



Quarterly  
Engagement  
Report

October-December  
2023



# Say on Climate, National Grid, BP, UN Forum on Business and Human Rights, Rio Tinto



# COMPANY ENGAGEMENTS

## CLIMATE ENGAGEMENTS

LAPFF engages on climate change through both policy and company engagement channels. This dual approach is necessary to ensure that companies have an enabling environment to promote their climate change mitigation and adaptation work.

### Say on Climate

**Objective:** Despite the significant investment risks of climate change, investors are not provided with a specific vote by investee companies on how they are seeking to decarbonise their business models. Against the backdrop of growing climate risks, rising expectations from investors for companies to outline their climate strategy, continued ratcheting up of climate regulations and emerging recommendations from the Transition Plan Taskforce, LAPFF has been engaging companies for the past few years on putting their transition plans to a shareholder vote. Last quarter, LAPFF coordinated an investor letter to 35 FTSE companies in high emitting sectors requesting such a vote. The letter was signed by 18 other investors with around £1.8tn AUM.

**Achieved:** LAPFF has received substantive responses to the letter, with some companies outlining their approach to climate and stating that they are considering such a vote for their AGM next year. Some companies outlined previous votes and their intention to continue to hold similar votes in the future. However, others stated either that they did not plan to hold such a vote and engaged shareholders through other means or that while having a vote in the past, they did not have immediate plans to do so again.

**In progress:** Despite additional companies having transition plan votes, they are not standard practice and often absent at AGMs where climate risks are most acute. LAPFF will continue to work with other investors engaging companies on having transition plan votes to enable investors to have a specific say on the climate strategies of investee companies.

LAPFF's main company engagements on climate this quarter were with National Grid and BP.

### National Grid

**Objective:** LAPFF, along with two other investors of CA100+ Working Group, Church of England and Northern Trust, has been seeking to improve National Grid's disclosure and accountability on direct and indirect lobbying. The CA100+ benchmark on National Grid places it below its peer companies under indicator 7 on lobbying. In June 2023, National Grid pledged to publish its trade association memberships and updated climate policy ahead of the next AGM. LAPFF therefore is seeking to ensure the company's disclosure is timely and of a high standard.

LAPFF has also been seeking to ensure the company is more transparent about its plans to support the energy transition and reducing grid connection. The objective was to encourage disclosure and to offer the opportunity to provide feedback on the company's approach in both respects. LAPFF also sought a separate climate meeting with the company and to write a lobbying letter to National Grid seeking disclosure of industry associations and an updated climate policy.

**Achieved:** In November, LAPFF together with the Church of England wrote to the company, stating expectations for its upcoming lobbying report.

At the end of November, LAPFF met the Chief Sustainability Officer of National Grid. In this meeting LAPFF asked for an update on the backlog of grid connections and an update on the transition plan. The recent change in regulations has enabled the backlog to start to be cleared. This has been a main concern as the average time between requesting a connection and being offered one has increased from 18 months in 2019-20 to 5 years in 2023, as reported by the company. The easing of regulations will allow the company to terminate projects not progressing and push projects which are ready to the front of the queue.

Given that the expected power to be generated from these held-up contracts is as much as 400 Gigawatts with connection dates of 2030 or later, this

change will help towards decarbonising the power systems by 2030. However, there is still a challenge in speeding up building necessary infrastructure to physically enable the grid connections. LAPFF will monitor the effect of lifting these regulations and how quickly the company clears the backlog and is also looking for clarity in its infrastructure development plans.

The meeting also discussed the new transition plan to be published next year. LAPFF welcomed the fact that this is likely to be updated next year and will be put to a shareholder vote. LAPFF also encouraged the company to ensure the report is not only about reducing emissions but how the company can facilitate new infrastructure to be built, and its wider role in the energy transition. The company also recognised a challenge in reaching long-term targets of net zero by 2040 in absence of a pathway for gas distribution in the US.

**In Progress:** The release of the lobbying disclosure report next year in good time before the AGM is expected and will enable the Forum to assess the progress made in the company on this area. So far National Grid appears to be responding well.

LAPFF also expects the new transition report to be released and to address the points have raised here. A key outstanding issue is gas distribution in the US, where the company asserts the ongoing importance of gas networks to the business due to its existing infrastructure and cost efficiency and envisage both hybrid solutions and clean gas. To address this LAPFF will seek to understand the US energy market in more detail. On engagement specifics, LAPFF is organising a wider CA100+ meeting in January and will arrange some direct follow up meetings through 2024.

