences. The fact of the matter is Camden needs emergency work on its night time economy as it's not anything like as attractive as it used to be in terms of the control of the con	premises and want a return to Cumulative Impact overburdening businesses with onerous conditioning. There is adequate facility for communities within the 2003 Licensing Act.  In order that business support the over arching council strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy, it can only be positive to have an advisory session to keep licensed premises in sync with the overall strategy from the outset.  Pre application advise with authorities is very welcome. I do not see the need for residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents to be involved in Pre-App. We keep very good relationship's with our residents communities in very application and friendly.  The authorities in reapplication and friendly.  The authorities can have as they are consulted in the application process.  Authorities-yes - this will make application for alter hours will go to committee anyway so what's the point when residents are like the point when residents are freeded.	in Camden should not rest with license premises only. Woman do not feel safe at right in Camden and that is because there is no police presence on the streets. Ensuring safety for woman in Camden is a job for everyone. The premises are safer than the streets.  Unsure  We are very pro active making the Dev a safe space and do a lot to make sure woman are safe and protected from phone thieves and inappropriate behavior. We accept this is our role as licensee and would like to see the streets of Camden as safe as our pub.  As a woman working in Camden I am not afraid in licensed premises but I am afraid at night or in the dark on the canal or walking to the train station. This is welcome but more must be done on the public realm, more police please.  Yes, but I am safer inside the premises than I am on the street walking to the station or bus stop.	Yes  Yes  Unsure	A lot more can be done to protect victims of spiking. Premises should have access to more anti-spiking materials (Test kits) and training where available.  All the training an awareness is great. More must be to educate people in the licensed trade maybe test kits.	Yes	We need watch for far-right at all times.	Yes Yes Yes	Camden does this very well.	No (please tell us more below)  Yes  Not Answered  No (please tell us more	burden and expense of an extra hour a week. What happened to the two hours originally proposed? This has swung in favour of residents objecting to premises licenses. Please consider the view of the assembly and the survey you commissioned last year and give at least an hour.  Camden is the heart of music and Camden needs to protect and promote that. The 30 minutes looks a bit mean and should be an hour to appear balanced. 30 minutes makes no sense.  I know 2 hours were suggested and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look and reduced to 30 minutes does not look.	Yes Yes Yes	Yes  Yes  Yes	businesses accept they will still end up in a committee hearing with no responsible authorities objecting but resident groups. The demand to accept onerous conditions will put businesses of coming into Camden if this happens.  Yes  As long as they are a guideline and

			Formal engagement with the											
			authorities will help smaller											
			businesses make better											
			applications but it is almost certain that applications will											
			still go to hearings which are											
			time consuming and											
			expensive. This does not help small businesses who get											
			frustrated by the process											
		I think re removal of Cumulative	which is complex already. The											
		Impact will have a positive affect but am disappointed to see the extra	hostility of residents to the most simple of applications,						No business is going to the expense					
		hours reduced so much. The people	food led day-time with dozen						and pain of pre-app, 28 day consultation, hearing then possibly					
		who complain about night tie	of conditions volunteered wil						an extra hour or two with extra					
		businesses do not represent the	still continue in Camden.						conditions for the sake of an extra					
		views of all Camdeners, you know as much from your citizen forums	Communities already have a						hour of so a week. The only businesses which will do this is well					
		where you asked people not usually	say in any consultation period	-					resourced business and Camden has					
		included in the conversation. What such a hasty retreat? This is not	this cannot be formalized in						enough of theses already. Camden is					
n19nw I work in Camden	Yes	balanced i my view.	pre-application process. a Yes design for failure.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		built on small independent late night venues. This discourages growth.	Yes		Yes	Yes	
nw3 2pt I'm a resident	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	
		Alcohol in any quantity is harmful.							Alcohol in any quantity is harmful.					
		While I appreciate national							While I appreciate national					
		legislation does not allow health							legislation does not allow health					
		considerations as a licensing objective, it seems counterintuitive							considerations as a licensing objective, it seems counterintuitive					
		that an organisation which has							that an organisation which has					
		responsibility for public health and							responsibility for public health and					
		promoting the health of its residents would choose to relax restrictions on							promoting the health of its residents would choose to relax restrictions on					
	No (please tell us more	alcohol sales, given the harm that						No (please tell us more	alcohol sales, given the harm that					
NW5 1EU I'm a resident	below)	could be expected as a result.	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Not Answered	below)	could be expected as a result.	Unsure		Unsure	Yes	
									Longer hours have no benefit for residents who are already adversely					
									affected by the ever increasing					
	No (please tell us more	Residents always come last. Business and visitor interests are always						No (please tell us more	number of licensed premises and the associated crime, noise and antisocial	No (please tell us more	No confidence in these proposals	No (please tell us more		
W1T 5NT I'm a resident	below)	prioritised.	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure		behaviour.		Enforcement has always been poor.		Unsure	
			rule with respect to thestatement of Licensing											
			Policy Statement 2022-2027,											
			Chapter 6 sets out the											
			cumulative impact policy stating that there is already a											
			too high number type and											
			density of premises selling											
			alcohol and that serious nuisance, noise and disorder											
			arises outside or some											
			distance from those											
			premises It also states that											
			you will normally refuse an application or variation of											
		I am concerned about the effects of	existing licence which is likely											
		noise, inebriation and disturbance to residents by the proposal to allow	to add to the existing cumulative impact. Yet you											
		premises licensed to sell alcohol for	allowed a premise within the											
		consumption on-site to be extended	Seven Dials Cumulative Area											
		by 30 minutes, with terminal hours	to have a licence. Under para											
		now set at midnight from Monday to Thursday, 12:30 a.m. on Fridays and	6.20 of your policy, the applicant has to state why											
		Saturdays, and 11:00 p.m. on	they consider the application											
		Sundays. Clearly most residents don't want to be kept awake until midnight							I have already explained why I					
	No (please tell us more	or 12.30 am and it is unreasonable of	No (please tell us more that he had done this. You						believe this will have a detrimental	No (please tell us more				
WC1B3QA I'm a resident	below)	the council to consider this	below) also state in your policy that	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	below)	effect on residents	below)	guidelines	Unsure	Unsure	
									The control of the co					
									The extension of licensing hours will lead to more drunkenness and more					
									and later night-time noise and					
									disturbance to residents.					
									Combined with the greater					
									willingness of the Council to grant					
									late licences to new premises it will make things a lot worse for residents.					
									For example, the Council gave a late licence to a restaurant that was					
									icence to a restaurant that was opened on the ground floor of the					
									block of flats where I live even					
									though previous occupants of the					
									commercial premises had not served alcohol or opened late. The council					
		The extension of licensing hours will							even allowed outdoor service. That					
	No (please tell us more	lead to more drunkenness and more late night noise and disturbance to						No (please tell us more	was bad enough with the current licensing hours. It would be even					
W1T 2AG I'm a resident	below)	residents	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure		licensing hours. It would be even worse with the new.	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure	
									It is totally not in the interest of residents. The extra 30 minutes will					
									mean that we will be woken at a					
									later time by intoxicated customers shouting once they leave a licensed					
									premises. This will drive residents					
		It is totally not in the interest of							out of our area. The noise at closing					
		residents. The extra 30 minutes will mean that we will be woken at a							time is already getting to unbearable levels, so extendign that by 30					
		later time by intoxicated customers							minutes will affect the sleep of my					
w1t 2bj I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	shouting once they leave a licensed premises	Not Answered	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	Unsure	No (please tell us more below)	family and all th eother local residents.	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure	
I'm a member of a	No felence to "	n . #								No. (-1				
community group or WC1E 6JW organisation	No (please tell us more below)	It affects residents by potentially adding thirty minutes to licences.	Yes	Yes	 Yes	Yes	Yes	No (please tell us more below)	Half an hour extension will affect residents' ability to sleep.	No (please tell us more below)	Needs more rigiidity	Yes	Yes	

nw1.7NH	i'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	in Delancey Street is the continual impact on them late of night. The existing Policy is reasonably balanced. The revised framework Hours Policy destroys that balance late at night and in the early morning.  The Policy also treats the Public Nuisance Licensing Objective differently than the other objectives, Prevention of Crime and Disorder (4.18)  We are committed to reducing crime and disorder across the borough and ensuring that Camden is a safe place that is a vibrant part of London. The Council's vision is to reduce crime and the fear of crime across the borough by working with partners and the fear of crime across the borough by working with partners and the communities we serve.  Public Safety (4.41)  The Licensing Authority expects visitors and performers to be kept safe on licensed premises.  Prevention of Public Nuisance (4.44)  We are already dangerously on the cusp of Fitzrobs abcoming an overflow of Soho - and any extension of licensing hours will turn an area that just about has a finely turned tolerable balance of residents (this is highly residental area) and the	Unsure	The emphasis on the pre- application advice service is  welcome. An applicant that  makes use  of the service will most likely  submit a better quality  application. Early engagement  with  residents and responsible  authorities always benefits  applicants, thowever this  cannot be  required of applicants, and so  will improve it only for those  applicants who take  advantage of it.  If the number is low then  there will be little overall  benefit.  Yes	The measures that are being encouraged will be effective as long as they are properly implemented. Recent studies on 'Ask for Angela', for example, have shown that whist premises claim to have implemented these types of measures they have not been done effectively. It is important that there is a process of ensuring that they are in place through covert visits to premises. Where they form part of Licensing Conditions they also need to be enforced.	These measures need to be enforce in order to ensure effectiveness. There need to be regular covert visits.	d Unsure	Not sure now this is checked.	No (please tell us more below)	There is no mention of accessibility in the Hate and intolerance section of the policy.	below)	The 30 minutes extra only adds to further impact on the neighbourhood as to takes further noise and late night activity further into the late night and early hours just at a time when residents have the right to expect a quieter time of day in order to sleep. Delancey Street is a good case in point - as it's a noisy road from day time traffic and by 9pm traffic is reducing and the street becomes significantly quieter-so having patrons leaving late night venues in the early hours - suddenly creates a noise impact and wakes residents up.  This does not create a balance of interests between businesses and residents.	Unsure	Whilst the approach to monitoring and enforcement is a common senson in the interment of the common senson in the interment is a common senson in the interment in the interment is a common senson in the interment in the i	No (please tell us more	to be effective the measures require resources from all of the Responsible Authorities and a way in which residents can report, effectively, issues to the Council and have it recorded and investigated. One of the major issues associated with Licensed Premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to Cumulative Impact). Without a reliable in the street of the Council to have the data require to adequately assess the impact on the Licensing Objectives and so take adequate action	d Unsure	
W17 28J	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	leisure drinking/dining sector into something very different indeed. Recent reports by the World Health Organisation tells us the medical harm caused by the drinking of alcohol - with this knowledge available to Camden it is mystifying as to why Camden Council is proposing actions that would lead to greater consumption of dangerous alcohol. And all this without even touching on the problems caused by drunken customers leaving let at night - an increased threat of violence, sleep disturbed for residents, the detritus left by intoxicated patrons (who regularly use Colville Place as a lavatory)  We were expecting business friendly licensing and some of it is but on balance what was originally proposed has been out back in favour of bas he ent us back in favour of has been out back in favour of has been out back in favour of health and the substance the substance where the substance the substance th	Unsure	the consultation re the redevelopment of Crabtree Fields was long and expensive - but ultimately residents concerns were not acted upon. it seems that a consultation is enough below)	even considering an extension of licensing hours shows that Women's safety at night is something for debate is applicable to worked when in Camden Venues. Our venues are safe and well. As a woman I am not safe in the streets of Camden and when I finish work! do not hang around to enjoy the venues.	as per above. The World Health Organisation states that any drinkin of alcohol in injunious to heath - Camden wouldn't be suggesting increasing moking- but Camden is suggesting greater alcohol consumption		when people are drunk they are more likely to be abusive. as a gay man I know this to my cost	Unsure		Yes	we are already at a tipping point in IFITOVIA: The long term residential community who lives here, and have chosen to live here, enjoy the mix of business, leisure and residential living. however a further push into the lucrative "ingitime economy" will massively impact on the lives of residents. also many commercial premises suffer from the drunken behaviour of other commercial premises customers as I've said there is a very fine balance in Fitzrovia between residents and commerce which just about works. allowing alcohol serving premises to open longer will damage that balance. 30 minutes extra is not enough. Please consider one hour. Special dispensation should be given to non alcohol led premises to open later so we have a more safe diverse offering where of free in whe way we have a more safe diverse offering whe way ear more safe diverse offering whe way ear more safe diverse offering whe way ear more safe diverse offering whe have a more safe diverse offering whe way ear more safe diverse offering where of the safe safe way and safe where safe diverse offering where safe diverse offering where safe diverse offering properties.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
CR7 8QZ	I work in Camden	No (please tell us more below)	complaining residents. This holds back innovation and denies visitors to Camden a border experience.  More must be done to support	Yes	Yes	around Camden because I do not feel safe n the streets. More needs to be done. Yes		Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	with more diverse footfall. This does not help attract new business into the market.	Yes		Yes		Yes	
			businesses coming into Camden, especially arts and culture spaces and	No (please tell us more	Authorities - yes Communities - no - This would be hi-jacked by negative energy against night	This makes venues safer but not the streets to and from the venues - More needs to be done, more police							30 minutes extra for Camden Town is not business friendly, sorry but this is						
NW1 7NE NW1 8AF	I'm a member of a community group or organisation Other	No (please tell us more below)	entertainment. how the revised framework hours policy will impact them late at night and into the early morning. We think the current policy is well balanced and meets the needs of the night time economy and residents.  We do not think the revised hours are balanced and would appear to be skewed in favor of businesses to the detriment of local residents.  Looking at the four licensing objectives we note that the Council is committed to the first three but the working on the final objective states "the Council only expects premise not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents".  We believe that Para 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing atthortive expects that the licensed premises will operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with the personal conflor or amenity of	Unsure Yes	The emphasis on the preapplication advice service is welcome and early engagement with stakeholders will hopefully make for better applications. We note this is not required, but we hope that all applicants will take advantage of the service.	As long at the measures being proposed are properly implemented, and enforced then we believe they will be effective.  Although a number of venues support the "Ask for Angles" initiative, in reality, the implementation is not always consistent. Where this forms part of a License Condition it needs to be enforced with regular covert visits to ensure compliance.	The measures that are being encouraged will be effective as long as they are properly implemented. It is important that there is a proceed of ensuring that they are in place through covert visits to premises.  Where they form part of Licensing Conditions they also need to be enforced.	Ves  Unsure Ves	The Policy certainly encourages inclusivity to be considered but we are unclear that it actually promotes it.  Camden is already very god at this.  But feel outside drinking and eating areas should be allowed later trading	below) Yes	Accessibility is mentioned only in Section 1.36 (Page 14) and not in the Hate and Intolerance section.	No (please tell us more below)	Camden.  To longer need to show that they can stay open up the 30 minutes later without causing problems for their neighbours.  This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep. The Framework Hours, whilst imperfect, should remain the same.  If Framework Hours are to be changed then the accompanying Policy needs to make clear that the paramount consideration is whether or not the Licensing Objectives will be upheld.  We therefore propose that Camden change Paragraph 5.8 as follows: Whatever the hours within which Licensable Activities are proposed, we expect the applicant to consider the risk and volunteer appropriate conditions in their operating schedule to promote the licensing.	Unsure Yes	Enforcement and monitoring is essential. We do not believe that currently enforcement is effective, with examples of businesses operating outside framework hours for many years with no action taken by the licensing authority.  An additional point should be included in the list in 14.18 as follows: whether, if no action is taken, it is likely to have a negative impact on the behaviour of others who may seek to imitate the offence.  But would need to take into consideration the general trading hours of where the unit is operating in if it is the sole rause of the		The approach proposed is adequate, but to be effective, it will require involvement from all of the Responsible Authorities and in a way in which allows residents to easily report issues to the Council and for them to be recorded and investigated effectively.	Yes  Unsure Yes	Please note that Appendix 5 describes them as Model Conditions and not Example Conditions as described in this question.  The list of Model Conditions will be helpful for all those involved in the Litensing process but we think the current list of 182 conditions is unwielely.  Better for the model conditions to be a separate document to allow updates rather than an appendix to the SoLP
WC2B 5AA	I visit Camden regularly fo shopping and recreation	Unsure	Holborn /Covent garden area that seem to operate rogue serving hours and I regularly see anti social behavior being caused by rickshaw operators or those who are too drunk to be admitted into bars who operate after 11pm as they been served by same?	Unsure	See above Yes	All the bars I use seem safe and regularly see both single independent business woman and those out on there own socially enjoying having a drink trouble free or staff stepping in immediately if something seems not right.		Yes	areas should be allowed later trading hours as this allows those who teo or for faith reasons cannot physically enter a restaurant or bar but can enjoy there services in same which would also have the knock on effect of making these streets safer and enhance Point 8 above objectives.		Feel currently the night life in general is very cosmopolitan ,integrated and safe but again feel extending operating hours of and extending alfresco areas in the whole of Camden would improve this tenfold.	Yes	Feel this would naturally stager timings of all customers leaving bars reduce noise and footfall of same etc as not all units would auto use these proposed framework hours as might not be commercial viable.	Yes	in, if it is the sole cause of the complaint as could be combination or all units but they been 10 only in error and reason for the enforcement /not coming from one source that regularly complains about everything licensing and none licensing.			Yes	Know nothing about this type of application but so long as a common sense approach is used and the business plan and idee arbanace the area and they given the correct under takings for all parties then feel it should be granted
			Business needs more that 30 minutes		RA's yes Communities - not at this stage. Advise at pre app needs to be	Venues should take more responsibility in and around their							Each application should be viewed on its merits and have an ability to have longer hours if the application is						
N22 7TH	I'm a personal license holder	Yes	as there are only 2 busy nights a week.	Yes	clear and simple and objective Yes Pre application advise needs to be with responsible	venue after each evening as well.  More duty of care please.  Yes		Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	suitable. 30 minutes doesn't feel like a lot. Framework hours are not balanced	Yes		Yes		Yes	
NW1 8AH	Other	Yes	Business could do with a bit more trading time, especially businesses that contribute to the community and are well run.	Yes	authorities only as the information needs to be objective. Residents will be consulted in the subsequent 28 day consultation. Yes	Good operators want to protect women and vulnerable people.		Yes		Yes		No (please tell us more below)	right now as it was 2 hours and it went down to 30 minutes. We should be judged on its own merits and we could do with another hour instead of 30 minutes.	Yes		Yes		Yes	
SE4 1PD	I work in Camden	Yes	Businesses would benefit from more trading times especially during weekends	Υρς	Pre application should solely be with the responsible authorities as the info needs to be objective. Residents will be consulted on any 28 day consultation	Good Operators want to protect women and all vulnerable people. Venues are generally safe but problems arise on the street. Had an incident with female staff walking to her can not af from venue Yes		γρς		Yes		No (please tell us more	It does not feel balanced and I feel 2 hours being reduced to 30 minutes seems too drastic. A good compromise would be 1 hour.	Yes		Yes		Υρς	
NW1 8QR	I'm a premises licence holder	Yes		Unsure	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure	TOUR OCT HOUS.	Yes		Yes		Yes	