### BP

**Objective:** With the surprise departure of Chief Executive Bernard Looney, LAPFF requested a meeting with the Chair, Helge Lund, to help ascertain whether that departure affected BPs climate commitments.

**Achieved:** LAPFF attended a meeting with Lund in November, where we were told that the departure of the CEO had

## COMPANY ENGAGEMENTS

not changed BPs climate commitments.

**In Progress:** Since that meeting COP28 has strengthened the emphasis for solutions to the transition away from fossil fuels, which emerged as a last-minute compromise instead of the original goal to “phase out fossil fuels.” Prior commitments were in the form of far more malleable goals of “net zero by 2050” and complicating matters with Scope 1, Scope 2 and Scope 3 emissions. LAPFF’s policy for several years has been that fossil fuel components of businesses need to be put into managed decline.

With a closer match between COP and LAPFF policy, the emphasis on phase out will be the focus of BP and other oil and gas companies. Scope 3 emissions, originating from the products sold by fossil fuel companies, have been obfuscated by a focus on the comparatively minor Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions, missing the obvious point that less Scope 3 extraction naturally leads to less Scope 1 and Scope 2.

Housebuilding also has a large impact on climate change. As part of an ongoing engagement with the sector, LAPFF met with **Persimmon** this quarter.

### Persimmon

**Objective:** Minimising the investment risks associated with climate change involves decarbonising housing stock. Housebuilders therefore play an important role in reducing emissions as well as facing regulatory risks if they fail to prepare for higher energy efficiency and emissions standards. As part of LAPFF’s engagements with UK housebuilders, LAPFF seeks to ensure that adequate transition plans are in place. With the vast majority of emissions not coming from their own activities, the engagements focus on plans for decarbonising supply chains and decarbonising homes in use.

**Achieved:** LAPFF met with representatives from the FTSE100 housebuilder Persimmon. In the meeting LAPFF had an open discussion about target setting which covered issues around embodied carbon. The meeting covered transition planning and plans. The discussion touched on so-called hard to abate sectors within the supply chain, such as cement and offsetting,



Persimmon housing estate Suffolk, UK trial schemes for net zero homes, and engagement with smaller suppliers on the transition.

**In progress:** LAPFF will be following the development of housebuilders’ transition plans and delivering on the targets that they have set.

## CLIMATE & INSURANCE

LAPFF has also re-started its 2020 engagement with insurance companies on their climate strategies and practices. After meeting with Munich Re last quarter to discuss the company’s progress on assessing its impact on climate change and integrating climate considerations into corporate strategy and operations, LAPFF met with **AIA, AXA, Legal & General, Lloyds Banking Group, and Ping An** to discuss the same issues. Given the interest of LAPFF members in natural resources – and specifically biodiversity – LAPFF also asked these insurers how they are addressing natural resources within their climate strategies.

While there has been some progress in insurers’ understanding of the need to assess their impacts on climate change in order to understand their climate-related business risks (otherwise known as double materiality), in LAPFF’s view there has not been enough progress on this front. In particular, insurers are focusing almost exclusively on their investment businesses in relation to climate mitigation. This approach makes sense at face value, but LAPFF would like

to see greater consideration given to the role the insurance products can play in mitigating climate change through setting societal expectations of risk.

All companies engaged are at the beginning of understanding the relationship between climate and natural resources and how to bring natural resources into business decision-making. Therefore, LAPFF will aim to engage with the remaining large insurance holdings before moving on its largest bank holdings under this engagement.

Because there is an increasing recognition of the impact that climate change has on natural resources, LAPFF has engaged a range of companies on their impacts on nature.

### TJX Companies – Deforestation

**Objective:** As a retailer specialising in brand-name clothing, home goods, and outdoor products, TJX Companies is exposed to various commodities that potentially link to deforestation in its supply chain. However, it currently lacks a public deforestation policy and does not address this issue in its vendor code of conduct.

**Achieved:** LAPFF initiated a dialogue with TJX Companies and met with representatives for the first time to discuss the development of such a policy. The conversation began with an overview of the company’s sustainability priorities,

## COMPANY ENGAGEMENTS

focusing on climate and energy, before shifting to the topic of deforestation.

**In Progress:** This marks the commencement of ongoing discussions with TJX, a company substantially held by LAPFF. The Forum aims to continue engaging with TJX to advocate for the benefits of imposing deforestation requirements on its vendors.

### Nestlé – Regenerative Agriculture and Climate Change

**Objective:** In the context of the agri-food sector's shift towards more sustainable practices, LAPFF sought a meeting with Nestlé to assess and understand the integration of regenerative agriculture into its strategy. This includes understanding the company's specific goals, initiatives, and progress in implementing regenerative practices, as well as its contributions to climate change mitigation and biodiversity conservation.

**Achieved:** During LAPFF's meeting with Nestlé, the Forum gained insights into the strategies and initiatives involved in implementing regenerative agriculture. Discussions looked at how this would be incorporated into their broader climate strategy and covered biodiversity more widely. While the long-term efficacy of these actions is yet to be measured, the conversations indicated a strong commitment from Nestlé, although further evaluation will be required in the future to gauge the impacts of strategies.

**In Progress:** LAPFF will continue to engage with Nestlé, focusing on monitoring the implementation of their regenerative agriculture practices. LAPFF will also look more widely across the agri-food sector as others are incorporating this into their business strategies as new methods and technologies become available.

### Chipotle – Water Stewardship

**Objective:** LAPFF has been engaged with Chipotle on its approach to water stewardship since 2019. The initial engagement objective was met during 2022, with the company undertaking an



Chipotle Mexican Grill at Pineapple Commons, Stuart, Florida

ingredient level water risk assessment to identify areas of water stress within the supply chain. The risk assessment found that a significant proportion of the company's suppliers operate in areas of water stress. LAPFF now considers it imperative that the company utilise the results of this risk assessment to set measurable and time-bound targets in order to reduce negative impacts on freshwater.

**Achieved:** In October 2023, CERES published a corporate benchmark assessing the water stewardship practices of 72 companies against the six Corporate Expectations for Valuing Water, including Chipotle. Chipotle underperformed relative to the quick service restaurant (QSR) peer group. LAPFF Executive member John Anzani met with the company in December to discuss progress in adopting a more ambitious approach to its water stewardship practices.

**In Progress:** LAPFF is the lead investor for Chipotle as part of the Valuing Water Finance Initiative (VWFI) and will continue to engage with Chipotle on this basis during 2024. It is LAPFF's expectation that Chipotle leverages the work it has undertaken in mapping exposure to water stress in order to set ambitious targets, particularly given that during Q4 2023 the science-based targets network has released guidance for companies to set the relevant freshwater targets.

## HUMAN RIGHTS ENGAGEMENTS

Similar to the climate space, human rights policy and practice must align for companies to be able to implement their human rights responsibilities. Legislation requiring mandatory human rights and environmental due diligence, including the imminent Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (also known as the 'CS triple D'), makes the need for this alignment pressing. LAPFF has taken a number of measures this quarter to work toward this alignment.

LAPFF's view is that investors are still struggling to understand the link between human rights and financial materiality. LAPFF sees this link more and more clearly, particularly through its work with mining companies. LAPFF regularly undertakes various avenues of engagement on human rights, and will continue to seek in its engagements with both companies and investors to clarify this link. The goal is that human rights become an investor imperative to the extent that climate change is, not least because of the need for a just transition.

### UN Forum and Working Group on Business and Human Rights

On the policy front, LAPFF was again

## COMPANY ENGAGEMENTS

invited to present its work at the UN Forum on Business and Human Rights in Geneva on 27 November. LAPFF's video about its visit to Brazil to see communities affected by tailings dams was selected for screening out of, reportedly, a huge number of potential options. The video was well-received, with attendees stating that they would share it with colleagues, clients, and law students to drive home the on-the-ground impact that mining companies can have on people in host communities.

LAPFF also submitted a response to a UN Working Group on Business and Human Rights consultation on investors, ESG, and human rights. The goal of this consultation is exactly to push alignment between law and practice on human rights. One of the main points LAPFF made is that corporate and commercial legal frameworks must align with international human rights law principles, for example of joint ventures, to facilitate good corporate practice.

### COMPANY ENGAGEMENTS MEETINGS

In terms of company engagements, **Glencore** and **Grupo Mexico** were companies of focus this quarter. LAPFF generally has at least an annual meeting with the Glencore Chair. This meeting was its second with Chair Kalidas Madhavpeddi. Although LAPFF had requested a meeting with CEO Gary Nagle to discuss both climate and human rights performance at Glencore, Mr. Madhavpeddi was accommodating and helpful. LAPFF asked about the company's engagement with affected communities, but Mr. Madhavpeddi did not share much on this front.