			Licensing Objective "The prevention										fact that none of the Possible					
			of public nuisance" is weak, and much weaker than the council's										Measures to Address Public Nuisance (paras 4.49 et seg) can realistically be					
			proposals for its crime and disorder										expected to have any effect on the					
			and proitecting children from harm										conduct of patrons once they are					
			objectives. Because of this the policy										outside the immediate vicinity of					
			as drafted is imbalanced in favour of applicants for licenses to the										licensed premises. Indeed, on page 86 the council states that it does not				1	
			detriment of residents.										expect licence holders to control the					
			The Licensing Act 2003 states that a										behaviour of customers once they are away from licensed premises,				1	
			licensing act 2003 states that a licensing authority must carry out its		[								and cannot impose conditions on					
			functions under this Act ("licensing										licences that require them to do so.					
			functions") with a view to promoting the licensing objectives, including the										Extending the terminal hours therefore increases the probablity of					The conditions appear helpful as far as they go, but the council is -
			*prevention* of public nuisance.										uncontrolled anti-social behaviour					understandably - unable to require
			The Act is unambiguous: the policy objective which the Licensing										later into the evening when residents have the most need of quiet					licensed premises to regulate the conduct of departing patrons once
			Authority is required to promote is										enjoyment of their homes and when					they are beyond the immediate
			prevention of public nuisance.										the likelihood of criminal or					vicinity of the venue. As a result
			Para 4.4 of the draft policy states:										disorderly conduct is higher (acknowledged by the council at para					there can be no license conditions that would alleviate negative
			"The Licensing Authority expect the										5.2). This is contrary to the council's					cumulative impacts. It is for this
			operation of licensed premises not to unreasonably interfere with the										duty to meet the objective of					reason that the Authority's
			personal comfort or amenity of										preventing crime and disorder and public nuisance. The extension of					consideration of cumulative impact needs to be far more rigorous and
		r No (please tell us more										No (please tell us more	framework hours will therefore					methodical than the proposals set
WC2N 5NT	shopping and recreation	below)	community." This is does not meet Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	Unsure		below)	undermine the prevention of crime	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure	out in this draft - see below.
													It does not consider the affects it will					
													have on residents. Residents have already suffered with					
													reduced parking options due to					
					1	Lance death and the							restaurant street expansion since the					
			It doesn't consider the residents that		1	I am a single women living alone and for the first time in 30 years I feel u	1						pandemic. It seems that London are favouring its businesses instead of					
			have houses metres away from the		1	safe in my own area. I have lived in							residents more and more.					
		No (please toll us more	restaurants and bars that will be leaving their clients on the streets No (please tell us more	In my experience local authorities have not been	No (please tell us more	Fitzrovia my whole life and only the past year or so has the area felt						No (please toll us more	Does the major want us out and immigrants in? That's what it looks	No (please toll us more		No (please tell us more		
W1T 2BJ	I'm a resident	below)	causing noise after closure below)	useful to help residents	below)	unsafe.	Unsure		Unsure	Unsure		below)	like.	below)		below)	Unsure	
	<del></del>		It is obvious that extending the ease															
			with which licensed premises can extend their opening hours is in															
			direct opposition to the interests of												We have had so many problems - the			Assisting applicants to increase their
			residents in the area. In fact i would propose that any premises near		1										onus is always on residents to prove that nuisances are unliveable with.			chances of extending their hours etc is NOT a good thing. all they do is
			residential areas should have their		1								Do NOT extend terminal hours. This		Do nothing to make it easier for			work with the system. they dont
W1T 2BN	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more		Definitely needed	No (please tell us more	I reckon you need to do a lot more to protect women	No (please tell us more	No - you must do a great deal more to protect women	Henre	Harura			is not necessary and is in fact harmful for everyone concerned	No (please tell us more helow)		No (please tell us more below) The rights of residents are not effectively recognised	No (please tell us more	care at all about disrupting residents'
	I'm a premises licence	below)	extended res	Delinitely needed	belowj	protect women	below)	to protect women	Offsure	Ullsure		belowj	tor everyone concerned	below)	over the system the way they do	below) effectively recognised	belowj	lives
NW1 8NZ	holder	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	
			clean, safe, and vibrant NTE, supported by a visible police	consultations with trained and objective responsible				I urge LB Camden to incorporate the					grant to develop business friendly licensing. Initially 2 hours was					for nightclubs. 20 plus CCTV conditions for deep
			presence, SOS buses, marshalls,	authorities can be beneficia				Home Office guidance for spiking					proposed but after hostile reception					discounting supermarkets who are
			British Transport Police (BTP) and a	it's crucial that this process				into its forthcoming Crime and					this has been reduced to 30 minutes					not responsible for consumption tells
			good cleansing service as services that mirror those available to	doesn't become a tool for imposing excessive condition	ons			Policing bill.					extra time; this is not very business friendly as, if you apply and if you are					me that supermarkets walk away scot free from any serious kind of scrutiny
			daytime businesses. I see no	on new businesses. Such				Furthermore, I recommend that all					successful- after pre app- after 28 day	1				or accountability, again!
			indication that these vital services are being adequately addressed in	conditions can set unrealist expectations among resider		As original signatories to the Mayors Woman's Night Safety Charter		venues receive training on how to manage spiking incidents, including					consultation- after planning you will be dragged into the late night levy		I would say yes but it would be very helpful for licensed premises to		1	Why is it that a fledgling grass roots
			the current proposal.	and authorities, ultimately		LabTech are supportive of any		the isolation of offending items and					for one hours extra weekend trading		understand.			music venue is held to a higher
				hindering business growth.		measures to improve woman's		preservation of evidence. The police					per week.					standard than a deep-discounting
			The interests of residents will only be served if the council fully considers	This approach can create barriers to entry, particular	iy	safety. Measures to train night time economy staff and managers is a very	,	should collaborate with these venue: to improve responses to spiking	]				The fees for this plus time and		<ul> <li>What is the evident threshold for a complaint from a resident?</li> </ul>			supermarket?
			and acts on the findings of the	for small businesses, arts		positive move and to be encouraged.		reports and increase the likelihood o	f				energy against return of investment		- How do the authorities filter			As long as they are suggested as
			citizens forum and the comprehensive evening and NTE	groups, and grassroots mus venues, rather than fostering		We must remember however that the safety of woman must also be a		prosecution. Additionally, test kits should be readily available at all late-	.				will rule out any take up of all but the best resourced which does not		vexatious and frivolous complainants?			guidance but this could be quickly
										1				1				open to abuse when insisting every
			surveys conducted in 2024. It is	a supportive environment.		priority when walking though		night premises.					support small businesses or grass		- Why should the history of a			open to abuse when insisting every condition is accepted or they can't
			essential that the voices of the broad	a supportive environment.		priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and		night premises.					roots culture venues. These		premises be used against a new			condition is accepted or they can't operate in "certain areas" creating
			essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their		priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for					roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation		premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Earlier notification of noise			condition is accepted or they can't operate in "certain areas" creating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact
			essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible		priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crimes	5				roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might		premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Earlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can			condition is accepted or they can't operate in "certain areas" creating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced
			essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their	m	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for	5 5				roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation		premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Earlier notification of noise			condition is accepted or they can't operate in "certain areas" creating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact
			essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo	rm at ort.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crimes through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative	5				roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter. I believe there should be		premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Earlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction. The Hackney Nights scheme is			condition is accepted or they can't operate in "certain areas" creating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Volume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb.
			essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents about	rm at ort.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crimes through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all	5				roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.		premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Earlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in			condition is accepted or they can't operate in "certain areas" creating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Volume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb.  I would urge caution accepting any
			essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this brough. Now is the	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.				No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as		premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for			condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW1 ROH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are non o overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT Et and licensing ever	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo They are potential custome	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Earlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in "certain areas" creating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Volume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb.  I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous
NW18AH NW1 8QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this brough. Now is the	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW1 8QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights under the council of the (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW1 8QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opiniors on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights the time to act on the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifetile to public and nightime venues	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Ves Ves	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opiniors on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights the time to act on the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifetile to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Ves	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not possible of the consultations are not possible or the consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will in o doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT Era and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights when the consultation of the consultati	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW1 8QH	Other Work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights to the council has gathered the stead another hour if not more) are a lifetime to pulse and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Carnden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Ves Ves	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights to the council has gathered the stead another hour if not more) are a lifetime to pulse and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Carnden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights when the control of the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifetine to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Carnden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights time to act on the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifetine to pulse and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident—engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of pobing holes through whatever	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Carnden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights to the council has gathered the most extensive another hour if not more) are a lifetime to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to them -	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Carnden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Ves Ves	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW13QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the voices of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights time to act on the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifetine to pulse and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident—engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of pobing holes through whatever	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Carnden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights view to the set of the set of the valuable insights in the set of the valuable insights another hour if not more) are a lifetine to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Canden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a flant cultural pulse will find ways of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to themsother'y engaged on that front.  The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the sourge of	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses practively infor and educate residents about any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a.	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Carnden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes	Yes Ves	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overstandowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT E and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights which is the council of the valuable insights which is the council of the council o	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively informand educate residents about any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a.  Yes! People will have their chance to speak out and	rm at rt.	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at night is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farifier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Ves Ves	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights who will be the set of the set o	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively inform and educate residents about any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a very consideration in the council would design a very consideration to the council would design a very consideration to show the council would design a very consideration to show the council would design a very consideration to show the council would design a very consideration to show the council would design a very consideration to show the council would design a very consideration to show the council would design a very consideration to show the council would be considered to the council would be consid	rm st st st st yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As saild earlier, adoption of the women's night safety charter is		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the control of the co	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses practively infor and educate residents about any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a visual control would design a visual council would design a visual visu	ym st tr. Tr. Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at hight is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Permises cannot do his on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety tharter is applaudable and will no doubt		night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit.	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Ves Ves	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW1 8QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the control of the con	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents about any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a visual control would design a visual properties of the council would be considered the council would be considered and the council would be considered the council would be considered to the council woul	yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at inglist is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig. to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inglist safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety charter is applaudable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be translased with a	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit.	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights which is the control of the valuable insights which was another hour if not more) are a lifeline to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everthing keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of pobling holes through whatever application is put forward to themsot hery're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spining and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westmisser after dark. If Camden is bold in embracing these new policies, it will bring the whole	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a wind the council would design a support of the council would des	yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As saild earlier, adoption of the women's night safety that the variety of the women's night safety that the variety of the women's night safety that the variety of the women's night safety charter is applicable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be tarnished with a horrible crime happening under their happening under their happening under their	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit  See above. And as said, 30min is not ambitious enough. We go on holiday to other European cities, enjoying normal opening hours well into 2. 3am on weekingths, where the world clearly doesn't come reashing down	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the control of the con	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents about any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a visual control would design a visual v	yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at inglist is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Canden should consider schemes like Purple Fig. to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inglist safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety charter is applaudable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be translased with a	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.		Yes Yes	Yes, in Camden, "WE CALL IT OUT!"	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit.	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	Ves  Yes  For starters. Longer hours are needed. Rushing people out	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW18QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the council has gathered the most another hour if not more) are a lifetile to pubs and night time venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find vays of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The socrity measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need a naternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westminster after dark. If canden is bold in embracing these new policies, it will bring the whole borough a wetcome cash injection not just from locals and other Londoners, but from tourists who will	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a very consideration of the council would design a relevant authorities will all engaged with and involved. This should streamline the whole process and allow for petty objectors from the worst NIMBYs to be quashwhilst highlighting actual	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at kinght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety parties cannot continue to the properties of the women's night safety charter is applicable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be transibled with a horrible crime happening under their coff, let alone be responsible for an innocent victim's permanent traums. This policy helps to erect the correct.	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Yes Yes Yes  LGSTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours	Yes Yes	Accessibility should be mandatory in	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit an experiment of the size of the	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
NW18AH NW1 8QH	Other I work in Camden	Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights time to act on the valuable insights to the standard of the set least another hour if not more) are a lifetine to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident—engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of pobing holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westminster after dark. If Canden is bold in embracing these new policies, it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and other londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Only	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppor They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a least support of the council would design a least support of the council would design a support of the council would design a least support of the council would be supported by the council would be council to the council would be council to the coun	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety harder in the woman's night safety harder in the woman's night safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to		No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this master.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit to other furupean cities, enjoying normal opening hours well into 2. Sam on weeknights, where the world clearly doesn't come rashing down around local residents, only to then come home and foliar yattempt at even coming close to that.	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 SHY		Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the council has gathered the most another hour if not more) are a lifetile to pubs and night time venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find vays of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The socrity measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need a naternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westminster after dark. If canden is bold in embracing these new policies, it will bring the whole borough a wetcome cash injection not just from locals and other Londoners, but from tourists who will	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a very consideration of the council would design a relevant authorities will all engaged with and involved. This should streamline the whole process and allow for petty objectors from the worst NIMBYs to be quashwhilst highlighting actual	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Canden at kinght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety parties cannot continue to the properties of the women's night safety charter is applicable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be transibled with a horrible crime happening under their coff, let alone be responsible for an innocent victim's permanent traums. This policy helps to erect the correct.	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Yes Yes Yes  LGSTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit an experiment of the size of the	Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 5HY	i'm a resident	Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights time to act on the valuable insights to the standard of the set least another hour if not more) are a lifetine to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident—engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of pobing holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westminster after dark. If Canden is bold in embracing these new policies, it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and other londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Only	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppor They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a least support of the council would design a least support of the council would design a support of the council would design a least support of the council would be supported by the council would be council to the council would be council to the coun	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inglish is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inglist safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety Abarter is applicable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be tarnished with a horrible crime happening under their oof, let alone be responsible for an innocent victim's permanent trauma. This policy helps to erect the correct measures for 21st century dangers in particular.	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit amount of the consequence o	Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 5HY	i'm a resident	Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights time to act on the valuable insights to the standard of the set least another hour if not more) are a lifetine to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident—engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of pobing holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westminster after dark. If Canden is bold in embracing these new policies, it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and other londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Only	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppor They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a least support of the council would design a least support of the council would design a support of the council would design a least support of the council would be supported by the council would be council to the council would be council to the coun	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at kinght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As saild earlier, adoption of the women's night safety harter is applicated the safety of the women's night safety charter is applicable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be tarnished with a horrible crime happening under their coof, let alone be responsible for an innocent victim's permanent trauma. This policy helps to erect the correct measures for 21st century dangers in particular.  As well as the venues being made safer for women, the streets	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in	No (please tell us more below) Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for inferent types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit amount of the consultation of the cons	Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' recrating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact reated where only well resourced High Volume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb.  I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N13 SHY	i'm a resident	Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on ATT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights view of the set of the set of the valuable insights with the set of the set	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a discount of the council would design a support of the council would design a discount of the council would design and the council would design a discount of the council would	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety handle should be preception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety handle should be a final to doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be tarnished with a horrible crime happening under their roof, let alone be responsible for an innocent victim's permanent trauma. This policy helps to erect the correct measures for 21st century dangers in particular.  As well as the venues being made safer for women, the streets (especially routes to and from the	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in all venues. Well done for highlighting it.	No (please tell us more below) Yes Yes Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit to the furopean continues enough. We go on holiday to other European cities, enjoying normal opening hours well into 2-3 amon weekenjike, where the world clearly doesn't come crashing down around local residents, only to then come home and foil any attempt a even coming close to that.  Not a sleepy suburb.  There should be more flexibility for opening later than 12.30 as Camden is renowed all over the world and	Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 SHY NW1 8PS	i'm a resident	Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights time to act on the valuable insights to the standard of the set least another hour if not more) are a lifetine to pubs and nighttime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident—engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of pobing holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westminster after dark. If Canden is bold in embracing these new policies, it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and other londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Only	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively infor and educate residents abou any changes to build suppo They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a discount of the council would design a support of the council would design a discount of the council would design and the council would design a discount of the council would	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at kinght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's inght safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As saild earlier, adoption of the women's night safety harter is applicated the safety of the women's night safety charter is applicable and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be tarnished with a horrible crime happening under their coof, let alone be responsible for an innocent victim's permanent trauma. This policy helps to erect the correct measures for 21st century dangers in particular.  As well as the venues being made safer for women, the streets	Yes Yes	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in	No (please tell us more below) Yes Yes Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for inferent types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit amount of the consultation of the cons	Yes Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 5HY NW1 8PS	I'm a resident 'I'm a personal license holder	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifeline to pubs and nightime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westmister after dark. If Camden is bold in embracing these new policies; it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and orther Londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Cnily Way is NOT Soho.	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively informand educate residents about any changes to build support hey are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a design a design and the council would be designed to the council would b	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As saild earlier, adoption of the women's night safety harder is applicated to the women's night safety charter is applicated by the safety of the sa	Yes  Yes  No (please tell us more below)	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in all venues. Well done for highlighting it.  There aren't many LGBT or cultural	No (please tell us more below) Yes Yes Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit.  See above. And as said, 30min is not ambitious enough. We go on holiday to other European cities, enjoying normal opening hours well into 2.3 man on weekinghts, where the world calery doesn't come rashing down around local residents, only to then come home and foil any attempt at even coming close to that. London is a major European capital. Not a seep visurb.  The reshould be more flexibility for opening later than 12.30 six farden is renowned all over the ord and should have potential for late is renowned all over thour for later world and should have potential for late	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 5HV NW1 8PS SE233UJ	I'm a resident 'I'm a personal license holder	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by anti-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on ATT and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights view of the set of the set of the valuable insights with the set of the set	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively informand educate residents about any changes to build support hey are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a design a design and the council would be designed to the council would b	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purgle Flag to undergin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Premises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As said earlier, adoption of the women's night safety that the increase in footfall.  As placed and will no doubt translate to practical measures. No venue wants to be transibed with a horrible crime happening under their conf. Jet alone be responsible for an innocent victim's permanent trauma. This policy helps to erect the correct measures for 21st century dangers in particular.  As well as the venues being made safer for women, the street's (especially routes to and from the stations) and the canal towpoth should be made safe.	Yes  Yes  No (please tell us more below)	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in all venues. Well done for highlighting it.  There aren't many LGBT or cultural	No (please tell us more below) Yes Yes Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit matter.  See above. And as said, 30min is not ambitious enough. We go on holiday to other European cities, enjoing normal opening hours of the propose of the control of the cont	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 5HV NW1 8PS	I'm a resident I'm a personal license holder I work in Camden	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifeline to pubs and nightime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westmister after dark. If Camden is bold in embracing these new policies; it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and orther Londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Cnily Way is NOT Soho.	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively informand educate residents about any changes to build support hey are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a design a design and the council would be designed to the council would b	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As saild earlier, adoption of the women's night safety harder is applicated to the women's night safety charter is applicated by the safety of the sa	Yes  Yes  No (please tell us more below)	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in all venues. Well done for highlighting it.  There aren't many LGBT or cultural	No (please tell us more below) Yes  Yes  Yes  Unsure	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit.  See above. And as said, 30min is not ambitious enough. We go on holiday to to other European citles, enjoying normal opening hours well fitted 2-3am on weekinglist, where the world clearly doesn't come crashing down around local residents, only to then come home and foil any attempt at even coming close to that. London is a major European capital. Not a sleepy suburb.  There should be more flexibility for opening later than 12.30 as Cunden is revowned all over the world and should have potential for late openings.  We are a Central Camden Town Bar	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 SHY NW1 8PS SE233UJ	I'm a resident I'm a personal license holder I work in Camden	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifeline to pubs and nightime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westmister after dark. If Camden is bold in embracing these new policies; it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and orther Londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Cnily Way is NOT Soho.	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively inform and educate residents about any changes to build suppo. They are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a design and the council would design and the council would be design as the council would be design and th	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As saild earlier, adoption of the women's night safety harder is applicated to the women's night safety charter is applicated by the safety of the sa	Yes  Yes  No (please tell us more below)	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in all venues. Well done for highlighting it.  There aren't many LGBT or cultural	No (please tell us more below) Yes  Yes  Yes  Unsure Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit matter.  See above. And as said, 30min is not ambitious enough. We go on holiday to other European cities, enjoing normal opening hours well into 2. Jam on weekinghts, where the world dearly doesn't come crashing down around local residents, only to then come home and foil any attempt at even corning (obes in the come home and foil any stempt at the come home and foil any attempt at even corning (obes to that. Undoon is a major European capital. Not a sleepy submoded in the come home and foil any attempt at even corning (obes of the come home and foil any attempt at even corning (obes of the come home and foil any attempt at even corning (obes of the come home and foil any attempt at even corning one and the come home and foil any attempt at even corning one of the come home and foil any attempt at even corning one of the come home and foil any attempt at the come home and foil any attemp	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this
N19 5HY NW1 8PS SE233UJ	I'm a resident I'm a personal license holder I work in Camden	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	essential that the volces of the broad range of individuals who participated in these consultations are not overshadowed by ant-licensing groups who will no doubt flood this survey with well rehearsed attack lines. The council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights with the council has gathered the most extensive and diverse set of opinions on NTE and licensing ever sought in this borough. Now is the time to act on the valuable insights (which, if we're honest, need to be at least another hour if not more) are a lifeline to pubs and nightime venues already hanging on by a thread as costs won't stop heaping and prices of literally everything keep going up. Camden already has one of the most involved resident-engagement practices in London and those who live to oppose anything resembling a faint cultural pulse will find ways of poking holes through whatever application is put forward to them-so they're good on that front. The security measures read as robust and rightfully highlight the scourge of spiking and the importance of women's safety. As for visitors, they need an alternative to the desolate wasteland that is Westmister after dark. If Camden is bold in embracing these new policies; it will bring the whole borough a welcome cash injection not just from locals and orther Londoners, but from tourists who will then spread the word that the Cnily Way is NOT Soho.	a supportive environment.  Hospitality businesses are already engaged with their communities. Responsible businesses proactively informand educate residents about any changes to build support hey are potential custome.  A key consideration is how the council would design a design a design and the council would be designed to the council would b	yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	priority when walking though Camden, taking buses, taxis and trains. The perception of Camden at inght is not great, this needs to be improved by collaboration and Camden should consider schemes like Purple Flag to underpin this very laudable commitment to woman's night safety. Permises cannot do this on their own and improved perception is a key to unlock an increase in footfall.  As saild earlier, adoption of the women's night safety harder is applicated to the women's night safety charter is applicated by the safety of the sa	Yes  Yes  No (please tell us more below)	night premises.  Camden has the opportunity to lead in creating a hostile environment for perpetrators of these heinous crime through a zero-tolerance policy. With improved training and awareness, all premises could support this initiative and make a significant impact. It may be beneficial to examine how Canterbury addressed a similar spike.	Ves  Ves  Ves  LGBTQ places in particular would benefit from later opening hours a often, they provide the safe space	to	Accessibility should be mandatory in all venues. Well done for highlighting it.  There aren't many LGBT or cultural	No (please tell us more below) Yes  Yes  Yes  Unsure Yes	roots culture venues. These consequences are unintended and I am encouraged by the consultation on the Late Night Levy which might give regard to this matter.  I believe there should be framework hours for different types of business and the areas they propose to operate in as one size fits all does not fit.  See above. And as said, 30min is not ambitious enough. We go on holiday to to other European citles, enjoying normal opening hours well fitted 2-3am on weekinglist, where the world clearly doesn't come crashing down around local residents, only to then come home and foil any attempt at even coming close to that. London is a major European capital. Not a sleepy suburb.  There should be more flexibility for opening later than 12.30 as Cunden is revowned all over the world and should have potential for late openings.  We are a Central Camden Town Bar	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	premises be used against a new holder of a premises licence? - Farlier notification of noise complaints to premises licenses can lead to less friction.  The Hackney Nights scheme is excellent at this and the policy in Hackney, Hackney Nights should be ported across to Camden ASAP for	needed. Rushing people out encourages binge drinking. This is a	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	condition is accepted or they can't operate in 'certain areas' reating the same onerous conditions and bars to entry that Cumulative Impact created where only well resourced High Yolume Vertical Drinking establishments can absorb. I would urge caution accepting any more potentially onerous conditioning offered in this