LAPFF subsequently held a seminar for investors with communities from Colombia and Peru who are affected by Glencore's Cerrejon and Antapaccay projects, respectively. It has also been in touch with IndustriALL representatives who worked with investors last year to bring a climate-related resolution to Glencore's AGM. LAPFF's view from speaking to these stakeholders is that in the coming year, Glencore is likely to be the target of a concerted union and community campaign because of its human rights and environmental practices. Therefore, LAPFF has reached out to the company for a follow up

meeting to discuss these stakeholder concerns and to push the company to build and disclose stronger stakeholder engagement mechanisms and climate practices.

### TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES AND HUMAN RIGHTS

**Objective:** Governance of new technology is well recognised as an investment risk. However, such risks have come to the fore again with significant advances in AI technologies. Alongside the significant potential benefits of AI, it has the potential to adversely impact people's employment and creates human rights risks, not least around discrimination. These risks are often greatest at companies developing and selling AI services and products. As with other human rights risks, LAPFF expects technology companies to have due diligence policies in place to prevent negative impacts.

**Achieved:** LAPFF executive member Heather Johnson met with the German tech company SAP. The company faces specific risks related to AI, including products which support HR functions. The meeting covered how the company was managing the risks of adverse human rights impacts, including discrimination. The discussion covered identification of risks and the company set out the framework and processes it has in place for preventing negative impacts. The

Sonora, Mexico: 40,000 cubic meters of copper sulfate were spilled into a dam, property of Grupo Mexico

meeting also covered how the company had responded to the German Supply Chain Due Diligence Act.

**In progress:** AI is an emerging technology with risks likely to become greater and more complicated. LAPFF will continue to engage technology companies in how these risks are being managed to ensure appropriate frameworks and safeguards are in place.

### RESPONSIBLE MINERALS – ELECTRIC VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS (FORD, RENAULT AND MERCEDES)

**Objective:** As highlighted, there is an increasing trend in international regulations to impose the responsibility for human rights due diligence on companies. These regulations highlight the electric vehicle industry's obligation to ensure ethical and sustainable practices, particularly in supply chains. This development is part of a broader global movement towards enhanced corporate accountability and transparency. Over recent years, LAPFF has consistently engaged with various electric vehicle manufacturers on this matter, advocating for improved due diligence and transparency as these regulations have evolved.

**Achieved:** LAPFF has maintained ongoing dialogues with Ford, Renault, and Mercedes, meeting with Ford and Renault for the second time, and with Mercedes for the third time on this



## COMPANY ENGAGEMENTS

issue. All three companies have shown notable progress in their human rights management processes and efforts to comply with regulations, especially in the depth of their public reporting. Despite some areas still requiring improvement, it would appear they are more actively engaging with suppliers and pursuing ethical sourcing to meet international human rights standards.

**In Progress:** LAPFF will continue to monitor and seek engagement with those companies exposed to the various human rights risks associated with electric vehicles, which become ever more evident as production is scaled up.

### COLLABORATIVE ENGAGEMENTS PRI ADVANCE

LAPFF continued its engagement with **Vale** and **Anglo American** through the PRI Advance human rights initiative, including through bringing investors in other PRI Advance groups into stakeholder engagement meetings on **Glencore**, **BHP**, and **Rio Tinto**. These initiatives are moving quite slowly, in part in LAPFF's view, because investors are generally less aware of and less attuned to human rights considerations than they are corporate governance and environmental issues. Therefore, they are still considering how best to engage companies on human rights, which tend to deal with 'soft' issues such as illegal discrimination and freedom of association rather than 'hard' issues like clear financial costs.

### LONDON MINING NETWORK AND COMMUNITIES AFFECTED BY MINING

LAPFF continues to find great value in engaging with community groups affected by mining company operations. The meeting with communities affected by **Glencore** operations in Colombia and Peru was the first in-person meeting of this kind that LAPFF had held since the Covid pandemic. Most community meetings are online because affected community members tend to be in developing countries, and everyone has limited travel budgets (not least for climate reasons). However, LAPFF



workers stock the shelves at a Home Depot store

coordinated with London Mining Network to hold an in-person seminar which six investors attended. The Colombian and Peruvian community members shared the severe environmental impacts **Cerrejon** was having on its rivers and soil, which is leading to significant health concerns in both countries. A LAPFF representative also met with communities with continued concern about **BHP's** practices in Brazil.

LAPFF held online meetings with communities from Brazil and Mexico. LAPFF continues to engage with the community members with whom it visited in Brazil, particularly in relation to the reparations at **Samarco**-affected communities. Although over 100 houses have reportedly been built in one of the resettlements – **Bento Rodrigues** - these community members continue to be concerned that the quality of the houses is poor, and they report that they don't know who to contact at **Vale** or **BHP** to complain. Part of the problem is that the **Renova Foundation** CEO with whom LAPFF met in Brazil has been sacked but not replaced successfully. His immediate successor lasted two months, according to the community members. LAPFF is waiting to hear whether a permanent, successful CEO has now been appointed or whether the search continues.

In relation to Mexico, LAPFF was assured earlier in the year that **Grupo Mexico** had met its reparations obligations in relation to its 2014 tailings

pond leak in Sonora, Mexico. However, LAPFF was alerted by a community representative and a news article about a Mexican government lawsuit to reinstate the reparation fund due to inadequate reparations payments. LAPFF has tried three times this quarter to obtain a meeting with the company but has been met with silence. Meanwhile, LAPFF met with the community representative at the UN Forum on Business and Human Rights at the end of November to receive a further update on the case. It appears that LAPFF will now need to investigate options to escalate its engagement with **Grupo Mexico**, but it will need to do so in consideration of safety concerns for the affected communities.

**In Progress:** LAPFF's view is that investors are still struggling to understand the link between human rights and financial materiality. LAPFF sees this link more and more clearly, particularly through its work with mining companies. Therefore, LAPFF will continue to seek in its engagements with both companies and investors to clarify this link so that human rights become an investor imperative to the extent that climate change is, not least because of the need for a just transition.

### INVESTOR ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

LAPFF continued to work closely with the

## COLLABORATIVE ENGAGEMENTS

Investor Alliance for Human Rights, both in relation to the Uyghur Group and in relation to conflict-affected and high-risk areas (CAHRA).

### Investor Alliance for Human Rights – The Home Depot Inc

**Objective:** As a part of the Investor Alliance for Human Rights' Uyghur Working Group, LAPFF led on an engagement with The Home Depot, which was implicated in allegations of Uyghur forced labour in its luxury vinyl tile (LVT) flooring supply chains, with PVC derived from Xinjiang. LAPFF sought to understand how Home Depot responded to these allegations, and how the company has undertaken work to eliminate forced labour risks and comply with human rights standards.

**Achieved:** LAPFF, alongside other investors, met with Home Depot for a second time following reports in August that shipments of LVT from Asia were being blocked by US Customs, including those destined for Home Depot. During the call, LAPFF sought answers on what the company was doing to ensure that its company supply chain was free of forced labour, potential implications of bifurcation of supply chains, and what new methods Home Depot was implementing to have sufficient audit procedures in place.

**In Progress:** LAPFF will continue to monitor the company's approach to global human rights due diligence and seek further engagement in due course for updates on the issue, with a focus on the company's implementation of enhanced audit procedures.

### CAHRA PILOT PROJECT

LAPFF was invited to join IAHR's CAHRA pilot project. The project has been initiated in part because of the escalation of conflicts globally, including in Ukraine, Nagorno Karabakh, and Israel and Gaza, which reignited this quarter. LAPFF had already been attending a number of IAHR webinars on this topic to understand better how to engage companies on CAHRA issues, so the opportunity to participate in this pilot is welcome, especially given LAPFF's engagements with companies operating in Russia,

Myanmar, and the Occupied Palestinian Territories.

### VOTING ALERTS

LAPFF also issued a voting alert for BHP expressing concern that the company's rhetoric and practices on climate are not aligned and expressing concerns about the corporate culture in respect of human rights. BHP is currently the subject of potentially costly litigation in Brazil, the UK, and Australia in relation to its failings related to the Samarco tailings dam collapse alone. LAPFF continues to have serious concerns that the company is not taking appropriate accountability and responsibility for its human rights and environmental practices, and that this omission could lead to large financial losses for both the company and investors.

## JUST TRANSITION ENGAGEMENTS

**LAPFF's aim is to move away from siloed ESG engagements in recognition of the overlap between these three areas in pursuing a just transition. There are currently two dedicated work streams covering a just transition specifically, although the climate and human rights work by definition addresses just transition to a degree.**

### RIO TINTO SHAREHOLDER RESOLUTION

Within the quarter LAPFF explored the option of filing a just transition shareholder resolution at Rio Tinto's 2024 AGM requesting the company undertake independent water impact assessments at its mine sites. The proposed resolution sought to ensure that the company adequately assesses its impacts on water resources so that it can properly identify operational, reputational, legal, and consequently financial risks to the business and investors.