	1		T		1								1	ı				1		
			erode residents' ability to sleep, move through the area safely and to																	
			seek effective help from authorities. Recently we have seen a jump in																	
			drug dealing, pickpocketing and violence in Seven Dials. At times I am																	
			frightened to leave my home. It feels as if the leisure industry has																	
			successfully lobbied for an extension of framework hours and the council																	
			has forgotten about the individuals who live in the area. Half an hour																	
			may not sound like a long time but it																	
			is when you are lying in bed trying to sleep. Furthermore the noise and																	
			the fear do not stop once the licensing hours are over. Premises																	
			can stay open for another half hour after which patrons seem to be in no																	
			rush to leave or to walk quietly through residential area. Most																	
			residents have to get up in the morning to work and do not have the											Please see point 6. The proposed						
			option of making up for lost sleep with a lie in.											extension of framework hours is solely for the benefit of business						
		No (please tell us more	I don't see why the framework hours need to be extended when premises										No (please tell us more	interests and shows a complete disregard for the residents and the						
WC2H 9NU	I'm a resident	below)	already can apply to be open beyond	Yes	Unsure	Į.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure		below)	rising crime in the area.  I believe the city should have longer	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
			It's a tough thing to balance, but it											licensing, and borough like Camden, which are central, should not be so						
			does feel like this new draft policy is more fair - ie that the voices of a few											restrictive. Bust nighttime streets are safer nighttime streets, and that's	:					
			residents don't have as much negative impact on the socialising of			It's good to see progress in this and							No (please tell	especially so if terminal hours are spread more evenly throughout the						
NW5 4BA	I'm a resident	Yes	many thousands  My primary concern is the impact of	Yes	Yes	It's good to see progress in this area and Camden taking a lead	Yes		Yes		Yes		below)	spread more evenly throughout the night time	Yes		Yes	1	Unsure	
			nuisance at night. Expecting																	
			businesses to not "unseasonably interfer" with residents amenity is																	
			not sufficient and liable to be abused. Furthermore the removal of											Many residents are already						
			cumulative impact zone fails to keep the balance and will definitely shift											negatively impacted by late night nuisance and this will exacerbate it.						
Wc2h9pa	I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	interests to businesses to the harm of residents.	Unsure	Yes	,	Yes		Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	There is no differentiation between good and bad businesses.	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	
														to normal operating hours for a venue. I live in the West End						
														surrounded by lots of licensed premises. During shopping hours						
			The new policy unfairly shifts the											there is generally no real nuisance - licensed premises activity is						
			balance towards business and visitors. Under the old policy, the											subsumed in general commercial activity. After shops close and until						
			earlier framework hours and cumulative impact policy areas											most restaurants close and theatres let out, noise and activity from						
			protected residents by requiring businesses to show how they											licensed premises is noticeable but also to be expected and occurs for						
			wouldn't cause a public nuisance to local residents at times and places											the most part during hours when some noise and disturbance can be		Enforcement and monitoring is currently inadequate. I live directly		Enforcement and monitoring is currently inadequate. I live directly		
			that residents really need protection. The shift in policies mean legitimate											expected. So there is some nuisance but not unreasonable. Late at night		across from licensed premises that violate their license conditions every		across from licensed premises that violate their license conditions even		
				1															У	
			concerns of residents will be											noise and activity from licensed		day - deliveries and rubbish pick-ups		day - deliveries and rubbish pick-ups		
			downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens											premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that		typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my		typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my		
			downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is											premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that		typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for		typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for		
			downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will											premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the	3	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left		typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that he public nuisance of			The measures need to be effectively		The measures need to be effectivel		It pays lip service to inclusivity and				premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and		typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy his		typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		No (please tell us more	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that even possible - meanwhile resident physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents		If used I agree it would be an improvement but it will only	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to			No (please tell us more	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight -it could be argued that this already tips the balance to o much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late -		spoically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an	No (please tell us more	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	They will only be helpful if
WC2H 9PA	I'm a resident I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below)	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that even possible - meanwhile resident physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents	Unsure		implemented for them to work -	Unsure	implemented for them to work -	No (please tell us more below)	sets out non-discrimination	Unsure	Not sure where this is addressed specifically.	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young streams.)		spoically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an	No (please tell us more below)	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	They will only be helpful if implemented and actually followed.
WC2H 9PA WC2H 8LH		No (please tell us more below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that even possible - meanwhile resident physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	Unsure Yes	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	No (please tell us more below) Yes	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to	Unsure Yes		No (please tell us more below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight -it could be argued that this already tips the balance to o much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late -		spically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that even possible - meanwhile resident physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	Unsure Yes	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	No (please tell us more below) Yes	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to	Unsure Yes		No (please tell us more below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight -it could be argued that this already tips the balance to o much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late -		spically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at
	I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that even possible - meanwhile resident physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents	Unsure Ves	improvement but it will only	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	Unsure Yes	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	No (please tell us more below) Yes	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to	Unsure Yes		No (please tell us more below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive	No (please tell us more below) Yes	spically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	implemented and actually followed.
	I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that even possible - meanwhile resident by bysical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Ves  Yes  Yes agreed but this should	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	Unsure Yes	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	No (please tell us more below) Yes	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to	Unsure Yes		No (please tell us more below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midright - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and expectably our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.	No (please tell us more below) Yes	spically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues  Deliveries and refuse collections as
	I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy dosen't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Ves  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Ucrosses should	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.	Unsure Yes	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	No (please tell us more below) Yes	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to	Unsure Yes		No (please tell us more below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that	No (please tell us more below) Yes	spically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues  Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not
	I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by ant social behaviour,	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Ves  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero	Unsure Yes	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation	No (please tell us more below) Yes	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to	Unsure Ves		No (please tell us more below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk. They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubl premises staff. In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by any social behavior, impacted by any social behavior, impacted by any social behavior.	No (please tell us more below) Yes	spically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues. Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at
	I'm a premises licence	No (please tell us more below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by ant social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.	Unsure Yes	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an an	below) Yes	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to	Unsure Yes		No (please tell us more below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and its social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours	No (please tell us more below) Yes	spically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation. No (please tell us more	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxformers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Unsure Yes  No (please tell us more	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	spically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile resident's physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more	Unsure Yes Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition	Unsure Yes No (please tell us more below)	implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an a for drinks to be held away from	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Unsure Yes  No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with youn children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk. They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorine parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.	below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation. No (please tell us more	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxformers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Unsure Yes  No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorins parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is other the out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Off licenses/OF sales to close current	below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation. No (please tell us more	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxformers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves Yes No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorine parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions frobiding this, Rubbish is other the out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Officienses/OF sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability.	below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation. No (please tell us more	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxformers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves Yes No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorins parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions for ideliveries despite license conditions forbididing this, Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Off licenses/OFF also to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability. IE: Our unit has a long running issue with being blanded constantly for	Ves Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation. No (please tell us more	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxformers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves  Yes  No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorirs parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions for blood of the lord	below) Yes Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours.
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation. No (please tell us more	implemented for them to work- without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxtomers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves  Yes  No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lories parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions for blood to the left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Off licenses/OF Sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability. IE: Our unit has a long running issue with being blamed constantly for Noise and Anti Social Behavior taking place on a public area near us which is actually being caused by an Off Sales on Druy lane which te has the has seen or seen as well as less on Druy lane which te has the	Ves Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ve	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on volence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxtomers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves  Yes  No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	the same principles are applied to all those shops/supernoticiples are applied to all those shops are app	Ves Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ve	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxtomers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves  No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarket with order and a to a control to the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and indicates any control to the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Order and the same principles are applied to all those shops are applied to	Ves Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ve	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxtomers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves  No (please tell us more below)	specifically.	below) Yes	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with ordinary and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Ordinary and the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Ordinary and ordina	Ves Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Cause the process of the control of the process of th	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ve	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxtomers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves  No (please tell us more below)	See above	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorirs parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Off licenses/Off Sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability.  IE: Our writh has a long running issue with being blamed constantly for Noise and Anti Social Behavior Taking place on a public area near us which is actually being caused by an Off Sales on Drury lane which tech has thinget Cf Gals services regularly the actual guilty parties regardless that they already had to much to drink they already had to much to drink.	Ves  Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Canden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ve	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.  Feel extending current trading times of all affresco offers and adding more areas like this to the whole of the Camden Borough would enhance these measures and make these areas/streets after for all parties late at night.  Then add to this the extra license revenue the above could penerate would hopefully of set adding the		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an arfor drinks to be held away from public if oxtomers cannot be next	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.	Ves  No (please tell us more below)	See above  See above  Again feel more alfresco areas would add to this accessibility from both a	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challeninging. It's already driving	No (please tell us more below) Yes	the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Original Principles are applied to all those	Ves  Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Canden residents, are still severely impacted by anii social behaviour, crime and exosts noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation resolved	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.  Feel extending current trading times of all affresco offers and adding more areas like this to the whole of the Camden Borough would enhance these measures and make these areas/streets after for all parties late at night.  Then add to this the extra license revenue the above could penerate would hopefully of set adding the cost of community police to over see these streets/brase during peak		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an at for drinks to be held away from public if customers cannot be next them due to toilet or other trip?	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.  Diversity Training should be mandatory  Feel Camden has always been	Ves  No (please tell us more below)	See above  See above  Again feel more alfresco areas would add to this accessibility from both a belief and physical point of view as they may not wish to enter or unable	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it tought be argued that this already tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, orime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging, it's already driving residents away.  This should naturally allow the	No (please tell us more below) Yes	the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with Officenses/OH Sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack or with original principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with OHI Clicenses/OH Sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability. It is Our unit has a long running issue with being blaste to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability. It is Our unit has a long running issue with being blaste to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability. It is Our unit has a long running issue with being blaste to close current lope holes that allows for lack of Sales on Orany lane which the has the holes of the sales on Orany lane which the has the hought of the sales on Orany lane which the has the hough of the sales on Orany lane which the has the who at times have been allowed to purchase enough to remain there until s/6AM.  We highlighted this with the unit directly many times to try to solve above but they believe once any of	Ves  Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Canden residents, are still severely impacted by anii social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation resolved  All parties  (Resident,Council,Business)ne	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all eg zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.  Feel extending current trading times of all affresco offers and adding more areas like this to the whole of the Camden Borough would enhance these measures and make these areas/streets safer for all parties late at right. Then add to this the extra license revenue the above could penerate would hopefully of set adding the cost of community police to over set best streets/streets during the cost of community police to over set best streets/streets during peak trading times and offer professional constructive addivice first hand to		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an an for drinks to be held away from public if customers cannot be next them due to toilet or other trip?	pelow) Yes  a No (please tell us more	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.  Diversity Training should be mandatory  Feel Camden has always been inclusive and a positive melting pot of worldwide culture's but need to	Unsure Yes No (please tell us more below)	Again feel more alfresco areas would add to this accessibility from both a belief and physical point of view as they may not with to enter or unable to do so due to same but wish to experience the culture and wibe of a resperience the culture and wibe of a	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this aready the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and expectably our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away.	No (please tell us more below) Yes	the same principles are applied to all those should be same principles are applied to all those should be same principles are applied to all those should be should be should be same principles are applied to all those should be should b	below) Yes  Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parted outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an a inadequate enforcement and	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a president	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile residents' ohysical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Canden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away	Unsure Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation resolved  All parties (Resident,Council,Business)ne ed to feel welcome_safe_supported and	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all ge zero tolerance on violence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.  Feel extending current trading times of all affresco offers and adding more areas like this to the whole of the Camden Borough would enhance these measures and make these areas/streets safer for all parties late at night. Then add to this the extra license revenue the above could generate would hopefully of set adding the cost of community police to over set these streets/traced suring peak trading times and offer professional constructive advice first hand to business units that may need guidance to avoid a review or an		implemented for them to work - without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an art for drinks to be held away from public if outstoners cannot be next them due to toilet or other trip?  Feel we operate to date a positive Ask For Angela program and these would only further enhance and bring bigger exposer to this serious issue and that it is actually a crimin size and the size of	below)  Ves  a  b No (please tell us more below)	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.  Diversity Training should be mandatory  Feel Camden has always been inclusive and a positive melting pot of worldwide culture's but need to make sure from a licensing point of view that any possible hate and	Unsure Yes  No (please tell us more below)	Again feel more alfresco areas would add to this accessibility from both a belief and physical point of view as they may not wish to enter or unable to do so due to same but wish to experience the culture and whe of a licenses premises without feeling they are breaking any beliefs guide they are breaking any beliefs guide	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be expected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it tought be argued that this aready the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and expectably our neighbours with young children) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away.	No (please tell us more below) Yes	the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with the same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with Off monitoring regime.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with Off monitoring regime.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with Off monitoring regime.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with Off licenses/Off Sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkes with Off licenses/Off Sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability.  The value of the same shops with the same shops with the sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack of sales on Drury lane which the has the long that sales on Drury lane which the has the long with the sales on Drury lane which the has the long with the sales of the sales on Drury lane which the has the long with the sales of the sale	below) Yes  Unsure	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorines parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Bubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a premises licence holder	below) Yes	downgraded and, in earlity, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile resident's physical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doson't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Canden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away  Feel if the balanced and neutral benefits for both residents and the business are achieved this would be positive for Canden to grow as an attractive and safe borough and	Ves Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress area should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation  resolved  All parties (Resident, Council, Business) ne ed to feel	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all ge zero tolerance on volence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.  Feel extending current trading times of all alfresco offers and adding more areas like this to the whole of the Camden Borough would enhance these measures and make these measures and make these revenue the above could generate would hopefully of set adding the cost of community police to over see these streets/areas during peak trading times and offer professional constructive advice first hand to business units that may need		implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an art for drinks to be held away from public if outstomers cannot be next them due to toilet or other trip?  Feel we operate to date a positive Ask For Angela program and these would only further enhance and bring bigger exposer to this serious	below)  Ves  a  b No (please tell us more below)	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.  Diversity Training should be mandatory  Feel Camden has always been inclusive and a positive melting pot of worldwide culture's but need to make sure from a licensing point of make sure fr	Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves	Again feel more alfresco areas would add to this accessibility from both a belief and physical point of view as they may not wish to enter or unable to do so due to same but wish to experience the culture and vibe of a licenses premiers without feeling licenses premiers without feeling	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be repected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this aready tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young hidden) want to stay up that late extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make kining in area more challenging, it's already driving residents away.	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorins parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this, Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Off licenses/Off Sales to close current lope holes that allows for lack of accountability licenses, and the same shops with same shops with the same	ves  Unsure  No (please tell us morn	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorines parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Bubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a president	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile resident's onlysical and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Canden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away	Ves Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongeing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation resolved  All parties (Resident,Council,Business)ne ed to feel welcome,safe,supported and there individual rights to live or trade respected.	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all ge zero tolerance on volence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.  Feel extending current trading times of all afferso offers and adding more areas like this to the whole of the Camden Borough would enhance these measures and make these areasysteets after for all parties late at night. Then add to this the extra license revenue the above could generate would hopefully of set adding the cost of community police to over see these streets/areas during peak trading times and offer professional constructive advice first hand to business units that may need guidance to avoid a review or an avoidable time consuming		implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an art for drinks to be held away from public if outstomers cannot be next them due to toilet or other trip?  Feel we operate to date a positive Ask For Angela program and these would only further enhance and bring bigger exposer to this serious issue and that it is actually a crimin offence as currently seems in some formers.	below)  Ves  a  b No (please tell us more below)	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.  Diversity Training should be mandatory  Feel Camden has always been inclusive and a positive melting pot of worldwide culture's but need to make sure from a licensing point or view that any possible hate and intollerance offences are fully and intollerance offences are fully and	Ves  No (please tell us more below)	Again feel more alfresco areas would add to this accessibility from both a belief and physical point of view as they may not wish to experience the culture and vibe of a clicenses prefere the culture and vibe of a licenses prefere the culture and vibe of a licenses prefere che culture and vibe of a licenses prefere che culture and vibe of a licenses prefere checkled due to the lines of refer excluded due to a license or feel excluded due to a license of reference the culture and vibe of a license prefere checkled due to a license or feel excluded due to a license or feel exclud	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be repected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this aready tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young hidden) want to stay up that late - extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging, it's already driving residents away  This should naturally allow the exiting of premises to be more wide spread and less concentrated as will allow customers/patrons a bigger time window to make a decision to leave and leading to hopefully less	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this, Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Off licenses/Off Sales to close current look to the control of the c	ves  Unsure  No (please tell us morn	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorines parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Bubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH  WC2B 5PH	I'm a president	below) Yes	downgraded and, in reality, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile resident's only size and mental health will suffer. The new policy doesn't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Canden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away  Feel if the balanced and neutral benefits for both residents and the business are achieved this would be positive for Canden to grow as an attractive and safe borough and bring the economic growth to our Capital City that will be needed to sustain this.  The relaxation allows for greater business in the area, whist working	Yes Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongeing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses should still have a default policy of no restra licenses until situation resolved  All parties (Resident,Council,Business)ne ed to feel welcome,safe,supported and there individual rights to live or trade respected.  It is vitally important that as a business entering a neighbourhood, we work with	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all ge zero tolerance on volence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.  Feel extending current trading times of all afferso offers and adding more areas like this to the whole of the Camden Borough would enhance these measures and make these areasysteets after for all parties late at night. Then add to this the extra license revenue the above could generate would hopefully of set adding the cost of community police to over see these streets/areas during peak trading times and offer professional constructive advice first hand to business units that may need guidance to avoid a review or an avoidable time consuming		implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an art for drinks to be held away from public if outstomers cannot be next them due to toilet or other trip?  Feel we operate to date a positive Ask For Angela program and these would only further enhance and bring bigger exposer to this serious issue and that it is actually a crimin offence as currently seems in some formers.	below)  Ves  a  b No (please tell us more below)	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.  Diversity Training should be mandatory  Feel Camden has always been inclusive and a positive melting pot of worldwide culture's but need to make sure from a licensing point or view that any possible hate and intollerance offences are fully and intollerance offences are fully and	Ves  No (please tell us more below)  Yes	Again feel more alfresco areas would add to this accessibility from both a belief and physical point of view as they may not wish to experience the culture and vibe of a clicenses prefere the culture and vibe of a licenses prefere the culture and vibe of a licenses prefere che culture and vibe of a licenses prefere che culture and vibe of a licenses prefere checkled due to the lines of refer excluded due to a license or feel excluded due to a license of reference the culture and vibe of a license prefere checkled due to a license or feel excluded due to a license or feel exclud	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be repected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this aready tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young hidden) want to stay up that late - extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging, it's already driving residents away  This should naturally allow the exiting of premises to be more wide spread and less concentrated as will allow customers/patrons a bigger time window to make a decision to leave and leading to hopefully less	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this, Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Off licenses/Off Sales to close current look to the control of the c	ves  Unsure  No (please tell us morn	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorines parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Bubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour
WC2H 8LH	I'm a president	below) Yes	downgraded and, in enalty, once a premise that causes nuisance opens it is a very lengthy process to effect any change for the better if that is even possible - meanwhile resident's hysical and mental health will suffer. The new policy dosen't seem to think that the public nuisance of late night noise that prevents residents from speaking is a serious issue.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging. It's already driving residents away  Feel if the balanced and neutral benefits for both residents and the bosities are achieved this would be positive for Camden to grow as an attractive and asfe borough and bring the economic growth to our capital City that will be needed to sustain this.  The relaxation allows for greater	Yes Yes	improvement but it will only work if applicants use it.  Yes agreed but this should not just be a box ticking exercise. Licenses should provide evidence of pre approval and ongoing engagement. Furthermore high stress areas should still have a default policy of no extra licenses until situation resolved  All parties (Resident,Council,Business)ne ed to feel welcome,safe,supported and there individual rights to live or trade respected.  It is visually important that as a business entering a	implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Yes but why would some of these policy's not apply to all ge zero tolerance on volence.  Ask for Angela and similar schemes should be mandatory and a condition for all new licenses.  Feel extending current trading times of all afferso offers and adding more areas like this to the whole of the Camden Borough would enhance these measures and make these areasysteets after for all parties late at night. Then add to this the extra license revenue the above could generate would hopefully of set adding the cost of community police to over see these streets/areas during peak trading times and offer professional constructive advice first hand to business units that may need guidance to avoid a review or an avoidable time consuming		implemented for them to work-without effective implementation they won't be effective.  Why could premises not have an art for drinks to be held away from public if outstomers cannot be next them due to toilet or other trip?  Feel we operate to date a positive Ask For Angela program and these would only further enhance and bring bigger exposer to this serious issue and that it is actually a crimin offence as currently seems in some formers.	below)  Ves  a  b No (please tell us more below)	sets out non-discrimination obligations but does nothing to effectively promote inclusivity.  Diversity Training should be mandatory  Feel Camden has always been inclusive and a positive melting pot of worldwide culture's but need to make sure from a licensing point or view that any possible hate and intollerance offences are fully and intollerance offences are fully and	Ves  No (please tell us more below)  Yes	Again feel more alfresco areas would add to this accessibility from both a belief and physical point of view as they may not wish to experience the culture and vibe of a clicenses prefere the culture and vibe of a licenses prefere the culture and vibe of a licenses prefere che culture and vibe of a licenses prefere che culture and vibe of a licenses prefere checkled due to the lines of refer excluded due to a license or feel excluded due to a license of reference the culture and vibe of a license prefere checkled due to a license or feel excluded due to a license or feel exclud	No (please tell us more below)	premises is very noticeable but it really should not be repected that residents should put up with it. The current framework hours imply that this cut off point is midnight - it could be argued that this aready tips the balance too much towards business interests as not all residents (and especially our neighbours with young hidden) want to stay up that late - extending this by half an hour is.  No the later hours don't work in the Uk.  They tend to serve only a disruptive few at too late an hour for residents and no doubt premises staff.  In feels not to consider the fact that Camden residents are still severely impacted by anti social behaviour, crime and excess noise related to licensed premises. Extending hours will make living in area more challenging, it's already driving residents away  This should naturally allow the exiting of premises to be more wide spread and less concentrated as will allow customers/patrons a bigger time window to make a decision to leave and leading to hopefully less	No (please tell us more below) Yes	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorries parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this, Rubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.  The same principles are applied to all those shops/supermarkets with Off licenses/Off Sales to close current look to the control of the c	ves  Unsure  No (please tell us morn	typically occur between 1 and 2 am, with lorines parked outside my bedroom window during extended periods in the wee hours for deliveries despite license conditions forbidding this. Bubbish is often left out for hours before collection. I see nothing in the new policy that indicates any changes to an inadequate enforcement and monitoring regime.	Unsure Yes	implemented and actually followed.  CCTV should also be mandatory at doors and outside drinking areas. This is often the area of most issues Deliveries and refuse collections as well as disposal of bottles should all be limited to reasonable hours not after 10pm or before 8am. A local pub was disposing of glass bottles at 3am this week. Why can't Camden set these hours  Records should be kept of neighbour

				We need to increase the supply for																		
				late night venues. Whilst responsible alcohol management is key i believe																		
				if we live in a society with limited																		
				evening opening hours we are encouraging poor management of																		
				intake by individuals as people with rush to drink and get drunk quicker																		
				rather than the consumption of																		
				alcohol being part of the night out it will dominate and lead to more anti								Need to promote more procedures on what staff can do when hate										
Nw2 3sf	l wo	ork in Camden	Yes	social behaviour.	Yes	Ye	Yes		Yes		Unsure	crime takes place in their venues	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
				The main issue for me and my family																		
				is the concern that the Council ,																		
				despite being																		
				committed to reducing crime and disorder, will not																		
				feasibly manage control of																		
				such.Already there is enormous noise disturbance and drug dealing												The current framework just about						
				and taking in the area, which will only increase . The safety of women												balances the effect of noise, crime and disorder. Extending these hours,						
				surely will be put to greater risk. Children have disturbed sleep, which												and the add on of extra 30 minutes will , without doubt , add to all		I don't believe that the council will				
				impacts their health , affecting their				But are these safety measures								negative effects on residents,		adequately monitor or enforce these				
			No (please tell us more	ability to concentrate at school. Meanwhile, we as parents, have the				adhered to. I don't believe that certain measures such as Ask Angela		once again they have to be correctly	,		No (please tell us more		No (please tell us more	impacting an already difficult situation of getting some kind of	No (please tell us more	licensing conditions. History shows that businesses consistently flout the	No (please tell us more	What redress do residents have in		
WC2H 9PJ	PJ I'm a	a resident	below)	same issues . inter	Unsure	Ye	Yes	have been correctly implemented	Yes	implemented	Unsure		below)		below)	sleep	below)	rules, say sorry and repeat the same	below)	reporting issues to the council	Unsure	
				In the most difficult period for businesses in recent times and with																		
				the budget measures coming in April,						Whilst it is important that this is												
				more needs to be done to allow business the scope to be a success. I						included in the policy I don't feel it goes far enough and only real												
				don't believe the policy goes far enough to allow business to thrive		As mentioned the local community should have a				success will be achieved if further measures are brought to the table.												
				and its feels it is a half way measure that will push business towards late		voice and play a part in letting authorities know their				Police and business should come together and look at joined up												
				night levy and extra operational costs	:	feelings however I strongly				training across the Borough so that												
				without the opportunity to make the requisite revenue.		disagree they should be part of the pre application as lines				incidents are handled in a far better way and victim support increased.						As mentioned earlier the costs involved do not balance out the						
				Though I appreciate that residents		are then blurred between professional expert opinion		I personally don't believe it does, however this should be ran in		The Police need to look at the immediate response to reports of						opportunity to make the requisite revenue and also brings into						
				voices are important they can't be the main driver in this. We have an		and local bias, some of which is based on historic		conjunction with consultation with the numerous boards and support		spiking (admittedly some come 24		Camden has made some really good				problems the late night levy. Either						Those are so many conditions that
				opportunity to make a decisive		issues/operators.		units that deal with this and		hours post incident) and target prosecution as this will be the main		strides in terms of promoting inclusivity but more could be done.		As always more can done. In a similar	r	the Borough want to promote and get behind business starting to thrive						There are so many conditions that are put against venues including
				change that can bring businesses on a road map out of the difficult period		The people driving policy and		champion women's safety on a daily basis. If they believe it does not go		deterrent.		More information sharing to be encouraged across		vein to the issues around Women's safety and speaking to		and add to the community or they want to make an offering that is an						around CCTV and yet areas of other sales of alcohol (off
				dating back to Covid. We won't get another opportunity to do so and the		making decisions should have a clear 360 of the landscape		far enough then we need to listen and take further measures. Whilst I		We need clear, joined up action on this matter, as for it to still be so		venues/businesses would make a difference whilst also Police could		charities/boards who live this on a day to day basis the same should be		offering to show willing but doesn't actually promote genuine growth						trade/supermarkets) are considerably less restrictive. ore
				amount of SME businesses going to		and have no foot in any camp		appreciate the stretch on the Police		prevalent in this day and age is		also be more forthcoming with information around demonstrations		done by bringing in companies such		opportunities. I don't envisage we		I believe it is but I think there can be		I still think more can be done in		often than not these conditions are
				the wall is a warning of what will happen unless a new wave of		as only via this expert drivn decision making can the best		service at the moment, support on the streets would always be first port		something we all need to be held accountable for and work towards a		and knock on effect of this to both		as 'Attitude is Everything' as again only with expert insight can we make		will get this opportunity for a huge amount of businesses again. A more		more transparency around issues and complaints raised and how these are		terms of educating and engaging with business around licensing		linked to a operational cost the venue has to pick up and makes an
SE15 3JN		a personal license der	No (please tell us more below)	meaningful thinking and action takes place.	No (please tell us more below)	outcome for all parties be No achieved.	No (please tell us more below)	of call in addressing and ensuring safety for women.	No (please tell us more below)	safer environment for women dand men alike.	No (please tell us more below)	guests and staff of venues/businesses.	No (please tell us more below)	the necessary steps to take inclusivity to where it needs to be.	No (please tell us more below)	European attitude would go a long way to making this a success.	Yes	handled and communicated to the businesses.	No (please tell us more below)	objectives particularly around late night.	No (please tell us more below)	already challenging environment even more prohibitive to work in.
								Every effort should be made to protect women within the														
				The current balance appear to satisfy both the residents and licensed				community who are especially														
				both the residents and licensed				wulnerable when confronted with														
				premises and the proposed				vulnerable when confronted with groups of inebriated men as often														
WC2H 9DP				extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an		There is insufficient time between an application and		groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently		As aboveit is easy to outline				Although tolerance is encouraged it		Too much responsibly is left with the				Although proposed measures make sense, once again it is the		
	DP I'm a	a resident	No (please tell us more below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an	No (please tell us more below)	between an application and	No (please tell us more below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure	No (please tell us more below)	As aboveit is easy to outline measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	Although tolerance is encouraged it is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below)		Unsure	No evidence	No (please tell us more below)		Unsure	Are the model conditione enforceable or for guidance?
	DP I'm a	a resident	No (please tell us more below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour  There's no real problem with the	No (please tell us more below)	between an application and the time in which forest N	No (please tell us more below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently	No (please tell us more below)	measures it implementing them	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework	Unsure	No evidence	No (please tell us more below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement	Unsure	
	DP I'm a	a resident	No (please tell us more below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised	No (please tell us more below)	between an application and the time in which forest N	No (please tell us more below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently	No (please tell us more below)	measures it implementing them	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of	Unsure	No evidence	No (please tell us more below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement	Unsure	
	DP I'm a	a resident	No (please tell us more below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night.	No (please tell us more below)	between an application and the time in which forest N	No (please tell us more below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently	No (please tell us more below)	measures it implementing them	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old	Unsure	No evidence	No (please tell us more below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement	Unsure	
	DP I'm a	a resident	No (please tell us more below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti-social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywell behaviour	No (please tell us more below)	between an application and the time in which forest N	No (please tell us more below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently	No (please tell us more below)	measures it implementing them	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be	Unsure	No evidence	No (please tell us more below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement	Unsure	
	DP I'm a	a resident	No (please tell us more below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti-social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control.	No (please tell us more below)	between an application and the time in which forest N	No (please tell us more below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently	No (please tell us more below)	measures it implementing them	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in	Unsure	No evidence	No (please tell us more below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement	Unsure	
	DP I'm a	a resident	No (please tell us more below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, sepecially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL LUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public.	No (please tell us more below)	between an application and the time in which forest N	No (please tell us more beelow)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently	No (please tell us more below)	measures it implementing them	Unsure		No (please tell us more below)		No (please tell us more below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem	Unsure	There don't seem to be enough	No (please tell us more below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement	Unsure	
	DP I'm a		below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti-social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL LUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no	No (please tell us more below)	between an application and the time in which forest N residents to respond.	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.	below)	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure	Hardiaga whighter this is associated.	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years to impact residents. I am 76 years for central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the	Unsure	There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure	
Wc2H 9NU	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure	between an application and the time in which forest N	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently	below)	measures it implementing them	Unsure	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem of people rolling out of licensed	Unsure	There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor,	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure	
Wc2H 9NU WC1X 9JE	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti-social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL LUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest N residents to respond.	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required	below)	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of course extended hours are going to impact residents, I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise,		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Ves	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti-social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL LUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest N residents to respond. but the service will/can use this service WY. Operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required	below)	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of course extended hours are going to impact residents, I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise,		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti-social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL LUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nesidents to respond.	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required	below)	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of course extended hours are going to impact residents, I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise,		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti-social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL LUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nesidents to respond.	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required	below)	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of course extended hours are going to impact residents, I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise,		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenties. In longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service Ye operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required	below)	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of course extended hours are going to impact residents, I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise,		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS. WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest N residents to respond. by the service of th	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required	below)	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of course extended hours are going to impact residents, I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise,		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting.	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest vesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service Yr operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored,, required and enforced	below)	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of course extended hours are going to impact residents, I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise,		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Ves	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORK, WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORK THE REAL STATE OF THE AND THE STATE OF TH	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service Yr.  operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed. It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility. That does not come through That That That That That That That Tha	below)	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored,, required and enforced  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are comprehensive but believe some are	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	below)	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of course extended hours are going to impact residents, I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise,		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti- social behaviour  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. In longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many obserse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting everything Canden has to offer. Lets	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service You operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed in the work of the discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.	Yes Yes	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required and enforced  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venu and so they must be flexibility in	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the urrination in the streets.		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti- social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting everything Canden has to offer. Lets be clear, there is no easing in the controls or standards that businesses	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service Yr.  operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed. It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility. That does not come through That That That That That That That Tha	Yes Yes	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required and enforced  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venus	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years of and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti- social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting everything Cannden has to offer. Lets be clear, there is no easing in the controls or standards that businesses must operate to.  I do think that in some cases, the overall policy is too restrictive and	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nresidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service YY.  operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.  I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorities.	Yes Yes	groups of inebriated men as often evidenced in the locality. Not sure that that bar staff are sufficiently trained to deal with drink spiking issues.  Only if they are monitored, required and enforced  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in their inclusion. Too many negative messages can be off-putting and meyers usgest the venue has a	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides day consultation period still provides and consultations.		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti- social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THISS. WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORK, especially in Tower Court, AND THISS. WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORK, and the seem of the seems	No (please tell us more below)  Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nresidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service YY.  operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.  I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorities. Quite shockingly, there was an intimation that if the	Yes Yes	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and enforced and enforced and enforced and enforced.  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in their inclusion. Too many negative messages can be off-putting and may even suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist.	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of to curse extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti- social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anywe, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden to the count of the covern of the	Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service YY.  operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.  I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorities. Quite shockingly, there was an intimation that if the required conditions were not agreed to, that responsible	Yes Yes	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and enforced and enforced and enforced and enforced and enforced.  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in their inclusion. Too many negative messages can be off-putting and may even suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist.  I think quite simply, the DPS should adopt the responsibility for training	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the urination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides for any representations against the hours proposed.  I do think it would fair to warn		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure ves	enforceable or for guidance?
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORT, AND THE	Unsure Yes	Not everyone will/can use this service you preact to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service you preact to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service you preact to respond you preact	Yes Yes	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and enforced.  Conly if they are monitored, required and enforced  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in their inclusion. Too many negative messages: can be off putting and may even suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist.  I think quite simply, the DPS should adopt the responsibility for training in the safety policy in the same way	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are, of the course extended hours are going to curse extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayher of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides for any representations against the hours proposed.  I do think it would fair to warn applications that trading post		There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	enforceable or for guidance?  Useful to assist applicants, guidelines,
	NU l'ma	a resident	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whists promoting everything Camden has to offer. Lets be clear, there is no easing in the controls or standards that businesses must operate to. I do think that in some cases, the overall policy is too restrictive and could dissuade some operators from coming to Camden. Putting each type of venue into a box without really considering the intricacles of a venue is still disapporting.  Removal of the Cumulative Impact	Unsure Yes	Not everyone will/can use this service a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.  I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorfities. Quite shockingly, there was an intimation that if the required conditions were not agreed to, that responsible authorfity would not permit the licence to be granted. It has the wholly unacceptable, it That is wholly unacceptable, it	Yes Yes	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and enforced and enforced and enforced and enforced and enforced.  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in their inclusion. Too many negative messages can be off-putting and may even suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist.  I think quite simply, the DPS should adopt the responsibility for training	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue  Only if the are monitored, required and enforced.  As in Q8 above, some elements of this policy are not necessary in even	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to curse extended hours are going to course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayber of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides for any representations against the hours proposed.  I do think it would fair to warn applications that trading post midnight would include them in the late night levy. They could then make	Unsure Yes	There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	enforceable or for guidance?  Useful to assist applicants, guidelines, not tranilines. As the policy rightly says, applicants an suggest their
WC1X 9JE	NNU I'm.	a resident eer	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS VILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. In olinoger feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting everything Canden has to offer. Lets be clear, there is no easing in the controls or standards that businesses must operate to.  I do think that in some cases, the overall policy is too restrictive and could dissuade some operators from coming to Camden. Putting each type of venue into a box without really considering the intricacies of a venue is still disapporting.  Removal of the Cumulative Impact Policy areas could help dispel the myth that Seven Dials & Camden.	Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest vesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service and use the decision with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.  I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorfities. Quite shockingly, there was an intimation that if the required conditions were not agreed to, that responsible authorfity would not permit the licence to be granted. That is wholly unacceptable, it is the licensing authority what make that decision, not the	Yes Yes	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and endorced in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and enforced.  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in the same way so they must be flexibility in the same way so they ensure suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist. I think quite simply, the DPS should adopt the responsibility for training in the safety policy in the same way as they ensure all other training is completed.  No real need for a second layer of	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue  Only if the are monitored, required and enforced.  As in Q8 above, some elements of this policy are not necessary in even yenue and so care must be taken to permit some flexibility in the role premit some flexibility in the top permit some flexibility in even yenue and so care must be taken to permit some flexibility in the some permit some flexibility in the policy are not necessary in even yenue.	Unsure Unsure Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to curse extended hours are going to course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realize that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayber of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the light without the noise and mayber of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides for any representations against the hours proposed.  I do think it would fair to warn applications that trading post midnight would include them in the late night levy. They could then make an educated decision on whether those additional hours would remain and could remai	Unsure Yes	There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lost of local places are operating outside permitted hours.	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Ves	enforceable or for guidance?  Useful to assist applicants, guidelines, not tramlines. As the policy rightly
	NNU I'm.	a resident eer	below)	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS WILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. I no longer feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting everything Canden has to offer. Lets be clear, there is no easing in the controls or standards that businesses must operate to.  I do think that in some cases, the overall policy is too restrictive and could dissuade some operators from coming to Canden. Putting each type of wenue into a box under. Putting each type of venue into a box under. Putting each type of venue into a box under. Putting each type of venue into a box under. Putting each type of venue into a box under. Putting each type of venue into a box under. Putting each type of venue into a box under. Putting each type of venue into a box under. Putting each type of venue into a box under intrincibles of a venue is still disappoint time.	Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest Nesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service Y Y Y Operators, but in many cases, a well reasoned application with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.  I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorities. Quite shockingly, there was an intimation that if the required conditions were not agreed to, that responsible authority would not permit the licence to be granted. That is wholly unacceptable, it is the licensing authority has	Yes Yes	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and enforced and enforced and enforced and enforced and enforced and enforced.  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in their inclusion. Too many negative messages can be off-putting and may even suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist. It think quite simply, the DPS should adopt the responsibility for training in the safety policy in the same way as they ensure all other training is completed.	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue  Only if the are monitored, required and enforced.  As in Q8 above, some elements of this policy are not necessary in even yenue and so care must be taken to	Unsure Unsure Yes Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realise that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayhem of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides for any representations against the hours proposed.  I do think it would fair to warn applications that trading post midnight would include them in the late night levy. They could then make an educated decision on whether	Unsure Yes	There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the exists framework lots of local places are operating outside permitted hours.  Robust and relevant.	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.	Unsure Ves  Yes	useful to assist applicants, guidelines, not tramlines. As the policy rightly says, applicants can suggest their own conditions with are more likely
WC1X 9JE	NNU I'm.	a resident eer	No (please tell us more below) Yes	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS VILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. In olonger feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting everything Canden has to offer. Lets be clear, there is no easing in the controls or standards that businesses must operate to.  I do think that in some cases, the overall policy is too restrictive and could dissuade some operators from coming to Camden. Putting each type of venue into a box without really considering the intricacies of a venue is still disappointing.  Removal of the Cumulative Impact Policy areas could help dispet the Town are 2nd class areas.	Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest vesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service and use the decision with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.  I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorfities. Quite shockingly, there was an intimation that if the required conditions were not agreed to, that responsible authorfity would not permit the licence to be granted. That is wholly unacceptable, it is the licensing authority what make that decision, not the	Yes Yes	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and endorced in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and enforced.  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in the same way so they must be flexibility in the same way so they ensure suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist. I think quite simply, the DPS should adopt the responsibility for training in the safety policy in the same way as they ensure all other training is completed.  No real need for a second layer of	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue  Only if the are monitored, required and enforced.  As in Q8 above, some elements of this policy are not necessary in even yenue and so care must be taken to permit some flexibility in the role premit some flexibility in the top permit some flexibility in even yenue and so care must be taken to permit some flexibility in the some permit some flexibility in the policy are not necessary in even yenue.	Unsure Unsure Yes  Yes	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to curse extended hours are going to course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realize that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayber of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the light without the noise and mayber of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides for any representations against the hours proposed.  I do think it would fair to warn applications that trading post midnight would include them in the late night levy. They could then make an educated decision on whether those additional hours would remain and could remai	Unsure Yes	There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lots of local places are operating outside permitted hours.  Robust and relevant.  From what I have read this draft consultation would worth discussing the Met Police ways to address	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.  See above  No further comment.  I feel unsure of the this policy as	Unsure Ves  Ves	useful to assist applicants, guidelines, not tramlines. As the policy rightly says, applicants can suggest their own conditions with are more likely
WC1X 9JE	Fin Dethic	a resident eer	No (please tell us more below) Yes	extension to hours of operation would undoubtedly lead to an increase in noise congestion and anti social behaviour.  There's no real problem with the existing policy, and the revised framework hours policy means that everything will be open later at night. Nobody seems to have much control over antisocial behaviour anyway, especially in Tower Court, AND THIS VILL JUST MAKE MATTERS WORSE. There is a real issue of public nuisance in Covent Garden now, to the detriment of all amenities. In olinoger feel safe at night in Covent Garden.  The Council has clearly understood there are many diverse businesses that could operate without any negative impact whilst promoting everything Canden has to offer. Lets be clear, there is no easing in the controls or standards that businesses must operate to.  I do think that in some cases, the overall policy is too restrictive and could dissuade some operators from coming to Camden. Putting each type of venue into a box without really considering the intricacies of a venue is still disapporting.  Removal of the Cumulative Impact Policy areas could help dispel the myth that Seven Dials & Camden.	Unsure Yes	between an application and the time in which forest vesidents to respond.  Not everyone will/can use this service and use the decision with robust and carefully considered conditions will be proposed.  It must be very clear that applicants will not be discriminated against if they do not take up the facility, both during the process and at any subsequent hearing. That does not come through in the policy.  I have read information provided to applicants by some responsible authorfities. Quite shockingly, there was an intimation that if the required conditions were not agreed to, that responsible authorfity would not permit the licence to be granted. That is wholly unacceptable, it is the licensing authority what make that decision, not the	Yes Yes	Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and endorced in the policy are comprehensive but believe some and enforced.  Elements in the policy are comprehensive but believe some are unnecessary depending on the venue and so they must be flexibility in the same way so they must be flexibility in the same way so they ensure suggest the venue has a problem that doesn't exist. I think quite simply, the DPS should adopt the responsibility for training in the safety policy in the same way as they ensure all other training is completed.  No real need for a second layer of	Yes Yes	measures it implementing them which is the issue  Only if the are monitored, required and enforced.  As in Q8 above, some elements of this policy are not necessary in even yenue and so care must be taken to permit some flexibility in the role premit some flexibility in the top permit some flexibility in even yenue and so care must be taken to permit some flexibility in the some permit some flexibility in the policy are not necessary in even yenue.	Unsure Ves  Ves  Unsure	Unclear whether this is genuinely being promoted	below)	is the implementation which is the issue	No (please tell us more below) Yes	licensees and the additional 30 minutes will exacerbate issues  This is the killer. The framework hours should remain as they are. Of course extended hours are going to curse extended hours are going to course extended hours are going to impact residents. I am 76 years old and although I realize that living in Central London is unlikely to be completely peaceful, I also have a right to sleep at least some of the night without the noise and mayber of people rolling out of licensed premises at the discretion of the license holder. It's not just the noise, it's the unination in the streets.  I think many venues would be grateful of the chance to apply for longer hours and of course, the 28-day consultation period still provides for any representations against the hours proposed.  I do think it would fair to warn applications that trading post midnight would include them in the late night levy. They could then make an educated decision on whether those additional hours would remain	Unsure Yes	There don't seem to be enough personnel to enforce and monitor, because even in the existing framework lot of local places are operating outside permitted hours.  Robust and relevant. From what I have read this draft consultation would have the consultation what I have read this draft consultation would not be enough to the consultation what I have read this draft.	below)	sense, once again it is the implementation and enforcement which will be the issue.  See above	Unsure Ves  Ves  Unsure	useful to assist applicants, guidelines, not tramlines. As the policy rightly says, applicants can suggest their own conditions with are more likely