In the end, LAPFF did not file the resolution. LAPFF is currently in dialogue with Rio Tinto, and Rio Tinto has issued a water impact assessment in relation to its QMM operation in Madagascar. Although the company is not fully meeting the

resolution's request, LAPFF is encouraged that the company is willing to discuss how to move forward on the request and continues to be hopeful that the company will meet it. LAPFF is pursuing further dialogue with the company on this issue and will take a view after the 2024 AGM whether the resolution filing process needs to be resumed.

### EQUINOR

As part of its involvement with World Benchmarking Alliance just transition initiative, LAPFF participated in a collaborative call with Norwegian energy company, Equinor. Equinor has a policy commitment to a just transition and the engagement provided a useful opportunity to discuss how the policy was being implemented. The meeting covered the company's approach to assessing and mitigating negative social impacts of the energy transition, governance of just transition issues, just transition planning and metrics and targets.

**In Progress:** As part of LAPFF's involvement in the WBA initiative, it will continue oil and gas companies on just transition plans. LAPFF will continue to engage mining companies on undertaking independent water impact assessments.

## BOARD DIVERSITY ENGAGEMENTS

**Objective:** It is well-documented at this point, both in academic literature and in the corporate governance world, that board diversity improves corporate performance. Diversity covers a range of areas, including gender, cultural, and economic (for example workers on boards). Consequently, LAPFF engages companies on board diversity and composition as a matter of course to work toward improved financial returns across member portfolios.

**Achieved:** LAPFF is a long-standing member of the 30% Club Investor Group, which began with a focus on gender diversity and has now expanded its work to include racial diversity on boards. Over time, this group has also expanded from focusing on UK companies to engaging



## COLLABORATIVE ENGAGEMENTS

companies in other countries. The latest round of engagements has been with a range of Asian companies, including KKR & Co and Shinhan Financial Group.

LAPFF also questioned Glencore on its board composition this quarter. The company has a small board compared to its peers in the mining sector, and LAPFF wondered if its small size allowed for enough diversity of views. Although three of the eight board members are female, LAPFF is also looking, for example, for board members with backgrounds in climate change and human rights who are sufficiently independent to challenge the board on its climate, human rights, and internal controls systems, especially given the corruption challenges the company is continuing to face.

**In Progress:** Board diversity is a continuing workstream for LAPFF, as it pushes companies to move from merely appointing certain numbers of diverse board members to truly considering and integrating their views into company strategy and practice. This objective relies on cultural change which takes a long time to achieve so is something at which LAPFF chips away each quarter on different fronts. LAPFF has also secured a meeting with KKR & Co for Q1 or 2024 to discuss diversity targets.

## GOVERNANCE ENGAGEMENT

### Barclays

**Objective:** In October, former Barclays executive Jes Staley was banned by the FCA from holding senior positions in financial services and charged with a £1.8m fine for allegedly misleading the watchdog about his past relationship with convicted sex offender Jeffrey Epstein. In turn, LAPFF felt it imperative to engage with Barclays to discuss learnings from this tumultuous episode and sought to see actions the bank had taken to strengthen corporate governance at both board and management level.

**Achieved:** LAPFF met with the Chair of Barclays, Nigel Higgins, at the end of October. The Chair openly discussed the event and actions the bank had taken, including freezing deferred bonuses

to Stanley at the time of investigation. The company stated it has strengthened their board recruitment practices and remained vigilant. However, LAPFF will be monitoring the governance going forward. More widely, LAPFF requested an update of Barclays's climate policy and have arranged to have a specific meeting on this topic separately.

**In Progress:** Following the recent board changes earlier this year at Barclays, including the appointment of new executives, LAPFF will continue to watch the corporate governance nominations and succession plans of the company board. LAPFF maintains a cordial dialogue with the chair and aims to continue engaging on this topic.

## PUBLIC HEALTH ENGAGEMENT

### FAIRR Initiative's Restaurant Antibiotics Engagement – Restaurant Brands International (RBI)

**Objective:** FAIRR's Restaurant Antibiotics engagement focuses on reducing the use of antibiotics in protein supply chains. This initiative involves companies within the fast-food and casual dining sector, with the aim of mitigating the risks associated with antibiotic resistance due to the overuse of antibiotics in livestock. The objective is to safeguard public health.