			hours is very unwelcome. I live in								T									
			Tower Street, a relatively quiet side																	
			street in Covent Garden, during the day. Late at night it is common to see																	
			people urinating in the street and																	
			pedicabs with their passengers																	
			shouting and music blaring well after the theatres have closed. There is																	
			very rarely any sign of a police																	
			presence either late at night or for the daily drug taking that takes place.																	
			Given the police appear to be																	
			struggling under the current conditions extending licensing hours																	
			is only likely to exacerbate any																	
			problems. Another issue is that																	
			conceding half an hour now merely sets a new higher level for licensed																	
			premises to try and extend further in																	
			future years.																	
			I think residents were generally prepared to be helpful to food and																	
			drink businesses as the local																	
			economy emerged from the pandemic and there is not much											Similar answer to that to Question 6. My experience is that licensed						
			evidence of their struggling now. We											premises in the area are a root cause						
		No (please tell us more	now have the inconvenience of										No (please tell us more	of Public Nuisance so extending their	·		l			
WC2H9NP	I'm a resident	below)	tables and chairs on the pavement, proposed removal of the Cumulative	Unsure		Unsure		Unsure	Unsure		Unsure		below)	hours will make the situation worse.	Unsure		Unsure	Uns	sure	
			Impact Policy.																	
																	1			
			Poppleston Allen supports the proposal to remove the Cumulative																	
			Impact Policy (CIP) covering areas of																	
			Camden. We make the following																	
			The Six-Till-Six report commissioned																	
			by the Council concluded that there														1			
			was insufficient evidence to adopt a CIP to cover part of the borough of																	
			Camden.																	
			Given the current economic climate, difficulties and cost pressures facing														1			
			difficulties and cost pressures facing hospitality businesses fewer																	Poppleston Allen have worked
			regulations and restrictions such as																	alongside other stakeholders to
			removing the CIP can benefit existing premises and attract new, diverse																	submit a separate detailed Consultation Response regarding
			businesses to operate in Camden,																	Appendix 5 - Model Conditions of
			supporting diversification within the											Whilst the proposed 30 minute						Camden's Draft Statement of
			Removal of the CIP removes a											increase in framework hours is not a significant increase it is welcome as a						Licensing Policy and to other elements of the Policy that are
			barrier to competition and											step in the right direction in respect						directly related to it or on which it
			encourages innovation and removes a barrier to entry for prospective											of loosening restrictions which could benefit existing premises operators						relies. Please see that response submitted on behalf of the
			investment into Camden from											and attract new and diverse						
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	operators who may otherwise seek	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Yes	businesses in to Camden.	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made Not	t Answered	stakeholders by David Kaner for further details.
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made Not		
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made Not		
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives	,	No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably		
NG1 1L5	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime	,	No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective,		
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already	,	No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities.		
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be	,	No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a		
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with	,	No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities.		
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The evisting framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:3000:0012/2:30 depending on the		No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively		
NG1 1L5	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23-30/00:00/22-30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30.		No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are		
NG1 1L5	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount.		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23-30/0000/22-30 depending on the day. The proporal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00).  The implication is that applicants no		No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been		
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 8.4.1 and 4.51 the		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can		No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues		
NG1 1L5	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount.		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23-30/0000/22-30 depending on the day. The proporal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00).  The implication is that applicants no		No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been		
NG1 1L5	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 4.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed or reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23-30/0000/22-30 depending on the day. The proporal takes this 30 minutes later (00-00/00/30/23-00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance		No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does		
NG1 1LS	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraph A.18, A.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However In paragraph A.44 is only states that it is		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the		No comment made	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been council council have been considered with licensed premises is associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the		
NG1 1L5	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.1 18, 4.1 and 4.5 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only states that it expects premises not to		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23-30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, when many people need to sleep.		Monitoring and enforcement is not	Not Answered	The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been too the Council and have residents' complaints to the Council have been soise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative		
NG1.115	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.71 that all of the four licensing objectives more paramount. In paragraph 4.18, 4.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects wisitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects presents not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents.		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objective and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23-30/0000/22-30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/30/32-300). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, specially furning the week. The		Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Sir-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable		
NG1.11S	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.1 18, 4.1 and 4.5 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only states that it expects premises not to		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23-30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, when many people need to sleep.		Monitoring and enforcement is not		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been too the Council and have residents' complaints to the Council have been soise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative		
NG1 115	Other	Yes	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.1 That all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraph a.1 B.4. a.4.1 and 4.5.1 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents.		No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered No comment ma	de Not Answered	No comment made	Not Answered	No comment made		businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time		Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden.		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been tools. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact.). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on		
NG1 115	Other	Yes  No (please tell us more	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 4.31 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "urreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the		No comment made	Not Answered				No comment made	Not Answered			businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objective, lespecially public nuisance and crine and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23.30/00.00/22.30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00.00/03.0/23.00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time permises will get a licence easily and		Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been tools. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made dear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required		
NG1.11S		No (please tell us more below)	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, a.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to great a follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and soll not not such as the prevention of public nuisance and soll not call the council since and soll offices on the prevention of public nuisance and soll not call the council since and soll offices on such that the prevention of public nuisance and soll not call the council since and so will not unreasonably interfere with line of the call the prevention of public nuisance and soll not call the call the call the call the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with the call th	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are actually properly implemented.	Not Answered No comment ma  Only if they are p  yes implemented.		No comment made	Not Answered  Unsure	No comment made	No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made dear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says in paragraph 4.12 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. It is a subject of the control o	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, a.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to great a follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and soll not not such as the prevention of public nuisance and soll not call the council since and soll offices on the prevention of public nuisance and soll not call the council since and soll offices on such that the prevention of public nuisance and soll not call the council since and so will not unreasonably interfere with line of the call the prevention of public nuisance and soll not call the call the call the call the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with the call th	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objectives more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 4.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states with it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.45 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time economy. There is no resident in Covent Carden, Seven Dials or soho	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.18, a.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is notly states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents.  Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports. The prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time connown. There is no resident in Covent Garden, Seven Dials or soho.	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it, says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 4.8.1 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "urreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents.  Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows. The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports. The visit of the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time economy. There is no resident in Covent Garden, Seven Dials or sohot hat remembers the good old days of quiet nighttime strolls and relaxing in their garden. We live in the very	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Ves	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objectives more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraph A.19, a.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph A.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night meeting the members the good old days of quiet nighttimes troils and relaxing in their garden. We live in the very center of the most vibrant city in the	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it, says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 4.8.1 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "urreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents.  Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows. The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports. The visit of the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time economy. There is no resident in Covent Garden, Seven Dials or sohot hat remembers the good old days of quiet nighttime strolls and relaxing in their garden. We live in the very	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 4.8.1 and 4.5.1 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "urneasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in any that supports to operate in any that supports will not unreasonably interfere with the abelity, visible and safe night me expects of the council states that the need for a healthy, visible and safe night me expects of the most wibrant expension. The council continues can be a support to operate in any that supports to operate in a healthy, visible on safe night time exconomy. There is no resident in covered to the council it is 100 times cleaner, safer and a nicer place time than at any time in the lest 300 years. I am	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraph A.18, a.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only states that it expects premises not to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to expert in a way that supports to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to expert in a way that supports to read as follows. The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to expert in a way that supports to read as follows. The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to expert in a way that supports do will not unreasonably interfere with residents: rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time economy. There is no resident in the resident in the story and a nicer place live than at relaxing in their garden. We live in the very center of the most ubrant city in the world. It is 100 times cleaner, safer and a nicer place live than at any time in the last 300 years. I am completely baffield by hearing people	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even thought it says, in paragraph A.11 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraph A.18, a.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph A.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time economy. There is no resident in Covent Carden, Seven Dials or soho that remembers the good old days of quiet nighttunes trolls and releasing in their garden. We live in the very centre of the most ubrant city in the world. It is 100 times cleaner, safer and a nicer place I live than at any time in the last 300 years. I am completely baffled by hearing peogle complain that there might be noise if we let pubs and clust stay opes of the let pubs and tubes tay open complain that there might be noise if we let pubs and clust stay open and the last proper complain that there might be noise if we let pubs and clust stay open and a licer place I live than at any time in the last 300 years. I am completely baffled by hearing peogle complain that there might be noise if we let pubs and clust stay open.	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 4.11 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "urneasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in any that supports to operate in any that supports to operate in any that supports of the patch of the property of the property of the province of the most without commendation of the province of the most without city in the read will not unreasonably interfere with the selection of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with the residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time economy. There is no resident in Covent Carden, Seven Dials or soho that remembers the good old days of quiet nighttime strolls and relaxing in their garden. We live in the very centre of the most withant city in the moriful it is 100 times cleaner, safer and a nicer place I live than at any time in the least 300 years. I am completely baffied by hearing people complain that there might be noise if we let pubs and clubs stay open later. If they want be sound of an and carden of a service of the most with the noise if we let pubs and clubs stay open later. If they want be sound of an and care and	Yes	No comment made	Ves	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden. able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get al icence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.11 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraph A.18, A.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph A.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time seconomy. There is no resident in Covent Carden, Seven Dials or soho that remembers the good old days of quiet nighttures trolls and releasing in their garden. We live in the very centre of the most ultrant city in the world. It is 100 times cleaner, safer and a nicer place it live than at any time in the last 300 years. I am completely baffied by hearing people complain that there might be noise if we let public why are	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Ves	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nucleace licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraph A.18, A.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph A.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the revised to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the revised to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the revised to read as follows: The Ucensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time cannown. There is no resident in Covent Garden, Seven Dials or soho that remembers the good old days of quiet nighttime strolls and releasing in their garden. We live in the wery centre of the most vibrant city in the world. It is 100 times cleaner, safer and a nicer place it live than at any time in the last 300 years. I am completely balfied by hearing people complain that there might be noise if we let pubs and dubs stay open later. If they want the sound of an owl and sheep in the fields why are they living here?	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objectives more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs A.18, a.4.1 and 4.5.1 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.44 is only stated in paragraphs of the paragraph and the same that	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are	Only if they are p					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount in paragraph A.19, A.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph A.41 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the review of the council states that it is expects premises to operate in a way that supports the residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time center of the review of the committee of the council states that the supports the council states that it is council states that it expects premises to operate in a way that supports the residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time connection. The council state of the safe of the council state of the cou	Yes	No comment made	Ves	Only as long as the measures are actually properly implemented.	Ves Only if they are p implemented.					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount of the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.41 so noly states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time connow. There is no resident in Covent Carden, Seven Dials or soho that remembers the good old days of quiet nighttime strolls and relaxing in the last 300 versal. Jan completely buffled by hearing people complain that there might be noise if when at any time in the last 300 versal. Jan completely buffled by hearing people complain that there might be noise in the last 300 versal. Jan completely buffled by hearing people complain that there might be noise of the last and versal and own and sheep in the fields why are they living here?  Our country is in the middle of an epidemic of pub, club and music evenue closures. High Streets are dying and being replaced with coffle	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are actually properly implemented.  "Participation and Adopting 'Ask for Angela' & WAVE Training: All	Ves Only if they are p implemented.					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objectives more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph A.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraphs 4.18, 4.41 and 4.51 the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.44 is only states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night mee conomy. There is no resident in Covent Garden, Seven Dials or soho that remembers the good old days of in their garden. We live in the very centre of the most vibrant city in the world. It is 100 times cleaner, safer and a nicer place tilve than at any time in the last 300 years. I am completely balffied by hearing people complain that there might be noise if we let pubs and clubs; club and music venue closures. High Streets are dying and being replaced with coffee shops and betting shops. We must let that happen here. Please	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are actually properly implemented.  "Participation and Adopting 'Ask for Angela' & WAVE Training: All licensed premises are strongly	Ves Only if they are p implemented.					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
			impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount of the council states that it is committed to reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.41 so noly states that it expects premises not to "unreasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time connow. There is no resident in Covent Carden, Seven Dials or soho that remembers the good old days of quiet nighttime strolls and relaxing in the last 300 versal. Jan completely buffled by hearing people complain that there might be noise if when at any time in the last 300 versal. Jan completely buffled by hearing people complain that there might be noise in the last 300 versal. Jan completely buffled by hearing people complain that there might be noise of the last and versal and own and sheep in the fields why are they living here?  Our country is in the middle of an epidemic of pub, club and music evenue closures. High Streets are dying and being replaced with coffle	Yes	No comment made	Not Answered  Yes	Only as long as the measures are actually properly implemented.  "Participation and Adopting 'Ask for Angela' & WAVE Training: All licensed premises are strongly encouraged"	Ves Only if they are p implemented.					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
		below)	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount reducing crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.48 is only states that it expects premises not to "urneasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. Paragraph 4.44 should be revised to read as follows: The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and so will not unreasonably interfere with the residents rather than the need for a healthy, viable and safe night time conomy. There is no resident in Covent Carden, Seven Dials or soho that remembers the good old days of quiet nighttime strolls and relaxing in the region of the most without city in the world. It is 100 times cleaner, safer and a nicer place the vet han at any time in the last 200 years. I am completely baffled by hearing people complain that there might be noise in the last and dubs stay open later. If they want the sound of an owl and sheep in the fields why are they living here?  Our country is in the middle of an epidemic of pub, club and music evenue closures. High Streets are dying and being replaced with coffee shops and betting shops. We must not let that happen here. Please keep this are attenting shops. We must not let that happen here. Please keep this area the living heart of our city. And hearts beat 24/7.	Yes		Yes	Only as long as the measures are actually properly implemented.  "Participation and Adopting 'Ask for Angela' & WAVE Training: All licensed premises are strongly encouraged" Participation MUST become	Ves Only if they are g implemented.					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		
WC2H 8AJ		below)	impact the change in policy will have on them, especially late at night. The existing policy addresses this in a reasonable way. This is no longer the case with the revised framework hours policy as it will impact on the noise residents will be subjected to late at night and in the early morning. The policy also addresses the public nuisance licensing objective more lightly than the other objectives, even though it says, in paragraph 4.17 that all of the four licensing objectives are paramount. In paragraph 4.17 that all of the four cluding crime and disorder and expects visitors to be safe. However in paragraph 4.48 is only status that it expects premises not to "urneasonably interfere" with the amenity of residents. The compact of the compact o	Yes  No (please tell us more		Yes  No (please teil us more	Only as long as the measures are actually properly implemented.  "Participation and Adopting 'Ask for Angela' & WAVE Training: All licensed premises are strongly encouraged" Participation MUST become	Ves Only if they are p implemented.					No (please tell us more	businesses in to Camden.  able to apply to remain open later than framework hours. They are only required to demonstrate that they will support the licensing objectives (especially public nuisance and crime and disorder). The existing framework hours are already borderline as to what can be tolerated by nearby residents with the end of licensable activities at 23:30/00:00/22:30 depending on the day. The proposal takes this 30 minutes later (00:00/00:30/23:00). The implication is that applicants no longer need to show that they can the stay open 30 minutes later without causing problems for the nearby residents. This changes the balance between the business and the community in the early morning when many people need to sleep, especially during the week. The framework hours should remain the same. Furthermore the framework hours imply that up until this time premises will get a licence easily and after this time they will not. In fact	No (please tell us more	Monitoring and enforcement is not currently effective and it remains for the residents in the respective areas that are impacted by noise and nuisance to report this to Camden. Unlicensed activity often goes unchallenged despite complaints		The proposed approach is probably adequate. However, to be effective, the measures require resources from all of the responsible authorities. There should also be a straightforward way in which residents can report an issue to the Council and have it effectively recorded and investigated. There are many cases where residents' complaints to the Council have been lost. One of the major issues associated with licensed premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Six-Till-Six report related to cumulative impact). Without a reliable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequately assess the impact on the licensing objectives and so take adequate action to promote the		