**Achieved:** LAPFF joined a call with FAIRR and other investors with Restaurant Brands International (RBI). As a first call with the company, investors shared key asks of the engagement and pushed for enhanced transparency on the company's efforts to reduce antibiotics in its supply chain.

**In Progress:** LAPFF signed onto a series of letters sent by FAIRR and will seek to join meetings as appropriate when they become available. LAPFF is also hoping to continue supporting engagement with RBI as the dialogue develops.

## Taskforce on Social Factors

LAPFF's chair is a member of the Taskforce on Social Factors, which was established by the DWP with cross-departmental and multi-regulator involvement. The taskforce was established to outline how trustees could and should address social risks and opportunities. Specifically, the group has looked at the materiality of such issues, data on social factors, and the actions pensions funds can take. During the quarter, the group's initial findings were published for consultation. Within the report a series of recommendations were set out to pension trustees, the investment industry, regulators, government, civil society and businesses.

## MEDIA COVERAGE

ESG Investor: [ESG Overload – ESG Investor](#)  
 Room 151: [LAPFF alongside other investors call for climate vote at high-emitting companies – Room 151](#)  
 IPE: [Investors coalition creates platform to strengthen human rights stewardship | News | IPE](#)  
 The Point: [Global perspective: is ESG paying lip service to human rights? | The Point ESG News](#)  
 Environmental Finance: [CCLA, LAPFF call for climate votes at 'high-emitting' sectors](#)  
 Pensions & Investments: [U.K. investors turn up the heat on boards for climate transition plans](#)  
 Sustainable Times: [Investors Managing £1.8 Trillion Rally for Climate Strategy Votes at Upcoming FTSE 350 AGMs](#)  
 IPE: [Investor group calls for climate vote at high-emitting companies](#)  
 Net zero investor: [£1.8trn investors call for climate vote at high-emitting companies](#)  
 Funds- Europe.com: [Investors seek climate votes at high-risk firms](#)  
 Pensions Age Magazine: [Investor group calls for climate vote at high carbon emitting FTSE 350 firms](#)  
 TheMJ.co.uk: [Council pension funds call for climate vote](#)  
 LocalGov.co.uk: [Council pension funds call for climate vote](#)  
 Investment Week: [Investors overseeing £1.8tn in assets call for AGM votes on climate transition plans](#)


# COMPANY PROGRESS REPORT

44 companies were engaged over the quarter.


Company/Index	Activity	Topic	Outcome
Company/Index	Activity	Topic	Outcome
AIA GROUP LTD	Meeting	Environmental Risk	Dialogue
ASTON MARTIN LAGONDA GLOBAL HOLDINGS PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
AVIVA PLC	Meeting	Climate Change	Dialogue
AXA	Meeting	Climate Change	Moderate Improvement
BAE SYSTEMS PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
BAKKAVOR GROUP PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
BARCLAYS BANK PLC	Meeting	Governance (General)	Dialogue
BARCLAYS PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
BP PLC	Meeting	Governance (General)	Dialogue
CENTAMIN PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC	Meeting	Environmental Risk	Change in Process
CRH PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
EASYJET PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
ENERGEAN PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION	Sent Correspondence	Social Risk	Awaiting Response
FORD MOTOR COMPANY	Meeting	Supply Chain Management	Dialogue
FRESNILLO PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
GLENCORE PLC	Meeting	Board Composition	Dialogue
HARBOUR ENERGY PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
INTERNATIONAL DISTRIBUTIONS SERVICES PLC	Sent Correspondence	Governance (General)	Awaiting Response
JOHN WOOD GROUP PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
KKR & CO INC	Received Correspondence	Diversity Equity and Inclusion	Small Improvement
LEGAL & GENERAL GROUP PLC	Meeting	Environmental Risk	Dialogue
LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC	Meeting	Environmental Risk	Small Improvement
MERCEDES-BENZ GROUP AG	Meeting	Human Rights	Small Improvement
NATIONAL GRID GAS PLC	Meeting	Climate Change	Dialogue
NATWEST GROUP PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
NESTLE SA	Meeting	Environmental Risk	Change in Process
PERSIMMON PLC	Meeting	Climate Change	Dialogue
PING AN INSURANCE GROUP	Meeting	Climate Change	Change in Process
PRUDENTIAL PLC	Meeting	Climate Change	Change in Process
RENAULT SA	Meeting	Supply Chain Management	Moderate Improvement
RESTAURANT BRANDS INTERNATIONAL INC	Meeting	Supply Chain Management	Dialogue
RIO TINTO PLC	Meeting	Environmental Risk	No Improvement
ROLLS-ROYCE HOLDINGS PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
SANOFI	Received Correspondence	Environmental Risk	Substantial Improvement
SAP SE	Meeting	Human Rights	Dialogue
SHELL PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
STANDARD CHARTERED PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
THE HOME DEPOT INC	Meeting	Supply Chain Management	Moderate Improvement
THE TJX COMPANIES INC.	Meeting	Environmental Risk	Small Improvement
TI FLUID SYSTEMS PLC	Received Correspondence	Climate Change	Dialogue
VALE SA	Meeting	Human Rights	Dialogue

# ENGAGEMENT DATA


## ENGAGEMENT TOPICS




## ACTIVITY




## MEETING ENGAGEMENT OUTCOMES




## POSITION ENGAGED



## COMPANY DOMICILES



# ENGAGEMENT DATA



## LAPFF SDG ENGAGEMENTS

SDG 1: No Poverty	0
SDG 2: Zero Hunger	2
SDG 3: Good Health and Well-Being	4
SDG 4: Quality Education	4
SDG 5: Gender Equality	3
SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation	2
SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy	1
SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	6
SDG 9: Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure	5
SDG 10: Reduced Inequalities	7
SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities	5
SDG 12: Responsible Production and Consumption	10
SDG 13: Climate Action	31
SDG 14: Life Below Water	5
SDG 15: Life on Land	10
SDG 16: Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions	1
SDG 17: Strengthen the Means of Implementation and Revitalise the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development	0

## LOCAL AUTHORITY PENSION FUND FORUM MEMBERS

---

Avon Pension Fund	Enfield Pension Fund	London Pension Fund Authority	Swansea Pension Fund
Barking and Dagenham Pension Fund	Environment Agency Pension Fund	Lothian Pension Fund	Teesside Pension Fund
Barnet Pension Fund	Essex Pension Fund	Merseyside Pension Fund	Tower Hamlets Pension Fund
Bedfordshire Pension Fund	Falkirk Pension Fund	Merton Pension Fund	Tyne and Wear Pension Fund
Berkshire Pension Fund	Gloucestershire Pension Fund	Newham Pension Fund	Waltham Forest Pension Fund
Bexley (London Borough of)	Greater Gwent Pension Fund	Norfolk Pension Fund	Wandsworth Borough Council Pension Fund
Cambridgeshire Pension Fund	Greater Manchester Pension Fund	North East Scotland Pension Fund	Warwickshire Pension Fund
Camden Pension Fund	Greenwich Pension Fund	North Yorkshire Pension Fund	West Midlands Pension Fund
Cardiff & Glamorgan Pension Fund	Gwynedd Pension Fund	Northamptonshire Pension Fund	West Yorkshire Pension Fund
Cheshire Pension Fund	Hackney Pension Fund	Nottinghamshire Pension Fund	Westminster Pension Fund
City of London Corporation Pension Fund	Hammersmith and Fulham Pension Fund	Oxfordshire Pension Fund	Wiltshire Pension Fund
Clywd Pension Fund (Flintshire CC)	Haringey Pension Fund	Powys Pension Fund	Worcestershire Pension Fund
Cornwall Pension Fund	Harrow Pension Fund	Redbridge Pension Fund	
Croydon Pension Fund	Havering Pension Fund	Rhondda Cynon Taf Pension Fund	<b>Pool Company Members</b>
Cumbria Pension Fund	Hertfordshire Pension Fund	Shropshire Pension Fund	ACCESS Pool
Derbyshire Pension Fund	Hounslow Pension Fund	Somerset Pension Fund	Border to Coast Pensions Partnership
Devon Pension Fund	Islington Pension Fund	South Yorkshire Pension Authority	LGPS Central
Dorset Pension Fund	Kingston upon Thames Pension Fund	Southwark Pension Fund	Local Pensions Partnership
Durham Pension Fund	Lambeth Pension Fund	Staffordshire Pension Fund	London CIV
Dyfed Pension Fund	Lancashire County Pension Fund	Strathclyde Pension Fund	Northern LGPS
Ealing Pension Fund	Leicestershire Pension Fund	Suffolk Pension Fund	Wales Pension Partnership
East Riding Pension Fund	Lewisham Pension Fund	Surrey Pension Fund	
East Sussex Pension Fund	Lincolnshire Pension Fund	Sutton Pension Fund	