WC28 SPH I'm a resident SW6 SLP I work in Camden WC2H SAU I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below) Yes No (please tell us more below)	With regards to the affect license premises have on residents, the existing licence policy is reasonably balanced, the revised framework destroys the current balance adversely affecting residents late at night and early in the morning.  The proposed policy treats public nuisance licensing objective more lightly.  In short, the proposed changes will have a significant adverse affect on residents.  The extension of the "framework hours" will be very injurious for residents, with increased noise late at night.	No (please tell us more below) Yes	In theory the pre application service is a good and welcome idea, but at the moment it is not mandatory for the applicant to engage early with residents and responsible authorities. It should be mandatory, so the applicant can hear and see the potential impact their application may have on residents.	enc as t	e measures that are being couraged will be effective as long they are properly implemented d enforced.		The measures that are being encouraged will be effective as long as they are properly implemented nad enforced - Covert visits and monitoring.	No (please tell us more below) Yes	Policy encourages inclusivity but does not actually promote it from this starting point.	No (please tell us more below) Yes	Accessibility is mentioned only in section 1.36 and not at all within the Hate and Intolerance section of the proposal	No (please tell us more below) Yes Unsure	the proposal that an establishment can stay open for an extra 30 minutes, without demonstrating that they support the licensing framework (Public Nuisace and Crime and Disorder), is wrong and is not welcome by residents who suffer too much already.  If the framework hours are to be changed, then the accompanying policy should be crystal clear that the licensing objectives have to be upheld.  There is no possible way that	there have been several examples breeches and no follow up action, which has caused other establishments to follow their example, to the detriment of residents.  Action needs to be taken in all case	of s s	strengthening.  Reporting and transparency of a compliant needs to be strengthened and vastly improved.  One of the major issues with license premises is noise and disturbance from customers as they leave and have left the premises. I live on Parker Street, and 'am constantly disturbed late at night, early morni and during the day on Saturday, wit customers leaving licensed premises are "all talk" when we as residents met before they opened regarding crowad control, marishalling customers away from residents apartments, high vis security guards with cameras and bollards on street to prevent rick shaws and taxis stopping right outside apartments and bedroom windows. As soon as they open, on by one of these commitments drop off and we are left with being disturbed by their customers, as the 'turn their back' on the customers	5	Not helpful, as it's a list of 182 'model' conditions and not' example' conditions which is difficult to comprehend and use.
WC2b 5PH I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	The draft policy is intrinsically not balanced; it enables longer hours which benefit businesses and visitors to the detriment of residents whose quite enjoyment of their homes would be impacted by more noise, antisocial behaviour, risk of harm etc.	Unsure	If 'engagement' is for show and will rubber stamp applications then I disagree; it it offers balance for residents, then I could agree.	f Unsure		Yes	Yes, if implemented robustly	Unsure		Unsure		No (please tell us more below)	increasing hours can balance needs. Residents are guaranteed to be disadvantaged. Alcohol consumption is cumulative and extended hours offer the drunk the opportunity of get drunker. Even for moderate consumers, noise from conversation etc will last for longer, many residents are at street level or just above busy streets full of revellers. Very straightforwardly the visiting community wins, the business community wins and the residential community (DSSSI)	Unsure	No (please tell us more below)	On the Public Safety and Public Nuisance the draft only "expects" behaviour by businesses. It must "require" behaviour by businesses.	Unsure	
NW18TU I'm a resident  I'm a member of a community group or organisation	below)	antisocial behaviour, risk of narm etc.  Only benefits business. Residents living in quiet pockets of Camden will be hugely affected by pubs staying open later, the pub nearest us is already open til Midnight including Sunday which is ridiculously late for a pub on soley residental street. The only noise in the area comes from the pub, there's no through traffic, no buses, no other shops or restaurants. And the problem is the pubs don't stick to the rules, they have people on the street drinking and smoking at 23:30 and loud music coming from open windows and pub gardens. And I don't want the haste coming from open windows and pub gardens. And I don't want the haste coming from open windows and pub gardens. And I don't want the haste coming from open windows and pub gardens. And I don't want the haste people on the window of the complete of the window of the compl	Yes	But only if the council listen to the residents concerns. Our area in particular is only residential and the only late nuissance is the pub and its unruly visitors (who are not residents).  of the pre-application advice service but are unsure of its impact. The emphasis on the pre-application advice is welcome. An applicant that makes use of the service will most likely submit a better qualify application. Early engagement with residents and responsible authorities always benefits applications. So will improve things only for those applicants, who take advantage of it. If the number of them is low then there will be little overall benefit.  The Council should track the proportion of applications that take advantage of the service and see if there is any change in the proportion of applications on which keeponsible Authorities and	No (please tell us more below)  The enc as ts Rec for pre the noto imp ens thrive why Core	e measures that are being couraged will be effective as long they are properly implemented. Cent studies on 'Ask for Angela', example, have shown that whilst emisses claim to have implemented been done effectively. It is portant that there is a process of suring that they are in place rough covert visits to premises.	No (please tell us more below)	Again just words because you know people are concerned. Has to go much further than this, punishment has to be the deterrent.  The measures that are being encouraged will be effective as long as they are properly implemented. It is important that there is a process of ensuring that they are in place through covert visits to premises. Where they form part of Licensing Conditions they also need to be enforced.	No (please tell us more below)	The Policy certainly ENCOURAGES inclusivity to be considered but it does not really actually promote it beyond that.	No (please tell us more below)	Accessibility is mentioned only in Section 1.136 (Page 14) and not in the Hate and intolerance section. The question seems unclear to us.	No (please tell us more below)		It's an awful process complaining about a pub and funless you emplote below)  Whilst the approach to monitoring and enforcement is a common sent one, it is not currently effective. There are examples of premises in our area that have been operating outside their permitted hours for several years. They have been observed to do so by the Police, an statements have been made. They have appeared at Licensing hearing asking for extensions, apologised, said it is a mittake, and then done i again. Still no effective action has been taken and local people have suffered. If the Licensing Authority continues to permit unificensed activity to continue, then others we also decide that they can take the risk of operating without a licence. An additional point should be included in the list in 14.18 as follows:  whether, if no action is taken, it is likely to have a negative impact on the behaviour of others who may seek to imitate the offence.	Unsure e d d s t	i would welcome being consulted or changes my local pub are planning to make.  The approach proposed is adequate However, to be effective, the measures require a) resources from all of the Responsible Authorities an b) away in which residents can report, effectively, issues to the Council and have them recorded and investigated.  One of the major issues associated with Licensed Premises is noise from customers after they have left the premises. The Council does not collect reports of noise in the street (this was made clear in the Sizi-lis report related to Cumulative Impact Without a relable mechanism for this, it is difficult for the Council to have the data required to adequate assess the impact on the Licensing Objectives and so take adequate action to promote the Licensing Objectives.	Not Answered  d	describes them as Model Conditions and not Example Conditions as described in this question.  Having an easily accessible and useable list of Model Conditions is helpful for all those involved in the Licensing process. We believe it would be better if they were listed a separate document rather than an Appendix to the SolP. They could then be updated more frequently if required.  A separate submission has been made by stakeholder groups (Police, Licensing Lawyers and Residents Groups) on an alternative layout and contents for this list. We encourage the Council to adopt the recommendations of this extensive piece of work.  The CGCA has, with others. made a detailed submission with which I
WC2H 9QR   I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	The removal of Cumulative Impact and the extension of hours both move the balance in the existing Policy (which was just about acceptable) away from residents and towards businesses.  The way the Policy treats Public Nuisance is not consistent with way the other Licensing Objectives are treated and is not accordance with the Licensing Act and Guidance. The CGCA has made a detailed submission on this with which I agree.		It will improve it if actually used by applicants. The Council should assess the level of take-up.	Yes		Yes		Unsure	It encourages it but does not promote it	No (please tell us more below)	Accessbility is not mentioned in this section - other than encouraging inclusivity	No (please tell us more below)	Whilst imperfect the current Framework Hours provide a reasonable balance. Making them later destroys this balance. Noise and disturbance after 23:00 prevents people sleeping. This is made more likely by the extension.  The issue is that the Framework Hours are treated in the Policy as a cut off time - before this application to their neighbours and after this they do. This is not consistent with the paramount nature of the Licensing Objectives.  The CGCA has made a detailed submission with which I agree.	The approach makes sense but Camden lack the resources, and wil to carry out enforcement. There a examples around me where premit have traded in breach of house and conditions, been caught twice by Responsible Authorities, and yet continue to do this.  The approach outlined in the Policy adequate, provided that Camden have the resources to deal wiel Unsure of the issues and not 20% as now.	e e es is	See response to 13	Unsure	detailed submission with which I agree.  Model Conditions, if properly designed and laid out, are helpful. The current proposal is a little better than the use of example conditions in previous versions of the SoLP but is still not easy to use or clear for applicants.  My other concern is the absence of the clearly stated guidance that conditions should be offered as a result of a risk assessment carried out by the applicant. This was clear in previous versions of the Policy and is also clear in the S182 Guidance. Without this Appendix F, even if changed as proposed in the CGGA's separate submission, will not be effective. This clear Guidance must be reinstated.
Nw1 4bu   I'm a resident	Unsure	framework hours are (if they are not what was started on page 45) and how easy it not it would be to extend licensing beyond	No (please tell us more below)	Vulnerable to NIMBYism		it a woman, and not sure how ingently these measures would be plied	Yes		Unsure	Not entirely sure what 4.33 actually means. Extremists?	No (please tell us more below)	Doesn't say anything specific	Unsure	Does this mean the venue openings can be extended by 30 minutes? If so yes	Not a licence holder but it sounds promising BUT will it be vulnerable Yes to abuse by NIMBYs	Yes	See above	Unsure	Never applied for a licence so don't know if I can be authoritative

					1	1			1							1		
		accept that local pubs and bars may have some form of impact on my																
		amenity as a local resident. That is part of living in a vibrant city and																
		borough and I benefit from it along																
		with many others. But I think the																
		proposed policy swings thing too far																
		in favour of the the licenced																
		premises and their economic																
		interests over the amenity to																
		residents. The Framework Hours																
		should not be extended. Public																
		nuisance is a real issue where we																
		live. Not in the early or even later																
		evening. But in the small hours of																
		the morning - already. What might																
		be vaguely tolerable in the mid to	Not really because it is not															
		later evening in terms of noise and	mandatory as far as I can tell															
		yob behavior is seriously life	so only the better operators			1				1								
		disrupting later in the evening or	will do this - and there is a			1				1								
		early in the morning. The wording of	serious risk that the bad			1				1								
		the policy on nuisance as it is	operators will go nowhere			1				1								
		proposed does not really have any	near it. So under the auspices			1				1								
		teeth or oblige the pubs and clubs to	of looking like a good thing it			1				1						No, I there does not seem to much in		
		do much at all to prevent nuisance	is just capturing the people			These seem good and sensible						No - as above, the later the council is				the way enforment. If many of the		
		behavior. It needs to be	who will behave well and not			protections although some care will		Yes, on the whole, but it is rather				prepared to push the Framework				cover area, the hearing woud know		
	No (please tell us more		focussing sufficiently on the	Yes, Positive build on a good start		need to be taken to ensure they are		high level and not very concrete in	No (please tell us more	It does not seem to be a particularly					No (please tell us more	his staff and be able to put it into		
NW1 8LB I'm a resident	below)	to clearly mark its opposition to below)	people who will ignore it.	Yes made in this area.	Yes	working in practice.	Yes	terms of what it will do.	below)	detailed or well-considered.	below)	resident amenity.	Unsure	shame hime and have t right touj	below)	service.	Unsure	
	No (please tell us more	re		L.					No (please tell us more		No (please tell us more		l		No (please tell us more			
WC2H 9NU I'm a resident	below)	Unsure		Yes	Yes		Unsure		below)		below)		Unsure		below)		Unsure	
																		B
																		Retain option of Challenge 21 OR Challenge 25a premises should
																		have the flexibility to choose what is
												Flexibility on hours is vital to stagger						appropriate and effective.
												dispersal and allow responsible						Having a PLH on duty at all times
												premises to prosper. Well run						should not be mandatory as this is
												premises should be allowed to						not always viable.
I'm a premises licence												provide an amenity for people to						Noise conditions need to be less
B3 1JP holder	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	enjoy, during the hours required.	Yes		Yes		Unsure	technical, so they can be understood.
														The council really lets us down when				
														it comes to enforcement. One of my				
														neighbours has had a nightmare with				
														a place that doesn't even have a				
														proper licence, making his life a				
														misery every night. He has				
														complained loads, and he says the				
														council hand police have even				
			It might do. But if a business			1				1			1	visited, but the place is still open at				
			gets advice from the council			1				1		This is bonkers. Some places already	1	4am. When there was a licence				
		You are acting against the interests	to apply for something then									open far too late near to where		hearing the council had lost records				
		of residents so that booze businesses	the licensing committee			1				1		families live. Are you trying to drive		of his complaints. This is worse than				L
		can stay open longer and so that they	might feel bullied into letting			1				1		us out completely? How come you		a joke.				They seem to be a bit confused, with
	1	don't have to take so much care	it through even if there are lots of objections. How will			1				1		have a primary school right here and then try to make it impossible for		The same sast of thing has been a				things mixed up and repeated in bits. They would be helpful if they were
					1	1				1				The same sort of thing has happened to me, just not quite so bad.		1		
		about public nuisance. Why? How									1	families to live nicely? Framework						sorted out, I think.
		are we supposed to get our kids to	you make sure this doesn't											to me, just not quite so out.		Lean't con whom there measures		
		are we supposed to get our kids to school next day if they are woken up										hours need to be earlier, not later,				I can't see where these measures		They should also be in a separate
		are we supposed to get our kids to school next day if they are woken up by noisy people on the streets later	you make sure this doesn't happen?									hours need to be earlier, not later, and if our elected reps cared about		You need to be serious about this.		mention the noise from people		They should also be in a separate document so that they can be
		are we supposed to get our kids to school next day if they are woken up	you make sure this doesn't happen? And in my experience									hours need to be earlier, not later,		You need to be serious about this. How are things going to change? Are		mention the noise from people outside, which is our main problem.		They should also be in a separate document so that they can be updated as new things come up.
		are we supposed to get our kids to school next day if they are woken up by noisy people on the streets later at night? It's not even healthy.	you make sure this doesn't happen? And in my experience 'engagement with local	Boorla and huringer th		Again people and hurinogra-						hours need to be earlier, not later, and if our elected reps cared about		You need to be serious about this. How are things going to change? Are you going to put a proper 24/7 team		mention the noise from people		They should also be in a separate document so that they can be updated as new things come up. Nowadays all sorts of things are
		are we supposed to get our kids to school next day if they are woken up by noisy people on the streets later at night? It's not even healthy.  The council spends loads of money	you make sure this doesn't happen?  And in my experience 'engagement with local communities' can be a box-	People and businesses say they are eight to do stuff but then don't		Again, people and businesses say						hours need to be earlier, not later, and if our elected reps cared about us they would change it that way instead.		You need to be serious about this. How are things going to change? Are you going to put a proper 24/7 team in place that is able to respond		mention the noise from people outside, which is our main problem. This is really, really important to us.		They should also be in a separate document so that they can be updated as new things come up. Nowadays all sorts of things are invented quite quickly and you
	No (please tell us more)	are we supposed to get our kids to school next day if they are woken up by noisy people on the streets later at might? It's not even healthy.  The council spends loads of money saying that it's an organisation that	you make sure this doesn't happen?  And in my experience 'engagement with local communities' can be a box- ticking exercisse. How will	going to do stuff, but then don't		they are going to do stuff, but then					No (please tell us more	hours need to be earlier, not later, and if our elected reps cared about us they would change it that way instead.  Do you realise this means places not		You need to be serious about this. How are things going to change? Are you going to put a proper 24/7 team in place that is able to respond straight away and close places down		mention the noise from people outside, which is our main problem. This is really, really important to us. How are you going to deal with		They should also be in a separate document so that they can be updated as new things come up. Nowadays all sorts of things are invented quite quickly and you probably need to be able to slot
WCZH 9QR   I'm a resident	No (please tell us more below)	are we supposed to get our kids to school next day if they are woken up by noisy people on the streets later at night? It's not even healthy.  The council spends loads of money saying that it's an organisation that	you make sure this doesn't happen?  And in my experience 'engagement with local communities' can be a box-		Unsure		Unsure	Just sounds like words to me.	Unsure	Again, just sounds like words to me.	No (please tell us more below)	hours need to be earlier, not later, and if our elected reps cared about us they would change it that way instead.  Do you realise this means places not		You need to be serious about this. How are things going to change? Are you going to put a proper 24/7 team in place that is able to respond straight away and close places down		mention the noise from people outside, which is our main problem. This is really, really important to us. How are you going to deal with	Unsure	They should also be in a separate document so that they can be updated as new things come up. Nowadays all sorts of things are invented quite quickly and you

From:
To: licensing inbo

**Subject:** Camden Licensing Policy **Date:** 20 February 2025 13:16:13

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

#### Dear Camden Councillors

We would be grateful if you could please consider our support for your new licensing policy proposals.

My sister, Zoe, and I founded The Barbary and The Barbary Next Door restaurants in Neal's Yard in Seven Dials. We have worked very hard and are extremely privileged to have received a number of accolades, including a Michelin Bib Gourmand since 2016 and Time Out's Best London Restaurant 2 years in a row. We hope that we have played a small part in contributing positively to Camden's proud hospitality, cultural and evening economy offer.

Our restaurants are small, with only 24 and 14 internal covers respectively. The Barbary licence authorises the sale of alcohol to just 10:30pm and includes 47 conditions. The Barbary Next Door licence authorises alcohol sales to even earlier at 10pm and includes 45 conditions. The primary reason for the restrictive hours and conditions is the historical Seven Dials Special Policy Area.

We have worked tirelessly with our team to introduce a world class restaurant offering to this area, which is also recognised as the heart of the West End entertainment district. As a result, it has been difficult accept and understand a licensing policy that has restricted the potential of our businesses with 10/10:30pm licences heavily burdened with conditions. We have a professional and experienced team that always ensure the restaurants continue to operate responsibly alongside local residents, even if it was a little more flexibly and slightly later in the evening.

We therefore welcome Camden's proposal to remove the Seven Dials Special Policy area and extend Framework Hours. We have been fortunate to operate successful businesses but the industry continues to face serious challenges. A little flexibility afforded by the proposed licensing policy would help our restaurants and make other new independent businesses viable too.

Cumulative impact policies present a barrier to entry for fledgling hospitality businesses, they stifle creative new concepts and cause stagnation, with large alcohol-focused chain operators clinging on to old licences. Removing the policy represents an exciting opportunity for Camden and local businesses.

Thank you for considering our views and a new policy that would help to support the hospitality and wider evening economy.

Best wishes



#### website | instagram | linkedin

email: csafitzrovia@yahoo.co.uk

14<sup>th</sup> March 2025 Page 1

#### Response to:

#### Draft of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy 2024-2029:

I am writing on behalf of the Charlotte Street Association.

We wish to comment on the **Draft of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy**, as set out below.

#### **Background: Charlotte Street Association:**

The Charlotte Street Association was formed in 1970. It's the area of interest the Fitzrovia area bounded by the Euston Road on the North, Gower Street on the East; Oxford Street on the South, and Wells Street/Cleveland Street on the West.

The Association is consulted on licensing and planning applications and other matters affecting the area. It gives evidence in support of its objectives at planning and other inquiries. It took part in the consultation on, and commented upon, the original proposed Statements of Licensing Policy for both Camden and Westminster; and in later years commentated on the subsequent Reviews of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy.

We have made representations on most licensing applications in the Fitzrovia area since the start of the 2003 Licensing Act. Thus, we have attended many subsequent Hearings. Also, we have often met with applicants, and sometimes, particularly more recently, we have been able to am agreement, thus avoiding the need for a Hearing.

Our main concern is usually the affect that proposals will have on the grounds of Public Nuisance, and the likely detrimental affect they will have on the residential amenity of those living nearby. Thus our approach is to ensure Hours and Conditions that help to protect the residential amenity of those living nearby licensed premises.

#### Re: The Framework Hours: para 5.2 onwards:

We wish to strongly object to the proposal to extend the terminal hours for each day of the week. The closing time also needs to be taken into account. Camden expects the closing time to be half-an-hour after the terminal hour for the Sale of Alcohol On-Premises. This will mean that for all days of the week (except Sunday), customers will be leaving premises at and well after midnight.

#### Thus:

Monday to Thursday: Framework Hours: until Midnight: closing at half-past Midnight
Friday & Saturday: Framework Hours: until half-past Midnight: closing at 1.00AM
Framework Hours: until 11.00pm: closing at 11.30pm.

However well managed premises are, and whatever conditions are in place, there is inevitable noise and disturbance when customers leave chatting, even in small groups at such late hours. The closing time is the "start" of when customers leave, and so this period of noise and disturbance can be even later.

This means that residents will not have the opportunity for quietness and undisturbed sleep for most days of the week throughout the year.

Continued ..... 2.

14<sup>th</sup> March 2025: **Page 2** 

#### Response to:

Draft of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy 2024-2029 - continued:

Fitzrovia is a mixed use area of residential and commercial. It is a long established and diverse residential community. Typical streets (for example: Charlotte Street; Goodge Street; Warren Street) consist of terraced buildings, with residential flats above, and shops, cafes, restaurant below. In some streets, such as Warren Street a considerable amount of the residential is social housing. With this urban pattern, many of the licensable premises are in close proximity of the residential.

Although the Policy says (as in para 5.7) that end time for Framework hours should not be regarded as the "usual" or "normal" terminal hour, our experience is that in practice, over the years, the Framework Hours (and thus the terminal hours) are regarded as the "norm" and the acceptable hours to be granted. Thus, we are particularly concerned that the new proposed Framework Hours (with the even later Terminal Hours and consequent later Closing Times) will be come the "acceptable norm".

#### Re: Appendix Five: Licencing Conditions:

The idea of setting out many model Conditions is to be welcomed. But we find that the layout and format in this Appendix somewhat confusing and over whelming. We would welcome further consultation/liaison on how this could be improved, to make the use of such model Conditions easier.

Over the years, our Association has also evolved several additional Conditions to suit certain circumstances, which we would like put forward for consideration.

#### For example:

- (A). Courier collections will only take place during the opening hours of the restaurant.

  Courier collections from the premises for take away meal deliveries to customers:

  To avoid noise and disruption to residents, and congestion in the street, for take away food orders that are being collected by delivery companies using couriers, there shall be the following arrangements:
  - to encourage the use of cycle couriers (instead of scooters) in order to cut down on noise disturbance
  - If scooter courier, the delivery/collection company will only be called when the order has been prepared and is ready for collection;
  - Generally, one collection at a time whenever possible;
  - Scooter couriers to turn off their engines, and no prolonged hanging around/waiting.
  - (B). Adopt Camden's "Ask for Angela" initiative:

The premises shall at all times adhere to the three "Women's Safety principles", which are:

- (a). Let's Communicate Staff need to feel comfortable to speak up and approach colleagues and management about any form of harassment that they may witness or be subjected to themselves and know the processes available to them to express their views and concerns.
- (b). Supporting Each Other Ensure that you and your staff are aware of the various support campaigns available such as Ask Angela and that these are clearly displayed around your venue.

14<sup>th</sup> March 2025: Page 3

Response to:

Draft of Camden's Statement of Licensing Policy 2024-2029 - continued:

- (c). Training for All Staff training on how to report any issues, what to say and do should they witness or be subject to harassment and how to identify harassment and those who may be vulnerable, make them aware of your internal policies.
- (C). The sale of alcohol OFF the Premise shall be ancillary to the main business of a (e.g. delicatessen retail shop).
- (D). The sale of "Magnum Tonic Wine" (or similar high alcohol products) shall not be sold.
- (E) Alcohol deliveries shall only be made to business and/or private residences, and not tomany public/open spaces.
- (F). Off Sales of alcohol/Food will be for delivery only and will be delivered to a verified address occupied by the customer.

Clive Henderson Charlotte Street Association.



chicknsours.co.uk>

**Sent:** 14 February 2025 16:38

To: licensing inbox censing@camden.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Camden Licensing Policy

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Camden

I am a local restauranteur in Seven Dials. My new premises licence application in 2016 was challenging. It held up negotiations for my lease and went to a licensing sub-committee hearing. I was not proposing anything contentious or ambitious – just a small 50 capacity neighbourhood restaurant with modest hours.

Although my application was ultimately successful, it was a lengthy process and the licence was granted with 48 strict conditions.

I think this all relates to the Seven Dial's special policy area and strict hours policies. I therefore welcome Camden's business-friendly proposals to remove the Seven Dial's special policy area and extend framework hours. Although I have an established restaurant business, the proposed changes will hopefully make it easier for small aspiring restaurateurs to open in Seven Dials and beyond.

I also know that local resident groups and the authorities would still have plenty of power and opportunity to restrict licence hours and conditions etc. where there are specific concerns. However, those concerns have historically been directed at small low-risk premises which I do not think is appropriate, particularly bearing in mind the challenges high streets and the hospitality factor face at the moment.

Thanks for considering my support – I really hope the new policy is approved.

Kind regards



Chick n Sours 1a Earlham Street





From:
To: licensing inbox

**Subject:** Licensing Policy Consultation **Date:** 30 January 2025 15:06:17

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Councillors.

I trust you are well.

I am writing to support the proposed changes to licensing policy.

I am a director of Compagnie des Vins Surnaturels Wine Bar in Neal's Yard located in Seven Dials.

I am aware that the Seven Dials special licensing policy area has for many years created a barrier to entry for hospitality businesses and made licensing in the locality extremely challenging.

Although we would have no immediate plans to change our licence, we hope that the positive changes will help our neighbours and any fledgling independent hospitality businesses looking to move to the area.

From experience operating other licensed premises in London and worldwide, there are still plenty of controls under licensing regimes and policy to provide local residents and the authorities with the protection they need, even if there is no special licensing policy.

I also support the modest proposal to extend framework hours.

May I also take this opportunity to thank Camden Council for signalling their support to the hospitality sector.

Best wishes



Experimental Group Compagnie des Vins Surnaturels, New York City, London, Paris Experimental Cocktail Club, London, Paris, Verbier, Venice, The Cotswolds Henrietta Hotel, London Cowley Manor Experimental, The Cotswolds Hotel Des Grands Boulevards, Paris Hotel Grand Pigalle, Paris Experimental Chalet, Verbier Experimental Chalet, Val d'Isère Experimental Beach, Ibiza Montesol Experimental, Ibiza Bijou Plage Experimental, Cannes Menorca Experimental, Menorca Il Palazzo Experimental, Venice Regina Experimental, Biarritz Le Garage, Biarritz

#### Confidentiality

This email and any attachments to it are confidential and intended solely for the person to whom they are addressed. They may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient you must not read, copy, or distribute this message or its attachments. Unauthorised use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this email in error, please contact us immediately by replying to the sender, and delete it and any attachments from your computer. Thank you.

#### Confidentialité

Ce courriel (de même que les fichiers joints) est strictement réservé à l'usage de la personne ou de l'entité à qui il est adressé et peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Toute divulgation, distribution ou copie de ce courriel est strictement prohibée. Si vous avez reçu ce courriel par erreur, veuillez nous en aviser par réponse, détruire toutes les copies et le supprimer de votre système informatique.





FW: Statement of Licensing Policy Consultation Response

ate: 07 March 2025 11:59:23

Hi Guys,

See below response to the Licensing Policy leaflet.

Steven Dormer Licensing Officer

From:

Sent: 07 March 2025 11:50

To:

**Subject:** Statement of Licensing Policy Consultation Response

You don't often get email from

. Learn why this is important

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear Camden Licensing Authority

Please consider KERB's support for your proposed new licensing policy.

We have operated in Seven Dials Market in the heart of Seven Dials since 2019. We were fortunate to be granted a premises licence but it wasn't an easy process within the scope of the historical Seven Dials special licensing policy. We also understand that a previous market operator decided not to enter a lease for the building due to concerns about the licensing policy.

Seven Dials Market provides a platform for fledgling entrepreneurs to showcase their talent. This provides an opportunity for our traders to prove their concept before taking their business to a bricks and mortar site. This is a big step for young businesses and strict licensing policies do not help. We would love to imagine a world in which our traders could have a more realistic opportunity to access local standalone premises in Camden as their business develops. The new licensing policy may help to remove some of the historical hurdles that can prevent this from happening.

We operate markets across London and internationally. There is a growing trend for local authorities to continually tighten their policies. This makes Camden's proposals incredibly exciting and can only have a positive impact in the borough of Camden. KERB therefore fully supports the proposed removal of the Seven Dials special policy, extension of framework hours and other great initiatives, for example promoting women's safety.

Thank you for the opportunity to take part in the consultation and for your recognition that the hospitality sector and high streets need support.

Best regards,





From:

**Sent:** 14 March 2025 17:24

To:

**Subject:** Camden Licensing Policy Consultation

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Dear William,

I have read through the draft Policy that is under consultation and cannot see any mention that an applicant needs to conduct a risk assessment prior to the application being submitted. The current policy does have a paragraph mentioning that a risk assessment is needed.

The MPS feel it is important that all applicants, especially new applicants must conduct a risk assessment so that no short cuts are taken in applying for these licences and that all licensing objectives are considered. We feel a risk assessment it needed in order to produce their operating schedule and therefore they can choose the appropriate conditions to minimise risk, especially with regards to crime and disorder.

In case I have missed this somehow, could you please make it clearer.

Kind Regards,

NOTICE - This email and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may be confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this email or in any attachment without the permission of the

sender. Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law and any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of the MPS by email and no responsibility is accepted for unauthorised agreements reached with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed. From:
To: licensing inbox

**Subject:** Licensing Policy consultation **Date:** 14 March 2025 11:11:39

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

#### **Dear Sirs**

This is to make some high level representations in relation to your Licensing Policy consultation. These are observations based on the experience of a Camden resident who has the misfortune to live far too close to licensed premises which have systematically and over a long period of time been the source of anti-social behaviour and at times public violence, which is rarely the subject of any enforcement action.

Pressure of time precludes a more detailed response.

I make no apology for raising issues of practical importance which may not have been canvassed by the Council.

In general, I object to the proposals inasmuch as they tend to water down the essential policy objectives of preventing antisocial behaviour and, in particular, the prevention of public nuisance.

There is absolutely no need to extend generally what are already generous framework hours. Extensions can be requested by applicants on a case by case basis and should be required to be justified by the applicant. Furthermore, the Council should consider such applications having regard to the particular circumstances of each case and notably the proximity of the applicant premises to residential premises, as well as past conduct and cumulative impact.

One gets the impression that the Council is proposing to reduce the significance of policies (and actions, including monitoring) tending towards the prevention of Public Nuisance.

More generally, it is important to reinforce the requirement, both in policy and in practical application terms, that the onus is on an applicant to justify what it is seeking and to show that it will not cause undue harm. One gets the impression that, in its operation in practice, the Council takes the view that it is for those who object to justify **not** granting (or renewing) a licence.

There is no justification for blurring the distinction between "on" and "off sales.

The Council should place much more emphasis on cumulative impact issues. In practice they seem to be ignored. This has the effect of subordinating the legitimate interests of residents to those of businesses, some of which seem to promote excessive alcohol consumption, leading to anti social behaviour.

Renewal of a licence should not be a rubber stamp exercise. It should entail an investigation of the licensee's conduct during previous licence periods.

The Council needs also to apply and enforce the Special Policy zones. One gets the impression these policies, as well as Controlled Drinking Zones, have fallen by the wayside.

There should be much more monitoring of licensed premises and enforcement of

breaches, public nuisance and anti social behaviour. Furthermore the training and conduct of door supervisors needs to be monitored; some seem to be very lax.

In making arrangements for effective monitoring, the Council must have regard to the demands on the police and not simply assume that the police should pick up the pieces of a failed licensing regime.

Cost of enforcement should be recouped on the basis of "polluter pays", ie the licensed premises community should defray the actual cost of proper monitoring and enforcement. This is all the more the case for those who are granted the permission to earn private profit from colonising the public realm.

Experience suggests the Council does not take sufficiently seriously the deleterious effect on residential amenity of the escape of noise and especially loud vibrating or pulsing music from inadequately soundproofed premises. If the Council does not have powers to inspect adequacy of soundproofing or to deal with this by way of (monitored) conditions, it should consider whether it is able to take powers to carry this out.

Some premises without licences seem to use TENs to run parties (as part of their business) on an all too frequent basis. This appears to have become a loophole in the licensing regime.

Applicants for licences should be required to notify by written communication those in close proximity of their premises. Some licensees and applicants have devised devious tactics to place notices in the most inconspicuous positions possible, so that residents may be unaware of an application until it is too late. The Council's apparent policy of automatically granting applications which are not the subject of representations only exacerbates the harm this practice can cause.

Please confirm receipt of this representation.

Regards

From:
To: licensing inbox

**Subject:** Licencing Policy consultation response

**Date:** 13 March 2025 12:43:11

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

Hello, here is the Licencing policy consultation response from the Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Committee.

Thanks,

13 March 2025

**Licensing Policy Consultation** 

Thank you for consulting us.

The Advisory Committee is a long-established community group recognised by LB Camden.

The Advisory Committee at its meetings on 19 February and 05 March 2025 reviewed the consultation document and agreed that it wished to make the following points on this consultation.

- 1. The Advisory Committee wished to be included on the list of those notified of licensing applications, and of pre-application engagements. It is critically important that pre-application engagement is as inclusive as possible.
- 2. The Advisory Committee wished to be included on the list of those notified of licensing application decisions. Prompt notification of outcomes is critical to active community engagement.
- 3. The Advisory Committee strongly supports monitoring of the effectiveness of licensing policy and the publication of an annual monitoring report.
- 4. The Advisory Committee is concerned by the lack of effective licensing enforcement. We commend the excellent work of the Planning enforcement team, and seek a similar level of effectiveness for licensing enforcement. Extra resources may well be required.
- 5. The Advisory Committee is opposed to the amended framework hours until the proposed safeguards for community well-being are fully developed, consulted on, and implemented and an effective enforcement regime is in place.

Please confirm receipt of this consultation response.

Thank you.

Chair Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Committee.

From: licensing inbox

**Subject:** Later licensing hours from Camden council

**Date:** 16 March 2025 00:50:09

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

#### Dear Licensing,

I have been a resident of Camden Council for the last 12 years. As a father with 2 young children

#### I STRONGLY OBJECT to

- 1) The extension of the Framework hours by 30 minutes each night this contravenes Draft Policy 4.44 (Prevention of Public Nuisance)
- 2) Licensing Objectives which should be rephrased as "The Licensing Authority expects licensed premises to operate in a way that supports the prevention of public nuisance and not unreasonably interfere with the personal comfort or amenity of immediate neighbours or the nearby community." This is to ensure that public nuisance is kept front and centre of any future amendments.

Many thanks





#### **Shaftesbury PLC**

Regal House, 14 James Street London WC2E 8BU Telephone 020 3214 9150 www.shaftesburycapital.com

FAO
Licensing Authority
London Borough of Camden
5 Pancras Square
London N1C 4AG

By email: <u>licensing@camden.gov.uk</u>

14 March 2025

Dear

#### **Response to Statement of Licensing Policy Consultation**

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to your Statement of Licensing Policy Consultation.

#### **Draft Statement of Licensing Policy**

Shaftesbury Capital plc welcome the draft Statement of Licensing Policy. In particular, we support the proposals to:

- 1. Remove the Special Policy Areas ("SPAs").
- 2. Extend Framework Hours by 30 minutes.
- 3. Provide greater emphasis on the promotion of equality, inclusivity, safeguarding and women's safety in licensed venues.

We also support the Covent Garden Community Association's proposals to introduce an updated pool of model licence conditions and a greater policy emphasis on the requirement for applicants to undertake a risk assessment before submitting a licence application.

We expand upon our views in respect of the above proposals in more detail below.

#### **Shaftesbury Capital plc**

Our property portfolio makes up the most vibrant areas of London's West End. With a diverse mix of restaurants, cafés, bars, shops, residential and offices, our destinations include the high footfall, thriving neighbourhoods of Seven Dials, Covent Garden, Carnaby Street, West Soho, and Chinatown. We are heavily invested across Camden and Westminster. We focus on the sustainable re-purposing of existing buildings and aim to minimise the environmental impact of our operations across the business.

We take a proactive approach to estate management and a responsible curation of licensed tenants. Our suite of measures help to ensure that our tenants have a positive impact in the West End and do not contribute to adverse cumulative impact. In Seven Dials, measures include:

Extensive stewardship of the Seven Dials and wider Covent Garden estate and public realm, including 24/7
monitoring of CCTV cameras, security patrols and litter picking, which has increased in Seven Dials since



Shaftesbury plc merged with Capital & Counties Properties plc to form Shaftesbury Capital plc in 2022;

- b) A thoughtful curation of our ownership, introducing many new high quality, well managed operators, most of whom are retail, and a careful vetting process of incoming tenants who share our values around a responsible approach to stewardship;
- c) Stringent leasehold covenants and obligations with detailed management controls, enforced through standard leases and estate regulations;
- d) Encouraging consolidated servicing and the use of electric vehicles with delivery guidelines;
- e) Partnership working with the Council on a variety of measures managing public realm, including the successful implementation of streatery al fresco schemes and traffic management in Seven Dials;
- f) Annual checks on all our tenants' licences and s.178 notification of interests in respect of our licensed tenants; and
- g) Friendly relationships with local stakeholders and amenity groups, with a commitment to listening to community feedback across a wide range of issues.
- h) Strong relationships with local police from multiple teams all of whom regularly patrol Seven Dials.

These comprehensive controls, together with the robust provisions contained in draft Statement of Licensing Policy and Licensing Act 2003, will continue to safeguard the promotion of the Licensing Objectives and encourage a harmonious relationship between Camden's licensed operators and local residents.

Furthermore, in an increasingly challenging climate for local high streets and the hospitality sector, the proposed Statement of Licensing Policy will help to promote and preserve Camden's reputation as a major contributor to the UK's culture, arts, world renowned music scene, retail, dining, experiential and entertainment sectors. We commend this policy objective, which is also in accordance with the Mayor of London's strategies in the new London Growth Plan.

Camden should be proud of its place in London's hierarchy of locations for hospitality, culture and the experience economy. This key role is increasingly important in a global setting to maintain the exceptional London experience which underpins the city's long term competitiveness to attract global talent, investors and visitors. The London Growth Plan promotes investment in culture as a key driver of the experience economy. The proposed Statement of Licensing Policy can help achieve this aim.

#### **Special Policy Areas**

We have previously shared an independent night time and evening economy study undertaken by Arcola Research and Dr Philip Hadfield in respect of the Seven Dials SPA. Their findings in Seven Dials were consistent with the conclusions of Six til Six and MAKE Associates, in particular that "there is insufficient compelling evidence to justify the publication of a CIA to promote any of the licensing objectives in any part of the borough of Camden" (para 4.2.3 of the Six til Six and MAKE Associates report).

SPAs can facilitate a transition to lower risk licensed premises. However, if left for too long, SPAs can result in market stagnation with higher risk operators clinging on to historical late licences and a barrier to entry for innovative, cultural independent business concepts. The resulting impact is counter productive, leaving a less diverse entertainment and cultural offer with lowering operational standards. We therefore agree with Six til Six and MAKE



#### Associates' findings:

"Other stakeholders expressed a desire not to publish a cumulative impact assessment... Reasons given again included the costs of obtaining a licence with a cumulative impact assessment in place would be prohibitive to new, innovative entrepreneurs that would bring much-needed diversity to the area."

We have direct experience of these unintended consequences and harm caused by the historical SPA. For example, the Licensing Panel imposed a restaurant condition on a small coffee shop licence despite all parties agreeing that it was not necessary. That fledgling independent business went into liquidation less than 2 years after opening. A major landmark tenant also aborted lease negotiations following concerns about the SPA making their exciting project unfeasible, even though there was local support. In addition, plans for a small grass roots music venue in Seven Dials were abandoned following unenthusiastic pre application advice under the SPA and Framework Hours policy.

Furthermore, our own recent analysis of our Seven Dials estate and surveys indicate that:

- Only about 40 of the licensed premises in the whole Seven Dials SPA open beyond midnight, and there are
  no late night opening off licences, take-aways, nightclubs or restaurants in Shaftesbury Capital's Seven Dials
  estate in the streets surrounding the Seven Dials monument. An area more typically designated as a
  Cumulative Impact Area would have a much higher concentration of high risk, late opening premises.
- Bars and pubs constituted the largest category of licensed premises in the Seven Dials SPA in 2021. In 2025, restaurants have now overtaken bars and pubs as the largest category of licensed premises, suggesting a movement towards lower risk venues. These venues are not a source of crime, nuisance or anti-social behaviour.
- There are very few security incidents involving Shaftesbury Capital's licensed tenants. Our security team
  patrol and proactively work in partnership with the police to help report and tackle any disorderly
  behaviour in the area.
- Higher risk, late night licensed premises are largely situated just outside or on the periphery of the Seven
  Dials SPA, with no, high risk impactful premises located in Shaftesbury Capital's managed Seven Dials
  estate. It is possible there is a degree of adverse impact on the outer edges of the historical SPA but certainly
  no cumulative impact within our comprehensively managed estate of thoughtfully curated tenants who
  predominantly operate earlier in the evening. Subset areas demonstrating these characteristics are not
  appropriate for SPA designation and could even be considered for further deregulation.
- Whilst footfall is up during the post Covid-19 recovery period, our Seven Dials estate footfall declines after 22:30 and falls sharply after midnight: during a recent nighttime footfall count on Earlham Street pedestrian numbers peaked at 21:30 with an hourly count of 863, then significantly dropping to just 5 at 03:30This is not indicative of an area suffering from cumulative impact and can make the area feel less safe for women and vulnerable persons late at night. Increased footfall does not necessarily equate to over-crowding. Instead, it can mean vibrancy and greater levels of natural surveillance.
- In the context of Class E, Shaftesbury Capital has chosen to predominantly let units within Seven Dials to retail tenants. In the last two years, there have been 33 commercial lettings on ground floor units in Seven Dials. 27 of these were retail and only 1 is a new licensed restaurant in a unit not previously licensed.



As a result, the Six til Six and MAKE Associates report; the previous Arcola and Dr Hadfield reports and our own onthe-ground analysis provide a strong evidential basis to justify the removal of the Seven Dials SPA. Even without an SPA in place, the Licensing Objectives can continue to be promoted in Seven Dials by implementing the proposed Statement of Licensing Policy alongside our continued thoughtful tenant curation, comprehensive estate management and stakeholder engagement.

#### Framework Hours

We note that the proposed terminal Framework Hours are significantly earlier than those originally proposed in the previous draft policy included in the Licensing Committee report dated 25 January 2024. We support the extension and acknowledge the Council's commentary in the Licensing Committee report dated 19 November 2024 (emphasis added):

- 4.10. The draft Policy includes amended framework hours to promote diversity within the local business environment and the evening and night-time economy whilst providing scope to mitigate any possible negative impacts to those who live and work in the borough.
- 4.11. The framework hours encourage innovation and adaptability within the evening and night-time economy sector. By allowing businesses to operate within their unique contexts, framework hours can contribute to the development of a more dynamic and responsive business environment that benefits both the local economy and the community.
- 4.12. All licence applications, irrespective of their location, for hours beyond the Council's framework hours will receive increased scrutiny. Applications that do not adequately promote the statutory licensing objectives and/or highlight issues with regard to cumulative impact are likely to attract representations from the Licensing Authority or any of the relevant Responsible Authorities.
- 4.14. The revised framework hours are intended to provide an **increased level of flexibility for existing** licensed venues and support an increase in the diversification of the Camden evening and night-time economy offer.
- 4.15. It should be noted that the changes do not mean that all venues operating within the existing framework hours will automatically be able to open later. Existing venues will need to submit variation applications for consideration in line with the statutory process.

The controls cited in the commentary above are adequately reflected in the draft policy, in particular in paragraph 5.11. This will reassure local residents, who may be concerned about the modest extension to Framework Hours proposed. In addition, we note that Appendix 3 of the draft policy helpfully states:

"We expect all premises that are open outside framework hours and all premises located in close proximity to any residential premises, implement a dispersal policy at their venue."

Any extension to Framework Hours is likely to be welcomed by our licensed tenants. However, we do not expect wide-ranging variation applications to extend existing hours in our Seven Dials estate, particularly bearing in mind the additional 30 minutes on Friday and Saturday would incur liability to pay the Late Night Levy. We would hope that this also provides a degree of reassurance to local residents.



We anticipate that those tenants who may wish to slightly extend hours in Seven Dials would include restaurants, and to a lesser extent bars/pubs, who wish to improve their offering for a post theatre customer base. In our view this is extremely unlikely to adversely impact upon the Licensing Objectives and there are adequate safeguards under the draft policy and statutory regime to ensure any local concerns are addressed.

As a result, we do not believe that the modest extension to Framework Hours will result in additional crime, nuisance or anti-social behaviour. The extension will likely encourage enhanced security provision by businesses across a broader spread of closing times, levelling out footfall and reducing the risk of localised crowding. In addition, more premises contributing to the Late Night Levy will enhance the capacity of the Responsibility Authorities to improve their response services for the area post midnight.

#### **Equality, Inclusivity and Women's Safety**

Shaftesbury Capital commend the Council's proposals to promote and place greater policy emphasis on equality, inclusivity and women's safety. We would also welcome the opportunity to work with our licensed tenants and the Responsible Authorities to coordinate training (including WAVE, Ask for Angela, ask for Clive and Drink Spiking Awareness) and facilitate sharing of best practice operational procedures.

Our own experience and surveys demonstrate a significant fall in pedestrian footfall in the streets of Seven Dials from approximately 22:30 onwards (see above). This is unusual in the wider West End context and can compromise public safety for women and vulnerable persons passing through the area late at night. We believe that well managed licensed premises operating a little later in the evening facilitate a natural supervisory presence that can provide safe spaces, promote the public safety licensing objective locally and make the area feel more safe.

This view is consistent with the findings of Six til Six and MAKE Associates:

"Seven Dials has a strong food-led offer and an attractive streetscape however there is very little in the way of an offering outside of food. This is particularly noticeable beyond 23:00 as most of the premises close, leaving very little to do in the area. Where there are later opening premises, it was apparent that door staff were exceptionally well trained, supporting vulnerable people to get home safely and generally ensuring good dispersal from the area."

#### **Model Licence Conditions & Risk Assessments**


Shaftesbury Capital would like to place on record our gratitude to Mr David Kaner of the Covent Garden Community Association for his time and efforts coordinating a proposal for an updated pool of model licence conditions and greater policy emphasis on the requirement for risk assessments. These helpful suggestions are made in accordance with the section 182 Guidance and will assist all parties involved in the licence application process.

We share the view that a user-friendly resource of clear and concise licence conditions can be extremely helpful when discussing a licensing proposal, whether at pre application stage, during the statutory consultation period or in a Licensing Panel hearing. We therefore support the Covent Garden Community Association's proposals in principle.

In a significant number of cases model conditions will be appropriate, albeit with a shared understanding that each application must be considered on its own merits in accordance with paragraphs 1.16 and 1.17 of the section 182 Guidance is included accordingly.



Thank you for your consideration of this consultation response in support of your policy proposals.



Estates Director



## Tell us your views on alcohol licensing in Camden

# If you live in Camden, own a local business or enjoy our nightlife – we want to hear from you!

We are reviewing how we regulate and licence businesses that sell or supply alcohol and provide late-night entertainment or refreshments in the borough. Our draft licensing policy sets out our vision to support our local nightlife and businesses, and to make sure everyone can enjoy Camden while staying safe.

### Get involved and share your views by 14 March 2025.

Scan the QR code to take part in the consultation survey, or visit:

consultations.wearecamden.org/supporting-communities/licensingpolicy



We look forward to your valuable contribution!

